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## Chapter 1. Introduction

This report summarizes the results of a traffic operations and safety assessment at the existing railroad crossing on Boices Lane in the Town of Ulster, Ulster County, New York. The assessment includes the adjacent intersections of Morton Boulevard and John Clark Drive with Boices Lane. The project location is shown in the aerial image below:


## A. Study Area

Boices Lane serves about 12,500 vehicles per day (vpd) and provides a connection between the commercial corridor of US Route 9W (Ulster Avenue) and Tech City and Enterprise Drive. In addition, Enterprise Drive provides a connection to US Route 209 allowing vehicles to bypass the US Route 9W interchange connection with US Route 209/NY Route 199.

The study intersections of Morton Boulevard and John Clark Drive are located about 325 feet apart along Boices Lane about 600 feet west of US Route 9W. Both intersections are controlled by traffic signals. The CSX railroad crossing is located between the two intersections approximately 100 feet west of John Clark Drive.

Sidewalks are provided on Boices Lane in the southwest quadrant of the Morton Boulevard intersection along the Stewarts parcel and on the north side of Boices Lane between US Route

9W and John Clark Drive. A multi-use path/sidewalk is also provided on the north side of Boices Lane within the Tech City property limits and along the east side of the CSX railroad which extends from Boices Lane approximately 375 -feet north intersecting the shoulder of John Clark Drive.

Land uses in the study area include a mix of large and small scale retail, residential, and service uses. The Stewart's Shop and gas station, located in the southwest quadrant of the Morton Boulevard intersection, has access to Boices Lane and Morton Boulevard. These driveways are located relatively close to the intersection so the traffic entering and exiting Stewart's affects operations at the Boices Lane/Morton Boulevard intersection.

Field visits and discussions with the New York State Department of Transportation (NYSDOT), the Town of Ulster, Ulster County, and CSX identified a number of issues within the study area that affect the operations at the study intersections. Figure 1.1 illustrates a number of these issues; which are generally identified in the bulleted list below.

- Non-compliant, poor condition, or non-existent pedestrian accommodations
- Old and/or faulty traffic signal equipment
- Narrow right-of-way
- Short and narrow eastbound right turn lane on Boices Lane approaching Morton Blvd
- Inconsistent pavement markings and signs
- Acute intersection approach angle from Morton Boulevard approaching Boices Lane
- Long queues and delay during pre-emption

This study is an opportunity to identify modifications that will improve conditions for all users in the study area.

## B. Methodology

This study was progressed under the direction an Advisory Committee, and using the NYSMPO Safety Assessment Guidelines. The Advisory Committee included the following Agencies.

- Town of Ulster (Supervisor and Department of Public Works (DPW))
- Ulster County DPW
- Ulster County Metropolitan Planning Organization (UCTC)
- NYSDOT
- CSX



## Chapter 2. Existing Conditions

## A. Study Intersections

At the Boices Lane/Morton Boulevard intersection the eastbound and westbound Boices Lane approaches each provide three lanes for individual travel maneuvers. The northbound Morton Boulevard approach provides a shared left-turn/through lane and right-turn lane. The southbound approach provides a left-turn lane and a shared through/right-turn lane.

At the Boices Lane/John Clark Drive intersection the eastbound approach provides a shared left-turn/through lane and a through/right-turn lane. The westbound, northbound, and southbound approaches each provide shared left-turn/through lanes and right-turn lanes.


As noted previously, the two study intersections operate under traffic signal control. Although these two signals are located close together, they operate independent of each other and are not coordinated.

When a train is approaching the Boices Lane crossing, the traffic signals operate to clear the traffic between the two intersections. The traffic signals then transition to a pre-emption phase. The following image illustrates the signal clearance and pre-emption phasing at the study intersections.

|  | EXISTING SIGNAL PHASING |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MORTON BLVD |  | JOHN CLARK DR |  |
| EXISTING SIGNAL TIMING |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CLEARANCE } \\ & \text { TO } \\ & \text { PRE-EMPTION } \end{aligned}$ |  | - |  |  |
| PRE-EMPTION |  |  | - |  |

A review of the existing signal equipment found the following:

- Traffic signal cabinet wiring is old and the insulation is worn
- Several detector loops are not functioning
- Fluctuations in electrical currents frequently cause the traffic signals to go into recall or operate in flash mode
- The MUTCD states that the pre-emption phasing currently in place, allowing right-turn overlaps towards the rail track, should be prohibited toward a rail crossing within 200 feet ${ }^{1}$. It is noted that the pre-emption phase that allows certain movements to take place when a train is present, is a relatively recent improvement at these intersections. It was reportedly implemented within the last year.
- The two traffic signals are not currently coordinated

Based upon this review, the existing traffic signal equipment should be upgraded or replaced and the traffic signal phasing should be modified to meet standards and provide optimum operations.

## B. Accident History

Accident data was obtained from NYSDOT for the most recent five-year period from January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2011. Table 2.1 summarizes the number and severity of the accidents at and between the study area intersections.

[^0]Table 2.1 - Accident Severity Summary

| Location | Severity |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fatality | Injury | PDO | NR |  |
| Morton Blvd/Boices Ln | 0 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 20 |
| Boices Ln from Morton Blvd to <br> John Clark Dr | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 10 |
| John Clark Dr/Boices Ln | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 8 |

PDO = Property Damage Only
NR = Non-Reportable which indicates no personal injuries occurred and property damages totaled less than \$1,000
The data shows 38 accidents occurred within the immediate study area. Accident rates were calculated and compared to the statewide average for the two study intersections. The accident rate at the Morton Boulevard intersection is 0.58 accidents per million vehicles entering the intersection (acc/MEV) while the calculated rate at the John Clark Drive intersection is 0.34 $\mathrm{acc} / \mathrm{MEV}$. The statewide average rate for signalized intersections of a similar type is 0.32 acc/MEV. Collision diagrams are included in Appendix A. Review of the accident data identified few discernable patterns.

- There are a variety of types of accidents including rear-end, side swipe, and right angle, among others.
- The crash rate at the Boices Lane/Morton Boulevard intersection is higher than the statewide average for similar intersections. (It is noted that the statewide average rate is based only on intersections with state roads. Since this is an intersection of a county road, and town road, the comparison may not be directly applicable).

The Ulster Police Department summarized accident data along Boices Lane from Ulster Avenue (US Route 9W) to Enterprise Drive for the time period from January 1, 2008 through September 21, 2012. The summary identified a similar number of accidents at the Morton Boulevard intersection ( 22 collisions) and the John Clark Drive intersection ( 9 collisions), and showed that four accidents appeared to be related to the railroad crossing gate; two of which were gate violations. The first involved a box truck disregarding the flashing red lights and striking the gates as they closed. The second accident involved an emergency vehicle and a gate malfunction in which the westbound gate lights weren't working.

## C. Traffic Volumes

An automatic traffic recorder (ATR) was installed on Boices Lane 900-feet west of Morton Boulevard to document existing traffic volumes. The ATR showed a small reduction in volume as compared to a 2009 count conducted at the same location. Table 2.2 summarizes the average daily and peak hour traffic volumes recorded. As a result, the 2009 existing PM peak hour turning movement data is a conservative representation of existing 2012 conditions.

Table 2.2 - Summary of Average Traffic Volumes

| Boices Lane | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| AADT | 12,400 | 13,880 |
| DHV (PM Peak) | 1,160 | 1,315 |
| K-Factor | 0.094 | 0.095 |
| DDHV (Eastbound) | 645 | 730 |
| Percent | $56 \%$ | $56 \%$ |
| \% Trucks (Daily) | $1.5 \%$ | $3 \%$ |

AADT = Annual Average Daily Traffic
DHV = Design Hour Volume (K-Factor = Peak hour volume divided by daily volume) DDHV = Directional Design Hour Volume

The table shows that the eastbound direction is the peak direction of travel. This is due to Boices Lane being used as an alternate travel route to US Route 209.

Turning movement traffic counts were conducted at the study area intersections during the morning peak commuter period from 7:15 to 9:00 a.m. in September 2012 to supplement available PM peak hour data. The existing AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes are shown on Figure 2.1. The traffic volume data is included in Appendix B.

## D. Pedestrian Activity

Sidewalks are provided on Boices Lane in the southwest quadrant of the Morton Boulevard intersection along the Stewarts parcel and on the north side of Boices Lane between US Route 9W and John Clark Drive. A multi-use path/sidewalk is also provided on the north side of Boices Lane within the Tech City property limits and along the east side of the CSX railroad which extends from Boices Lane approximately 375 -feet north intersecting the shoulder of John Clark Drive. Table 2.3 shows a summary of the peak hour pedestrian and bicycle crossings observed during the turning movement counts. The pedestrians and bicyclists were observed using the pedestrian accommodations where available.

Table 2.3 - Pedestrian and Bicycle Crossing Summary

| Intersection | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pedestrians | Bicycles | Pedestrians | Bicycles |
| Boices Ln/Morton Blvd/Tech City Dwy | 2 | 1 | 9 | 0 |
| Boices Ln/John Clark Dr/Plaza Dwy | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 |

The existing pedestrian network is incomplete. This is especially apparent at the two intersections (there are no pedestrian crossings, push buttons, or indicators) and at the rail crossing where the narrow pavement width results in pedestrians and bicyclists often travelling in the vehicle lane. Pedestrian improvements should be included in the plan for future improvements consistent with the New York State and Ulster County Complete Streets legislation.

## E. Existing Operations

Intersection Level of Service (LOS) and capacity analysis relate traffic volumes to the physical characteristics of an intersection. Intersection evaluations were made using the Synchro

Software (version 7) which automates the procedures contained in the Highway Capacity Manual. Levels of service range from A to $F$ with level of service A conditions considered excellent with very little delay while level of service F generally represents conditions with very long delays. Table 2.4 identifies the levels of service and associated delay ranges for each type of traffic control. Appendix C contains detailed descriptions of LOS criteria for signalized, unsignalized, and roundabout controlled intersections. Table 2.5 shows the results of the existing levels of service analysis.

Table 2.4 - Levels of Service

| Level of <br> Service | Control Delay (sec/veh) <br> Insignalized | Signalized or Roundabout <br> Intersection |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\leq 10.0$ | $\leq 10.0$ |  |
| A | $>10.0$ and $\leq 15.0$ | $>10.0$ and $\leq 20.0$ |  |
| B | $>15.0$ and $\leq 25.0$ | $>20.0$ and $\leq 35.0$ |  |
| C | $>25.0$ and $\leq 35.0$ | $>35.0$ and $\leq 55.0$ |  |
| D | $>35.0$ and $\leq 50.0$ | $>55.0$ and $\leq 80.0$ |  |
| E | $>50.0$ | $>80.0$ |  |
| F |  |  |  |

Table 2.5 - Existing Level of Service Summary

| Intersection |  | O | Existing 2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour |
| Boices Ln/Morton Blvd/Tech City Dwy |  |  | S |  |  |
| Boices Ln EB | L | B (16.3) |  | A (0.0) |
|  | T | B (19.6) |  | C (31.1) |
|  | R | A (6.8) |  | A (6.4) |
| Boices Ln WB | L | A (9.8) |  | B (13.9) |
|  | T | A (9.5) |  | B (11.8) |
|  | R | A (8.5) |  | A (0.0) |
| Morton Blvd NB | LT | B (12.8) |  | B (17.4) |
|  | R | A (6.1) |  | A (9.0) |
| East Drwy SB | L | C (26.8) |  | D (35.7) |
|  | TR | C (26.9) |  | D (35.4) |
| Overall |  |  | B (11.4) | B (16.4) |
| Boices Ln/John Clark Dr/Plaza Dwy |  | S |  |  |
|  | LT,TR |  | A (5.2) | A (6.4) |
| Boices Ln WB | LT |  | A (5.6) | A (6.2) |
| Retail Drwy NB | R |  | A (4.4) | A (4.5) |
|  | LT |  | B (15.5) | B (16.1) |
|  | R |  | A (0.0) | B (15.5) |
| John Clark Dr SB | LT |  | B (15.9) | B (16.1) |
|  | R |  | B (16.0) | B (16.2) |
| Overall |  |  | A (7.5) | A (8.3) |

TW, AW, S, R = Two-way stop, All-way stop, Signal, or Roundabout controlled intersection NB, SB, EB, WB = Northbound, Southbound, Eastbound, Westbound intersection approaches L, T, R = Left-turn, through, and/or right-turn movements
$X(Y . Y)=$ Level of Service (Average delay in seconds per vehicle)

The analysis shows that the intersections currently operate with acceptable levels of service during the AM and PM peak hours under existing, average conditions. However, field observations show that when a train is crossing Boices Lane, eastbound vehicle queues extend
as far as Enterprise Drive and westbound vehicle queues extend toward Route 9, but were not observed reaching Route 9. The intersections take several traffic signal cycles to recover and return to average operating conditions.

It is noted that the inconsistent pavement striping, signing, narrow travel lanes, and the acute side street approach angle at Morton Boulevard contribute to the complexity of the intersections. The short and narrow eastbound right turn on Boices Lane approaching Morton Boulevard is not long enough to allow traffic to flow freely on this overlap during pre-emption. Providing standard pavement striping and signing, while improving lane widths and lengthening the eastbound right turn lane, will help improve driver guidance and operations during pre-emption.

The evaluation also identifies the PM peak hour as the critical time period with higher traffic volumes, therefore the AM peak hour is eliminated from further analysis. All additional evaluations focus on the PM peak hour.

## F. Train Activity

Daily regularly scheduled trains that cross the at-grade railroad crossing on Boices Lane were provided by CSX for the month of August 2012. The data is included in Appendix D. The weekday and weekend data is summarized on Tables 2.6 and 2.7 . It is noted that these totals do not include "extra" trains such as ethanol loads (south) and empties (north).

Table 2.6-CSX Train Movements (Weekday Summary)

| Day | Date | Train <br> Moves | Average Length <br> (feet) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wednesday | $8 / 1 / 2012$ | 31 | 5,684 |  |
| Thursday | $8 / 2 / 2012$ | 30 | 5,671 |  |
| Friday | $8 / 3 / 2012$ | 30 | 5,142 |  |
| Monday | $8 / 6 / 2012$ | 21 | 5,565 |  |
| Tuesday | $8 / 7 / 2012$ | 31 | 4,888 |  |
| Wednesday | $8 / 8 / 2012$ | 32 | 5,418 |  |
| Thursday | $8 / 9 / 2012$ | 33 | 5,271 |  |
| Friday | $8 / 10 / 2012$ | 29 | 5,187 |  |
| Monday | $8 / 13 / 2012$ | 22 | 5,239 |  |
| Tuesday | $8 / 14 / 2012$ | 34 | 4,550 |  |
| Wednesday | $8 / 15 / 2012$ | 31 | 5,456 |  |
| Thursday | $8 / 17 / 2012$ | 31 | 5,266 |  |
| Friday | $8 / 20 / 2012$ | 29 | 5,609 |  |
| Monday | $8 / 21 / 2012$ | 23 | 5,513 |  |
| Tuesday | $8 / 22 / 2012$ | 33 | 5,116 |  |
| Wednesday | $8 / 23 / 2012$ | 31 | 5,328 |  |
| Thursday | $8 / 24 / 2012$ | 31 | 5,173 |  |
| Friday | $8 / 27 / 2012$ | 25 | 5,408 |  |
| Monday | $8 / 28 / 2012$ | 29 | 4,883 |  |
| Tuesday | $8 / 29 / 2012$ | 35 | 5,311 |  |
| Wednesday | $8 / 30 / 2012$ | 32 | 5,079 |  |
| Thursday | $8 / 31 / 2012$ | 32 | 5,459 |  |
| Friday | 30 | 4,644 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 5,255 |  |  |

Table 2.7-CSX Train Movements (Weekend Summary)

| Day | Date | Train <br> Moves | Average Length <br> (feet) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Saturday | $8 / 4 / 2012$ | 29 | 6,070 |
| Sunday | $8 / 5 / 2012$ | 25 | 6,273 |
| Saturday | $8 / 11 / 2012$ | 22 | 6,785 |
| Sunday | $8 / 12 / 2012$ | 25 | 5,715 |
| Saturday | $8 / 18 / 2012$ | 28 | 5,996 |
| Sunday | $8 / 19 / 2012$ | 24 | 5,965 |
| Saturday | $8 / 25 / 2012$ | 31 | 6,085 |
| Sunday | $8 / 26 / 2012$ | 23 | 5,684 |
|  | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 0 7 2}$ |  |

An acoustic train counter was also installed adjacent to the rail crossing from October 26 to November 7, however only a few days of reliable data was obtained before Hurricane Sandy hit on October 29, which affected the train service in the area. The two days of data showed reasonable correlations with the data in Table 2.7 where 26 trains were counted on Saturday October 27, and 20 trains were counted on Sunday October 28.

Train speeds range from slow moving trains associated with track changing nearby, to 50 mph high speed trains. Depending on the speed of the train, pre-emption typically lasts approximately two to four minutes.

Table 2.8 summarizes regularly scheduled trains each week.
Table 2.8 - CSX Train Movements (Weekly)

| Day | Train Moves | Northbound | Southbound |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monday | 23 | 11 | 12 |
| Tuesday | 27 | 15 | 12 |
| Wednesday | 30 | 16 | 14 |
| Thursday | 31 | 16 | 15 |
| Friday | 29 | 15 | 14 |
| Saturday | 27 | 13 | 14 |
| Sunday | 24 | 11 | 13 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 4}$ |
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## Chapter 3. Alternatives

Based on a review of the existing traffic conditions analysis, three short-term and three longterm alternatives were developed for evaluation. The alternatives are described below.

## A. Short-Term Improvements

Three short-term alternatives were identified for evaluation. Option A is shown on Figure 3.1. This option upgrades the existing traffic signals and includes minor roadway/shoulder widening within the right-of-way including widening and lengthening the eastbound right turn lane on Boices Lane at Morton Boulevard, and addresses the existing pavement striping and signing inconsistencies. This is considered the minimum improvement to address existing deficiencies. Option B is shown on Figure 3.2 and includes the Option A changes, and also modifies the approach geometry at both intersections to provide more capacity in anticipation of growth at Tech City. Option C is similar to Option A, with a different signal phasing modification that would provide split phasing at both traffic signals with inside clearance between the two intersections

## Option A (Signal Optimization)

1. Pave shoulders to the right-of-way on the south side of Boices Lane at the railroad crossing
2. Complete the pavement striping including stop bars and turn arrows
3. Widen and extend the eastbound right-turn lane on Boices Lane at Morton Boulevard by approximately 250 feet by shortening the second westbound travel lane west of Morton Boulevard
4. Improve traffic control with new wiring, signal heads, signs, 2070 traffic signal controllers, and new cabinets. The 2070 controllers will allow improved phasing after pre-emption to clear the queues more quickly. The 2070 controller will also require additional training so that they can be operated and maintained adequately by the Town.
5. Restrict left-turns from Boices Lane onto Elmwood Street
6. Improve turn restrictions at Stewart's driveway with additional signs

## Option B (Increase Capacity)

1. Option A improvements, plus:
2. Modify geometry on Boices Lane at Morton Boulevard to provide two eastbound through lanes on Boices Lane at Morton Boulevard. Provide two westbound through lanes on Boices Lane at John Clark Drive.

## Option C (Split Phasing - Inside Clearance)

1. Split phasing with inside clearance, meaning one signal controller would operate both intersections. The intersections would be phased to eliminate queuing between the two intersections (inside clearance). This would include the same level of investment as Option A to upgrade the traffic signals and address other existing deficiencies.

## B. Long-Term Improvement

Three long-term alternatives were evaluated as identified below. These include Tech City (Alternative 1) shown on Figure 3.3, Jug Handle (Alternative 2) shown on Figure 3.4, and Turn Prohibitions (Alternative 3) shown on Figure 3.5. These long term alternatives are more extensive than the short-term options, because they involve additional roadway construction
and right-of-way to address standard lane widths, alignment issues and pedestrian accommodations. There was also a discussion of a possible a raised median along Boices Lane to reduce gate violations and crashes at Stewart's driveways, which could be added to any long term comprehensive reconstruction alternative.

## Alternative 1 (Modified Tech City)

This is the same geometric improvement contained in the Tech City GEIS. The only change is the addition of pedestrian accommodations.

1. Widen and extend eastbound right turn lane on Boices Lane and at Morton Boulevard
2. Increase the radius for the Morton Boulevard northbound right-turn movement onto Boices Lane. This will require right-of-way and utility relocation.
3. Add a second eastbound through lane on Boices Lane approaching Morton Boulevard
4. Provide crosswalks at the Morton Boulevard and John Clark Drive intersections and pedestrian accommodations across the RR tracks (on one side at a minimum). Note crosswalks are not proposed on Boices Lane between the two intersections because this would require relocating the stop line and reducing the available storage between the railroad and each intersection.
5. Improve signal control with new pedestrian signals, vehicle phasing, and signal coordination. This will require relocation of CSX train pre-emption detection to enable sufficient pedestrian clearance time.
6. Obtain right-of-way and easements as needed for roadway and pedestrian improvements

## Alternative 2 (Jug Handle)

1. Eliminate westbound lefts from Boices Lane to Morton Boulevard and construct jug handle for left turns to Morton Boulevard.
2. Install pedestrian accommodations at the intersections and sidewalks across the railroad crossing with same considerations as Alternative 1.
3. Add a second eastbound through lane on Boices Lane approaching Morton Boulevard
4. Improve signal control consistent with Alternative 1.
5. Obtain right-of-way and easements as needed for roadway and pedestrian improvements

## Alternative 3 (Turn Prohibitions)

The intent of the Turn Prohibition Alternative is to eliminate all queuing on the RR tracks.

1. Eastbound left turns would be restricted from Boices Lane onto John Clark Drive and westbound left turns would be restricted from Boices Lane onto Morton Boulevard.
2. Peak hour volumes on the order of 150 vehicles per hour currently making these turns, would divert to other roadways or intersections. The Advisory Committee felt this would require further study of the impact of the diverted trips.
3. This concept also includes modifications to side street geometry at both intersections as shown on the Figure 3.5.
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## Chapter 4. Evaluation

## A. Traffic Volume Forecasts

Traffic volumes were developed for the 2032 future conditions to evaluate the effectiveness of the long-term alternatives. The future traffic volumes include traffic associated with development at Tech City and general background growth and are illustrated on Figure 4.1.

## B. Level of Service and Capacity Analysis

The relative impact of the short-term and long-term improvements can be determined by comparing the level of service during the design year for the Existing and Build or Null and Build traffic conditions. Tables 4.1 and 4.2 summarize the results of the Level of Service calculations for the PM peak hour for the short- and long-term improvements, respectively.

Table 4.1 - Short-Term Improvements Levels of Service

| Intersection |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O} \\ & \text { OL } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | PM Peak Hour Short-Term Options (2012) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Existing | Option A (Optimization) | Option B (Capacity) | Option C <br> (Phasing) |
| Boices Ln/Morton Blvd/Tech City Dwy |  |  | S |  |  |  |  |
| Boices Ln EB | L |  |  | A (0.0) | -- | A (0.0) |
|  | T | C (31.1) |  | C (24.9) | -- | E (66.2) |
|  | [LT, T] | -- |  | -- | B (19.9) | -- |
|  | R | A (6.8) |  | A (5.5) | A (6.2) | C (30.3) |
| Boices Ln WB | L | B (13.9) |  | A (8.7) | A (6.3) | B (11.9) |
|  | T | B (11.8) |  | A (6.1) | -- | B (11.9) |
|  | R | A (0.0) |  | A (0.0) | -- | A (0.0) |
| Morton Blvd NB | [TR] | -- |  | -- | A (6.9) | A |
|  | LT | B (17.4) |  | B (18.8) | B (17.3) | E (59.6) |
|  | R | A (9.0) |  | B (11.4) | A (9.7) | C (27.9) |
| East Drwy SB | L | D (35.7) |  | C (33.8) | C (33.5) | C (23.7) |
|  | TR | D (35.4) |  | C (33.3) | C (33.1) | C (23.4) |
| Overall |  |  | B (16.5) | B (13.7) | B (12.1) | D (38.5) |
| Boices Ln/John Clark Dr/Plaza Dwy |  | S |  |  |  |  |
| Boices Ln EB | LT,TR |  | A (6.4) | A (1.1) | A (1.7) | A (0.5) |
| Boices Ln WB | LT |  | A (6.2) | A (8.1) | -- | E (72.3) |
|  | R |  | A (4.5) | A (5.9) | -- | C (29.5) |
| Retail Drwy NB | [LT,TR] |  | $\stackrel{-}{-1}$ | $\stackrel{--}{\text { - }}$ | A (6.8) | $\stackrel{--}{\text { - }}$ |
|  | LT |  | B (16.1) | C (23.7) | C (23.7) | D (36.1) |
|  | R |  | B (15.5) | C (23.1) | C (23.1) | D (35.1) |
| John Clark Dr SB | LT |  | B (16.1) | C (23.6) | C (23.6) | D (36.0) |
|  | R |  | B (16.2) | C (25.9) | C (25.9) | E (57.8) |
| Overall |  |  | A (8.3) | A (7.8) | A (7.8) | C (29.3) |

TW, AW, S, R = Two-way stop, All-way stop, Signal, or Roundabout controlled intersection
NB, SB, EB, WB = Northbound, Southbound, Eastbound, Westbound intersection approaches
L, T, R = Left-turn, through, and/or right-turn movements
[LT,T] = Future approach geometry
$X(Y . Y)=$ Level of Service (Average delay in seconds per vehicle)
-- = Not applicable

The analysis shows that the study intersections will operate with levels of service comparable to existing conditions with implementation of either Short-term Option A or Short-term Option B. Delays under Short-term Option C would be three or four times greater than existing, which make this alternative less feasible. For clarification, Short-term Option C (Phasing - Inside Clearance) would require upgrades to the existing traffic signals to allow both intersections to
operate as one. The specific phasing is shown on the Synchro Timing Reports in Appendix C. As noted previously, providing standard pavement striping and signing, while improving lane widths to the extent possible, which is included in all of the short term improvements, will help to strengthen driver guidance and improve safety and operations at the intersections.

Table 4.2 - Long-Term Improvements Levels of Service

| Intersection |  | $\overline{0}$0.0.00 | PM Peak Hour Long Range Options (2032) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Null | Tech City Alternative 1 | Jug Handle Alternative 2 | Prohibit Turns Alternative 3 |
| Boices Ln/Morton Blvd/Tech City Drwy |  |  | S |  |  |  |  |
| Boices Ln EB | L | A (0.0) |  | -- | -- | -- |
|  | T | F (85.4) |  | -- | -- | -- |
|  | [T,T] | -- |  | C (28.3) | B (19.4) | C (23.2) |
|  | R | C (22.4) |  | A (9.3) | A (7.5) | B (19.5) |
| Boices Ln WB | L | F (156) |  | C (30.3) | -- | -- |
|  | T | B (19.8) |  | B (15.7) | C (23.4) | A (4.2) |
|  | R | C (23.3) |  | B (10.1) | ( | A (0.1) |
| Morton Blvd NB | LT | D (39.1) |  | -- | -- | -- |
|  | R | B (14.5) |  | -- |  |  |
|  | [L] | -- |  | B (18.3) | C (25.6) | C (29.3) |
|  | [TR] | F -- |  | C (32.8) | C (22.5) | B (14.7) |
| East Drwy SB | L | F (133) |  | C (27.0) | B (16.4) | B (15.9) |
|  | TR | C (22.0) |  | C (30.1) | D (42.3) | B (12.6) |
| Overall |  |  | E (56.6) | C (22.2) | C (22.0) | B (17.5) |
| Boices Ln/John Clark Dr/Plaza Drwy |  | S |  |  |  |  |
| Boices Ln EBBoices Ln WB | LT,TR |  | A (8.1) | A (5.5) | A (4.5) | -- |
|  | [T,TR] |  | $\stackrel{-}{-1}$ | A | A | A (0.4) |
|  | LT |  | B (11.3) | -- | -- | -- |
|  | R |  | A (6.8) | $\stackrel{--}{8}$ | A (82) | B ${ }_{-}$ |
| Retail Drwy NB | [LT,TR] |  | $\stackrel{--}{\text { C }}$ (33) | A (8.2) | A (8.2) | B (19.6) |
|  | LT |  | C (33.7) | C (22.8) | C (22.8) | C (25.1) |
|  | R |  | C (32.8) | C (22.1) | C (22.1) | C (24.6) |
| John Clark Dr SB | LT |  | C (33.7) | C (22.7) | C (22.7) | C (26.3) |
|  | R |  | D (40.7) | C (27.2) | C (27.2) | C (29.3) |
|  | Overall |  | B (13.6) | A (9.4) | A (8.8) | A (9.2) |

TW, AW, S, R = Two-way stop, All-way stop, Signal, or Roundabout controlled intersection
NB, SB, EB, WB = Northbound, Southbound, Eastbound, Westbound intersection approaches
L, T, R = Left-turn, through, and/or right-turn movements
[LT,T] = Future approach geometry
$X(Y . Y)=$ Level of Service (Average delay in seconds per vehicle)
-- = Not applicable

The level of service analysis shows that under 2032 Null conditions, several movements at the Boices Lane/Morton Boulevard intersection will operate at level of service F. Improvements are needed to provide generally acceptable levels of service. The Boices Lane/John Clark Drive intersection will operate at overall level of service B under future 2032 conditions. With implementation of long-term alternatives 1, 2, or 3, the Morton Boulevard intersection will operate at overall level of service $C$ with all movements operating at level of service $D$ or better. At John Clark Drive, the intersection will operate at overall level of service A with all movements operating at level of service $C$ or better.

In addition the sidewalk and crosswalk features associated with construction of the long-term alternatives will provide substantial benefit to pedestrians.

## C. Cost Estimates

The long-range capacity alternatives will be costly to construct and require public hearings for modifications to the rail crossing. While some type of capacity improvement is expected to be needed in the future with development of Tech City, a large scale capital project is not likely at this time based on discussions with the Advisory Committee, and due to limited funding. Cost estimates were prepared for the short-term improvements to identify funding needs associated with the two feasible options.

Improvements to the traffic signal phasing and signing may require installation of new traffic signal poles subject to the loading capacity of the existing poles. In addition, due to the extent of the lane and pavement markings, milling and replacing the existing top coat of asphalt may be needed to provide a clean surface for the new markings. Table 4.3 summarizes the planning level cost estimates for the two short-term options assuming partial replacement of traffic control equipment and grinding of the existing pavement markings for Option A, and full replacement of traffic signals and mill and fill for Option B.

Table 4.3 - Short-Term Improvement Cost Estimates

|  | Cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Option A | Option B |
| Item Description |  |  |
| Signal Components - Morton Blvd | \$74,000 | -- |
| Signal - Morton Blvd | -- | \$119,000 |
| Signal Components - John Clark Dr | \$136,000 | \$181,000 |
| Signal - John Clark Dr | -- | -- |
| Striping - Morton Blvd | \$13,000 | \$20,000 |
| Striping - John Clark Dr | \$13,000 | \$20,000 |
| Box Out widening | \$20,000 | \$20,000 |
| Mill and fill | -- | \$170,000 |
| Item Sub-Total | \$256,000 | \$530,000 |
| Construction |  |  |
| Contingency (25\%) | \$64,000 | \$132,500 |
| Work Zone Traffic Control (6\%) | \$15,400 | \$31,800 |
| Survey and Stakeout (2\%) | \$5,200 | \$10,600 |
| Construction Sub-Total | \$340,600 | \$704,900 |
| Mobilization (4\%) | \$13,600 | \$28,200 |
| Construction Total | \$354,200 | \$733,100 |
| Soft Costs |  |  |
| Design Engineering (12\%) | \$42,500 | \$88,000 |
| Construction Inspection (15\%) | \$53,100 | \$110,000 |
| Project Total | \$449,800 | \$931,100 |
| Project Estimate | \$455,000 | \$935,000 |

The cost estimate comparison shows that the minimum recommended investment is approximately $\$ 455,000$ to rewire the traffic signals, replace the signal controllers and address other existing deficiencies. Adding geometry, additional roadway work and new traffic signals associated with Option B increases the overall cost to approximately $\$ 935,000$.

## D. Funding and Implementation

A meeting was held with the NYSDOT Main Office Rail group to discuss the availability of Section 130 grade crossing safety program funds for some or all of these improvements. Discussions with NYSDOT and review of the program web site - https://www.dot.ny.gov/ divisions/operating/osss/rail/grade-crossings revealed the following priorities for the grade crossing safety program:

1. Addressing crossings that warrant interconnection with highway traffic signals
2. Improving pedestrian crossing safety
3. Mitigating profile deficient crossings
4. Updating existing active warning devices/signals at grade crossings
5. Updating passive public crossings
6. Closing/eliminating crossings

This study has shown that Items 1 and 2 (highway traffic signal improvements and pedestrian crossing improvements) are needed in the area. Conversations with Advisory Committee and the NYSDOT Main Office also noted that item 4 (updating the active warning devices) would be needed to accommodate future pedestrian crossing improvements. The NYSDOT web site also states:
"New York's Grade Crossing Program focuses on improving safety at existing highwayrailroad crossings primarily through the installation of warning devices. Such devices include: standard signs and pavement markings; installation or replacement of active warning devices (flashers and gates); upgrading active warning devices, including track circuitry improvements and interconnections with highway traffic signals; crossing illumination; crossing surface improvements; and general site improvements".

It was concluded that the NYSDOT Region 8 would continue to coordinate with the NYSDOT Main Office to secure Section 130 funds. Additional cost sharing discussions are required with the Town, and the MPO to determine if additional sources of funding can be applied to the project. At this time, it appears that the Section 130 funds would be used toward Item 4 above to widen the crossing and install new stanchions, so that a separate future pedestrian improvement project and highway traffic signal project could tie into the new widened crossing.

ALTERNATIVE 1
(TECH CITY)


ALTERNATIVE 2
(JUG HANDLE)


ALTERNATIVE 3
(TURN PROHIBITIONS)


## Chapter 5. Conclusions and Recommendations

This report summarizes the results of a traffic operations and safety assessment at the existing railroad crossing on Boices Lane in the Town of Ulster, Ulster County, New York. The assessment includes the adjacent intersections of Morton Boulevard and John Clark Drive with Boices Lane and finds the following conditions exist.

- Non-compliant, poor condition, or non-existent pedestrian accommodations
- Pedestrian activity at the RR crossing
- Old and/or faulty highway traffic signal equipment
- Narrow right-of-way
- Short and narrow eastbound right turn lane on Boices Lane approaching Morton Boulevard
- Inconsistent pavement markings and signs
- Acute intersection approach angle from Morton Boulevard approaching Boices Lane causes lane encroachment
- Long vehicular queues and delay during pre-emption, which typically lasts two to four minutes (an average of 30 trains per day use the crossing)
- Train speeds ranging from low speed associated track switching, to high speed 50 mph trains
- Crash rate at the Boices Lane/Morton Boulevard intersection is above the statewide average for similar intersections
- The accident evaluation identified two gate violations including one involving malfunctioning equipment
- Average daily traffic volumes on the order of 12,500 vehicles per day at the crossing

Many of these conditions point to the need for improvements at the crossing and at the adjacent intersections. The primary goals of the improvement options are to improve driver guidance and traffic operations and safety, improve pedestrian accommodations, and improve traffic operations during and immediately after pre-emption. Short term options include:

- Option A: Upgrade the highway traffic signal equipment and increase the length of the eastbound right-turn lane at Morton Boulevard, which will allow traffic in this lane to flow more readily during pre-emption.
- Option B: Install new highway traffic signals, increase the length of the eastbound rightturn lane at Morton Boulevard, provide two eastbound through lanes at Morton Boulevard and two westbound through lanes at John Clark Drive. This improvement provides more capacity for growth at Tech City.
- Option C: Provide split phasing at the two highway traffic signals to enable inside clearance i.e. no queuing between the two traffic signals.

Implementation of either Option A or Option B will provide good traffic operations with improved driver guidance. Vehicular delays under Option C would be three or four times greater than existing, which make this alternative less feasible.

Several long term alternatives were also investigated which would involve roadway widening, pedestrian accommodations, and right-of-way acquisition. These alternatives included:

- Alternative 1 (Modified Tech City): Upgrade and connect pedestrian accommodations, provide a 4-lane cross section across the railroad tracks, upgrade the traffic signal equipment, improve the railroad crossing, upgrade non-standard features.
- Alternative 2 (Jug Handle): Upgrade and connect pedestrian accommodations, eliminate westbound lefts from Boices Lane to Morton Boulevard, upgrade traffic signal equipment, improve the railroad crossing, upgrade non-standard features.
- Alternative 3 (Turn Prohibitions): Upgrade and connect pedestrian accommodations, restrict eastbound lefts to John Clark Drive and westbound lefts to Morton Boulevard, upgrade traffic signal equipment, improve the railroad crossing, upgrade non-standard features.

When comparing the short- and long-term alternatives, the primary distinctions are the right-ofway impacts, pedestrian improvements, widening the railroad crossing (new RR stanchions), and project costs. The long-term improvements also address the need for standard lane widths, radii, and lane alignment. While the long-term improvements are expected to be needed in the future with the development of Tech City, the immediately anticipated growth does not necessitate capacity improvements, and funding for this level of improvement is not currently available, therefore, the implementation plan focuses on short-term strategies and improvements.

Discussions with the NYSDOT and the Advisory Committee concluded that Section 130 grade crossing safety program funds would be pursued for short-term improvements in the area. This could cover the cost of widening the crossing, installing new RR signal stanchions, and relocating the train detection to accommodate necessary pedestrian clearance times for a future highway traffic signal and pedestrian improvement project funded separately.

In the long-term, Alternative 1 (Modified Tech City) has been identified as the preferred alternative for the following reasons:

- Completes the pedestrian network in the study area.
- Provides good operations.
- Addresses roadway capacity and alignment needs.
- Results in small ROW impacts
- Addresses the Morton Boulevard turning maneuver encroachment.
- Clarifies driver guidance

Planning level cost estimates were prepared for the preferred long-term alternative and are included in Appendix E. Total project cost is estimated at $\$ 3,150,000$, which includes contingencies, engineering and construction inspection. This cost will be off-set somewhat to the extent that the short-term improvements are pursued and retained. The preferred long-term alternative is shown on Figure 5.1.

On-going coordination is recommended with the MPO, the Town, and the NYSDOT to confirm additional funding and responsibilities.
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## Appendix A

# Accident Evaluation 

Railroad Crossing Study<br>Boices Lane<br>Town of Ulster, Ulster County, New York

DIAGRAMNo.: $\qquad$


DIAGRAMNo.: $\qquad$


DIAGRAMNO.: $\qquad$


TOWN ULSTER
JOB NO. 112-023
NUMBER OF ACCIDENTS
INTERSECTION OF BOICES LANE $\qquad$ AND JOHN CLARK DR BY MDN

PERIOD 5 YRS. 0 MO.
FROM 1/1/07 TO 12/31/11
DATE 10/4/12


| ACCIDENT SUMMARY | DAYLIGHT |  |  |  |  | NIGHT |  |  |  |  | TOTALS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLASSIFICATION BY TYPES | FATAL | NONFATAL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PROP. } \\ & \text { DAM. } \end{aligned}$ | NONREP | TOTAL | FATAL | NONFATAL | PROP. DAM. | NONREP. | TOTAL | FATAL | NONFATAL | PROP. DAM. | NONREP. | TOTAL |
| RIGHT ANGLE |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |
| REAR-END |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| HEAD-ON / BACKING |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| RIGHT TURN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| OVERTAKING/SIDESWIPE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RUN OFF ROAD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FIXED OBJECT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PARKED CAR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PEDESTRIAN/BICYCLIST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OTHER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTALS |  |  | 4 | 2 | 6 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |  | 6 | 2 | 8 |

TOWN ULSTER JOB NO. 112-023 NUMBER OF ACCIDENTS

SEGMENT OF BOICES MORTON BLVD TO JOHN CLARK DR BY MDN
PERIOD 5 YRS. 0 MO.
FROM 1/1/07 TO 12/31/11
DATE 10/4/12


TOWN ULSTER
JOB NO. 112-023
NUMBER OF ACCIDENTS
INTERSECTION OF BOICES LANE $\qquad$ AND MORTON BLVD BY MDN

PERIOD 5 YRS. 0 MO.
FROM 1/1/07 TO 12/31/11
DATE 10/4/12


| ACCIDENT SUMMARY | DAYLIGHT |  |  |  |  | NIGHT |  |  |  |  | TOTALS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLASSIFICATION BY TYPES | FATAL | NONFATAL | $\begin{gathered} \text { PROP. } \\ \text { DAM. } \end{gathered}$ | NONREP. | TOTAL | FATAL | NONFATAL | $\begin{gathered} \text { PROP. } \\ \text { DAM. } \end{gathered}$ | NONREP. | TOTAL | FATAL | NON- <br> FATAL | $\begin{gathered} \text { PROP. } \\ \text { DAM. } \end{gathered}$ | NONREP. | TOTAL |
| RIGHT ANGLE |  | 1 | 4 |  | 5 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 2 | 4 |  | 6 |
| REAR-END |  | 3 |  | 4 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 4 | 7 |
| HEAD-ON / BACKING |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| RIGHT TURN |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| OVERTAKING/SIDESWIPE |  |  | 2 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| RUN OFF ROAD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FIXED OBJECT |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| PARKED CAR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PEDESTRIAN/BICYCLIST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OTHER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTALS |  | 4 | 10 | 5 | 19 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 5 | 10 | 5 | 20 |

## Appendix B

# Traffic Volume Data 

Railroad Crossing Study<br>Boices Lane<br>Town of Ulster, Ulster County, New York

Project: 112-023
Counted By: MDN
Location: Ulster, NY
File Name : tm112023a2
Site Code : 12-023-2
Start Date : 9/28/2012
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Pass Veh - Heavy Veh - School Bus

|  | Boices Ln Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Boices Ln Westbound |  |  |  |  | Morton Blvd Northbound |  |  |  |  | Driveway Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | RTOR | Aod Tolkl | Left | Thru | Righl | RTOR | Ape. Tean | Left | Thru | Right | RTOR | Aspo Toud | Left | Thru | Right | RTOR | Ape Tolal | Int. Totar |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 29 | 26 | 11 | 66 | 17 | 24 | 3 | 0 | 44 | 42 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 57 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 168 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 32 | 44 | 9 | 85 | 17 | 43 | 1 | 2 | 63 | 62 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 77 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 226 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 61 | 49 | 25 | 135 | 21 | 56 | 3 | 1 | 81 | 87 | 2 | 11 | 10 | 110 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 328 |
| Total | 0 | 122 | 119 | 45 | 286 | 55 | 123 | 7 | 3 | 188 | 191 | 7 | 17 | 29 | 244 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 722 |


| 08:00 AM | 0 | 43 | 43 | 14 | 100 | 26 | 28 | 7 | 1 | 62 | 53 | 4 | 7 | 13 | 77 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 243 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 44 | 35 | 14 | 93 | 35 | 31 | 2 | 2 | 70 | 55 | 1 | 12 | 9 | 77 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 243 |
| 08:30 AM | 1 | 50 | 52 | 20 | 123 | 34 | 42 | 3 | 0 | 79 | 35 | 0 | 14 | 11 | 60 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 263 |
| 08:45 AM | 0 | 60 | 42 | 25 | 127 | 33 | 43 | 2 | 0 | 78 | 53 | 4 | 10 | 17 | 84 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 290 |
| Total | 1 | 197 | 172 | 73 | 443 | 128 | 144 | 14 | 3 | 289 | 196 | 9 | 43 | 50 | 298 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 1039 |
| Grand Total | 1 | 319 | 291 | 118 | 729 | 183 | 267 | 21 | 6 | 477 | 387 | 16 | 60 | 79 | 542 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 1761 |
| Apprch \% | 0.1 | 43.8 | 39.9 | 16.2 |  | 38.4 | 56 | 4.4 | 1.3 |  | 71.4 | 3 | 11.1 | 14.6 |  | 38.5 | 53.8 | 0 | 7.7 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0.1 | 18.1 | 16.5 | 6.7 | 41.4 | 10.4 | 15.2 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 27.1 | 22 | 0.9 | 3.4 | 4.5 | 30.8 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.7 |  |
| Pass Veh | 1 | 313 | 278 | 115 | 707 | 181 | 261 | 21 | 5 | 468 | 368 | 15 | 58 | 78 | 519 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1706 |
| \% Pass Veh | 100 | 98.1 | 95.5 | 97.5 | 97 | 98.9 | 97.8 | 100 | 83.3 | 98.1 | 95.1 | 93.8 | 96.7 | 98.7 | 95.8 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 92.3 | 96.9 |
| Heavy Veh | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 18 |
| \% Heavy Veh | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0 | 16.7 | 1 | 1 | 6.2 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 7.7 | 1 |
| School Bus | 0 | 5 | 13 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
| \% School Bus | 0 | 1.6 | 4.5 | 0 | 2.5 | 0 | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 3.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.1 |

Project: 112-023
File Name : tm112023a2
Counted By: MDN
Site Code : 12-023-2
Location: Ulster, NY
Start Date: 9/28/2012
Page No : 2

|  | Boices Ln Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Boices Ln Westbound |  |  |  |  | Morton Blvd Northbound |  |  |  |  | Driveway Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | RTOR | Abp Tolal | Left | Thru | Right | RTOR | Apa Toun | Left | Thru | Right | RTOR | Anp Total | Left | Thru | Right | RTOR | Afsp Teme | Int Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 7:15:00 AM to 8:45:00 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 7:45:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7:45:00 AM | 0 | 61 | 49 | 25 | 135 | 21 | 56 | 3 | 1 | 81 | 87 | 2 | 11 | 10 | 110 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 328 |
| 8:00:00 AM | 0 | 43 | 43 | 14 | 100 | 26 | 28 | 7 | 1 | 62 | 53 | 4 | 7 | 13 | 77 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 243 |
| 8:15:00 AM | 0 | 44 | 35 | 14 | 93 | 35 | 31 | 2 | 2 | 70 | 55 | 1 | 12 | 9 | 77 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 243 |
| 8:30:00 AM | 1 | 50 | 52 | 20 | 123 | 34 | 42 | 3 | 0 | 79 | 35 | 0 | 14 | 11 | 60 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 263 |
| Total Volume | 1 | 198 | 179 | 73 | 451 | 116 | 157 | 15 | 4 | 292 | 230 | 7 | 44 | 43 | 324 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 1077 |
| \% App. Total | 0.2 | 43.9 | 39.7 | 16.2 |  | 39.7 | 53.8 | 5.1 | 1.4 |  | 71 | 2.2 | 13.6 | 13.3 |  | 40 | 50 | 0 | 10 |  |  |
| PHF | 250 | . 811 | . 861 | . 730 | . 835 | 829 | . 701 | . 536 | . 500 | . 901 | . 661 | . 438 | . 786 | . 827 | . 736 | . 500 | . 625 | . 000 | . 250 | . 625 | . 821 |
| Pass Veh | 1 | 195 | 170 | 70 | 436 | 114 | 152 | 15 | 3 | 284 | 222 | 6 | 44 | 42 | 314 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1043 |
| \% Pass Veh | 100 | 98.5 | 95.0 | 95.9 | 96.7 | 98.3 | 96.8 | 100 | 75.0 | 97.3 | 96.5 | 85.7 | 100 | 97.7 | 96.9 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 90.0 | 96.8 |
| Heavy Veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 12 |
| \% Heavy Veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.1 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 0 | 25.0 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 143 | 0 | 2.3 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 10.0 | 1.1 |
| School Bus | 0 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| \% School Bus | 0 | 1.5 | 5.0 | 0 | 2.7 | 0 | 2.5 | 0 | 0 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.0 |



Project: 112-023
Counted By: DDD
Location: Ulster, NY
Other:
File Name : tm112023a1
Site Code : 12-023-1
Start Date : 9/28/2012
Page No : 1

|  | Boices Ln Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Boices Ln Westbound |  |  |  |  | Driveway Northbound |  |  |  |  | John Clark Dr Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Righı | RTor | Anp Taum | Left | Thru | Right | RTOR | Nontam | Left | Thru | Right | RTOR | Ane toot | Left | Thru | Right | RTOR | Aob Tast | Inct Toat |
| 07:15 AM | 6 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 39 | 1 | 2 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 12 | 102 |
| 07:30 AM | 6 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 49 | 1 | 1 | 51 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 8 | 19 | 118 |
| 07:45 AM | 11 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 59 | 4 | 0 | 63 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 7 | 21 | 169 |
| Total | 23 | 154 | 0 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 147 | 6 | 3 | 156 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 26 | 19 | 52 | 389 |
| 08:00 AM | 9 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 42 | 2 | 0 | 44 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 17 | 27 | 136 |
| 08:15 AM | 8 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 43 | 2 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 17 | 31 | 138 |
| 08:30 AM | 14 | 55 | 1 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 46 | 2 | 0 | 48 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 11 | 8 | 24 | 143 |
| 08:45 AM | 19 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 2 | 52 | 4 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 17 | 15 | 34 | 187 |
| Total | 50 | 239 | 1 | 0 | 290 | 2 | 183 | 10 | 0 | 195 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 46 | 57 | 116 | 604 |
| Grand Total | 73 | 393 | 1 | 0 | 467 | 2 | 330 | 16 | 3 | 351 | 4 | 1 | 1 | , | 7 | 17 | 8 | 72 | 76 | 168 | 993 |
| Apprch \% | 15.6 | 84.2 | 0.2 | 0 |  | 0.6 | 94 | 4.6 | 0.9 |  | 57.1 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 14.3 |  | 10.1 | 1.8 | 42.9 | 45.2 |  |  |
| Total \% | 7.4 | 39.6 | 0.1 | 0 | 47 | 0.2 | 33.2 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 35.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 7.3 | 7.7 | 16.9 |  |
| Pass Veh | 71 | 373 | 1 | 0 | 445 | 2 | 316 | 13 | 3 | 334 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 11 | 3 | 70 | 73 | 157 | 942 |
| \% Pass Veh | 97.3 | 94.9 | 100 | 0 | 95.3 | 100 | 95.8 | 81.2 | 100 | 95.2 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 85.7 | 64.7 | 100 | 97.2 | 96.1 | 93.5 | 94.9 |
| Heavy Veh | 2 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 0 | , | 3 | 10 | 42 |
| \% Heayy Veh | 2.7 | 3.8 | 0 | 0 | 3.6 | 0 | 3.3 | 18.8 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 14.3 | 35.3 | 0 | 1.4 | 3.9 | 6 | 4.2 |
| School Bus | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 9 |
| \% School Bus | 0 | 1.3 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.6 | 0.9 |

Project: 112-023
File Name : tm112023a1
Site Code : 12-023-1
Start Date : 9/28/2012
Page No : 2

|  | Boices Ln Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Boices Ln Westbound |  |  |  |  | Driveway Northbound |  |  |  |  | John Clark Dr Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | RTOR | Averet | Left | Thru | Right | RTOR | Nas. Teas | Left | Thru | Right | RTOR | Nep 1 cent | Left | Thru | Righl | RTOR | A0p That | Int Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 7:15:00 AM to 8:45:00 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 8:00:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8:00:00 AM | 9 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 42 | 2 | 0 | 44 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 17 | 27 | 136 |
| 8:15:00 AM | 8 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 43 | 2 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 17 | 31 | 138 |
| 8:30:00 AM | 14 | 55 | 1 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 46 | 2 | 0 | 48 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 11 | 8 | 24 | 143 |
| 8:45:00 AM | 19 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 2 | 52 | 4 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 17 | 15 | 34 | 187 |
| Total Volume | 50 | 239 | 1 | 0 | 290 | 2 | 183 | 10 | 0 | 195 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 46 | 57 | 116 | 604 |
| \% App. Total | 17.2 | 82.4 | 0.3 | 0 |  | 1 | 93.8 | 5.1 | 0 |  | 66.7 | 33.3 | 0 | 0 |  | 9.5 | 1.7 | 39.7 | 49.1 |  |  |
| PHF | . 658 | . 797 | . 250 | . 000 | . 771 | . 250 | . 880 | . 625 | . 000 | 841 | . 500 | . 250 | . 000 | . 000 | . 750 | . 688 | . 500 | . 676 | . 838 | . 853 | 807 |
| Pass Veh | 48 | 223 | 1 | 0 | 272 | 2 | 174 | 9 | 0 | 185 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 44 | 55 | 108 | 568 |
| \% Pass Veh | 96.0 | 93.3 | 100 | 0 | 93.8 | 100 | 95.1 | 90.0 | 0 | 94.9 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 63.6 | 100 | 95.7 | 96.5 | 93.1 | 94.0 |
| Heavy Veh | 2 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 30 |
| \% Heavy veh | 4.0 | 5.4 | 0 | 0 | 5.2 | 0 | 3.8 | 10.0 | 0 | 4.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36.4 | 0 | 2.2 | 3.5 | 6.0 | 5.0 |
| School Bus | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| \% School Bus | 0 | 1.3 | 0 | 0 | 1.0 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.2 | 0 | 0.9 | 1.0 |



Project: 09-024d
Counted By: DAT
Location: Ulster, NY
Other:
File Name : tm09024p4
Site Code : 09-024-4
Start Date : 4/28/2009
Page No : 1

|  | Boices Lane Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Boices Lane Westbound |  |  |  |  | Morton Boulevard Northbound |  |  |  |  | Tech City Driveway Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | RTOR | Mep Tow | Left | Thru | Right | RTOR | Approses | Left | Thru | Right | RTOR | ann Tear | Left | Thru | Right | RTOR | Acp Towd | Int Tolal |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  |
| 04:00 PM | 0 | 75 | 49 | 21 | 145 | 50 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 70 | 2 | 30 | 13 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 387 |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 87 | 40 | 16 | 143 | 39 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 71 | 0 | 45 | 13 | 129 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 366 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 125 | 77 | 26 | 228 | 43 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 117 | 77 | 1 | 38 | 6 | 122 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 472 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 99 | 54 | 19 | 172 | 40 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 59 | 0 | 52 | 8 | 119 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 423 |
| Total | 0 | 386 | 220 | 82 | 688 | 172 | 294 | 0 | 0 | 466 | 277 | 3 | 165 | 40 | 485 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1648 |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 103 | 57 | 22 | 182 | 44 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 121 | 87 | 1 | 44 | 7 | 139 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 | 443 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 72 | 37 | 16 | 125 | 55 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 63 | 1 | 32 | 16 | 112 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 358 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 77 | 44 | 22 | 143 | 30 | 71 | 2 | 0 | 103 | 58 | 0 | 31 | 9 | 98 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 345 |
| 05:45 PM | 1 | 77 | 76 | 4 | 158 | 39 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 117 | 47 | 0 | 43 | 3 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 368 |
| Total | 1 | 329 | 214 | 64 | 608 | 168 | 291 | 2 | 0 | 461 | 255 | 2 | 150 | 35 | 442 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1514 |
| Grand Total | 1 | 715 | 434 | 146 | 1296 | 340 | 585 | 2 | 0 | 927 | 532 | 5 | 315 | 75 | 927 | 8 | , | 0 | 0 | 12 | 3162 |
| Apprch \% | 0.1 | 55.2 | 33.5 | 11.3 |  | 36.7 | 63.1 | 0.2 | 0 |  | 57.4 | 0.5 | 34 | 8.1 |  | 66.7 | 33.3 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 22.6 | 13.7 | 4.6 | 41 | 10.8 | 18.5 | 0.1 | 0 | 29.3 | 16.8 | 0.2 | 10 | 2.4 | 29.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 |  |
| Pass Veh | 1 | 711 | 428 | 146 | 1286 | 340 | 584 | 2 | 0 | 926 | 529 | 5 | 315 | 74 | 923 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 3146 |
| \% Pass Veh | 100 | 99.4 | 98.6 | 100 | 99.2 | 100 | 99.8 | 100 | 0 | 99.9 | 99.4 | 100 | 100 | 98.7 | 99.6 | 87.5 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 91.7 | 99.5 |
| Heavy Veh | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 |
| \% Heay Ven | 0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 12.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8.3 | 0.3 |
| School Bus | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| \% School Bus | 0 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 |

Project: 09-024d
File Name : tm09024p4
Counted By: DAT
Location: Ulster, NY
Other:

Site Code : 09-024-4
Start Date : 4/28/2009
Page No : 2

|  | Boices Lane Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Boices Lane Westbound |  |  |  |  | Morton Boulevard Northbound |  |  |  |  | Tech City Driveway Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | RTOR | Anp leas | Left | Thru | Right | RTOR | App taus | Left | Thru | Right | RTOR | nope Teod | Left | Thru | Right | RTOR | Nax Teend | Int Totat |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 4:00:00 PM to 5:45:00 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 4:15:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4:15:00 PM | 0 | 87 | 40 | 16 | 143 | 39 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 71 | 0 | 45 | 13 | 129 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 366 |
| 4:30:00 PM | 0 | 125 | 77 | 26 | 228 | 43 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 117 | 77 | 1 | 38 | 6 | 122 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 472 |
| 4:45:00 PM | 0 | 99 | 54 | 19 | 172 | 40 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 59 | 0 | 52 | 8 | 119 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 423 |
| 5:00:00 PM | 0 | 103 | 57 | 22 | 182 | 44 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 121 | 87 | 1 | 44 | 7 | 139 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 443 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 414 | 228 | 83 | 725 | 166 | 294 | 0 | 0 | 460 | 294 | 2 | 179 | 34 | 509 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1704 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 57.1 | 31.4 | 11.4 |  | 36.1 | 63.9 | 0 | 0 |  | 57.8 | 0.4 | 35.2 | 6.7 |  | 60 | 40 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 828 | . 740 | . 798 | 795 | . 943 | . 826 | . 000 | . 000 | . 891 | . 845 | . 500 | . 861 | . 654 | . 915 | . 300 | . 500 | . 000 | . 000 | . 500 | . 903 |
| Pass Veh | 0 | 412 | 227 | 83 | 722 | 166 | 293 | 0 | 0 | 459 | 292 | 2 | 179 | 33 | 506 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1696 |
| \% Pass Veh | 0 | 99.5 | 99.6 | 100 | 99.6 | 100 | 99.7 | 0 | 0 | 99.8 | 99.3 | 100 | 100 | 97.1 | 99.4 | 83.3 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 90.0 | 99.5 |
| Heavy Veh | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| \% Heavy veh | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 2.9 | 0.4 | 16.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10.0 | 0.2 |
| School Bus | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| \% School Bus | 0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 |



Project: 09-024d
Counted By: DPR
Location: Ulster, NY
Other:
File Name : tm09024p3
Site Code : 09-024-3
Start Date : 4/28/2009
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Pass Veh - Heavy Veh - School Bus

|  | Boices Lane Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Boices Lane Westbound |  |  |  |  | Retail Driveway Northbound |  |  |  |  | John M Clark Road Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | RTOR | Ano Tate | Left | Thru | Right | RIOR | App, Teen | Left | Thru | Right | RTOR | Aop. Teand | Left | Thru | Right | RTOR | Ave Tount | Int. Totat |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  |
| 04:00 PM | 19 | 97 | 8 | 0 | 124 | 4 | 76 | 5 | 0 | 85 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 25 | 28 | 64 | 280 |
| 04:15 PM | 33 | 96 | 7 | 0 | 136 | 0 | 47 | 2 | 0 | 49 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 11 | 5 | 4 | 14 | 28 | 51 | 247 |
| 04:30 PM | 37 | 127 | 4 | 0 | 168 | 0 | 71 | 4 | 0 | 75 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 11 | 1 | 13 | 21 | 46 | 297 |
| 04:45 PM | 42 | 110 | 12 | 1 | 165 | 4 | 81 | 6 | 0 | 91 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 18 | 22 | 47 | 310 |
| Total | 131 | 430 | 31 | 1 | 593 | 8 | 275 | 17 | 0 | 300 | 19 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 33 | 28 | 11 | 70 | 99 | 208 | 1134 |
| 05:00 PM | 31 | 94 | 5 | 4 | 134 | 3 | 66 | 0 | 1 | 70 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 33 | 46 | 259 |
| 05:15 PM | 28 | 95 | 7 | 0 | 130 | 4 | 67 | 3 | 1 | 75 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 17 | 4 | 3 | 21 | 26 | 54 | 276 |
| 05:30 PM | 28 | 87 | 5 | 1 | 121 | 2 | 58 | 6 | 0 | 66 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 13 | 26 | 43 | 240 |
| 05:45 PM | 19 | 86 | 4 | 1 | 110 | 3 | 68 | 6 | 1 | 78 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 20 | 32 | 230 |
| Total | 106 | 362 | 21 | 6 | 495 | 12 | 259 | 15 | 3 | 289 | 28 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 46 | 14 | 4 | 52 | 105 | 175 | 1005 |
| Grand Total | 237 | 792 | 52 | 7 | 1088 | 20 | 534 | 32 | 3 | 589 | 47 | 11 | 13 | 8 | 79 | 42 | 15 | 122 | 204 | 383 | 2139 |
| Apprch \% | 21.8 | 72.8 | 4.8 | 0.6 |  | 3.4 | 90.7 | 5.4 | 0.5 |  | 59.5 | 13.9 | 16.5 | 10.1 |  | 11 | 3.9 | 31.9 | 53.3 |  |  |
| Total \% | 11.1 | 37 | 2.4 | 0.3 | 50.9 | 0.9 | 25 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 27.5 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 3.7 | 2 | 0.7 | 5.7 | 9.5 | 17.9 |  |
| Pass Veh | 237 | 785 | 51 | 7 | 1080 | 20 | 532 | 31 | 3 | 586 | 47 | 11 | 13 | 8 | 79 | 40 | 15 | 122 | 204 | 381 | 2126 |
| \% Pass Veh | 100 | 99.1 | 98.1 | 100 | 99.3 | 100 | 99.6 | 96.9 | 100 | 99.5 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 95.2 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 99.5 | 99.4 |
| Heavy Veh | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | , | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 |
| \% Heavy Veh | 0 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.2 | 3.1 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| School Bus | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| \% School Bus | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 |

Project: 09-024d
File Name : tm09024p3
Counted By: DPR
Site Code : 09-024-3
Location: Ulster, NY
Start Date : 4/28/2009
Other:

|  | Boices Lane Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Boices Lane Westbound |  |  |  |  | Retail Driveway Northbound |  |  |  |  | John M Clark Road Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | RTOR | App Tolal | Left | Thru | Right | RTOR | Asp Tout | Left | Thru | Right | RTOR | Nap real | Left | Thru | Right | RTOR | Aspo Taw | Int Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 4:00:00 PM to 5:45:00 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 4:30:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4:30:00 PM | 37 | 127 | 4 | 0 | 168 | 0 | 71 | 4 | 0 | 75 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 11 | 1 | 13 | 21 | 46 | 297 |
| 4:45:00 PM | 42 | 110 | 12 | 1 | 165 | 4 | 81 | 6 | 0 | 91 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 18 | 22 | 47 | 310 |
| 5:00:00 PM | 31 | 94 | 5 | 4 | 134 | 3 | 66 | 0 | 1 | 70 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 33 | 46 | 259 |
| 5:15:00 PM | 28 | 95 | 7 | 0 | 130 | 4 | 67 | 3 | 1 | 75 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 17 | 4 | 3 | 21 | 26 | 54 | 276 |
| Total Volume | 138 | 426 | 28 | 5 | 597 | 11 | 285 | 13 | 2 | 311 | 25 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 41 | 23 | 6 | 62 | 102 | 193 | 1142 |
| \% App. Tolal | 23.1 | 71.4 | 4.7 | 0.8 |  | 3.5 | 91.6 | 4.2 | 0.6 |  | 61 | 14.6 | 17.1 | 7.3 |  | 11.9 | 3.1 | 32.1 | 52.8 |  |  |
| PHF | 821 | . 839 | . 583 | . 313 | . 888 | . 688 | . 880 | . 542 | . 500 | . 854 | . 625 | . 750 | . 583 | . 375 | . 603 | . 523 | . 500 | . 738 | . 773 | 894 | . 921 |
| Pass Veh | 138 | 425 | 27 | 5 | 595 | 11 | 284 | 13 | 2 | 310 | 25 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 41 | 22 | 6 | 62 | 102 | 192 | 1138 |
| \% Pass Veh | 100 | 99.8 | 96.4 | 100 | 99.7 | 100 | 99.6 | 100 | 100 | 99.7 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 95.7 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 99.5 | 99.6 |
| Heavy Veh | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| \% Heavy Veh | 0 | 0.2 | 3.6 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| School Bus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \% School Bus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Appendix C

# Level of Service Analyses and Timing Reports 

Railroad Crossing Study<br>Boices Lane<br>Town of Ulster, Ulster County, New York

## LOS Definitions

The following is an excerpt from the 2000 Highway Capacity Manual (HCM).

## Level of Service for Signalized Intersections

Level of service for a signalized intersection is defined in terms of control delay, which is a measure of driver discomfort, frustration, fuel consumption, and increased travel time. The delay experienced by a motorist is made up of a number of factors that relate to control, geometrics, traffic, and incidents. Total delay is the difference between the travel time actually experienced and the reference travel time that would result during base conditions: in the absence of traffic control, geometric delay, any incidents, and any other vehicles. Specifically, LOS criteria for traffic signals are stated in terms of the average control delay per vehicle, typically for a 15-minute analysis period. Delay is a complex measure and depends on a number of variables, including the quality of progression, the cycle length, the green ratio, and the v/c ratio for the lane group. Levels of service are defined to represent reasonable ranges in control delay.

LOS A describes operations with low control delay, up to 10 s/veh. This LOS occurs when progression is extremely favorable and most vehicles arrive during the green phase. Many vehicles do not stop at all. Short cycle lengths may tend to contribute to low delay.

LOS B describes operations with control delay greater than 10 and up to 20 s/veh. This level generally occurs with good progression, short cycle lengths, or both. More vehicles stop than with LOS A, causing higher levels of delay.

LOS C describes operations with control delay greater than 20 and up to $35 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{veh}$. These higher delays may result from only fair progression, longer cycle lengths, or both. Individual cycle failures may begin to appear at this level. Cycle failure occurs when a given green phase does not serve queued vehicles, and overflows occur. The number of vehicles stopping is significant at this level, though many still pass through the intersection without stopping.

LOS D describes operations with control delay greater than 35 and up to 55 s/veh. At LOS D, the influence of congestion becomes more noticeable. Longer delays may result from some combination of unfavorable progression, long cycle lengths, and high v/c ratios. Many vehicles stop, and the proportion of vehicles not stopping declines. Individual cycle failures are noticeable.

LOS E describes operations with control delay greater than 55 and up to 80 s/veh. These high delay values generally indicate poor progression, long cycle lengths, and high v/c ratios. Individual cycle failures are frequent.

LOS F describes operations with control delay in excess of $80 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{veh}$. This level, considered unacceptable to most drivers, often occurs with oversaturation, that is, when arrival flow rates exceed the capacity of lane groups. It may also occur at high v/c ratios with many individual cycle failures. Poor progression and long cycle lengths may also be contribute significantly to high delay levels.

Average control delay and queue length at roundabout controlled intersections are calculated using SIDRA Intersection. The physical geometry such as entry lane width and approach flare, and traffic volume at the roundabout are factors that influence the intersection's performance. The average delay reported using SIRA Intersection is based on the HCM Method of Delay for Level-of-Service.

## Level of Service Criteria for Unsignalized Intersections

Four measures are used to describe the performance of two-way stop controlled intersections: control delay, delay to major street through vehicles, queue length, and v/c ratio. The primary measure that is used to provide an estimate of LOS is control delay. This measure can be estimated for any movement on the minor (i.e., stop-controlled) street. By summing delay estimates for individual movements, a delay estimate for each minor street movement and minor street approach can be achieved. The level of service criteria is given in Exhibit 17-2/22.

For all-way stop controlled (AWSC) intersections, the average control delay (in seconds per vehicle) is used as the primary measure of performance. Control delay is the increased time of travel for a vehicle approaching and passing through an AWSC intersection, compared with a free-flow vehicle if it were not required to slow or stop at the intersection.

Exhibit 17-2/22: Level-of-Service Criteria for Stop Controlled Intersections

| Level of Service | Control Delay (sec/veh) |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | $\leq 10.0$ |
| B | $>10.0$ and $\leq 15.0$ |
| C | $>15.0$ and $\leq 25.0$ |
| D | $>25.0$ and $\leq 35.0$ |
| E | $>35.0$ and $\leq 50.0$ |
| F | $>50.0$ |


c Critical Lane Group

c Critical Lane Group

c Critical Lane Group

c Critical Lane Group

c Critical Lane Group

| Movement | H EBL | $\rightarrow$ EBT | EBR | WBL | $\leftarrow$ WBT |  | NBL | $\uparrow$ NBT | NBR | ¢ SBL | $\stackrel{\downarrow}{\frac{1}{\downarrow}}$ | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | 41 |  |  | * ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | 7 |
| Volume (vph) | 142 | 439 | 34 | 13 | 281 | 13 | 25 | 6 | 10 | 23 | 6 | 161 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width | 12 | 12 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 16 |
| Total Lost time (s) |  | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Util. Factor |  | 0.95 |  |  | 0.95 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frpb, ped/bikes |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Flpb, ped/bikes |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Fit |  | 0.99 |  |  | 0.99 |  |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.99 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  | 0.96 | 1.00 |  | 0.96 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |  | 3502 |  |  | 3308 |  |  | 1767 | 1561 |  | 1773 | 1830 |
| Fit Permitted |  | 0.79 |  |  | 0.93 |  |  | 0.81 | 1.00 |  | 0.82 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |  | 2795 |  |  | 3071 |  |  | 1491 | 1561 |  | 1504 | 1830 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 154 | 477 | 37 | 14 | 305 | 14 | 27 | 7 | 11 | 25 | 7 | 175 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 664 | 0 | 0 | 330 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 2 | 0 | 32 | 175 |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 1\% | 4\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Turn Type p | pm+pt |  |  | Perm |  |  | Perm |  | Perm | Perm |  | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 2 | 5 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 5 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 3 |  | 3 | 3 |  | 3 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) |  | 48.8 |  |  | 39.3 |  |  | 11.2 | 11.2 |  | 11.2 | 11.2 |
| Effective Green, g (s) |  | 50.8 |  |  | 41.3 |  |  | 13.2 | 13.2 |  | 13.2 | 13.2 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.73 |  |  | 0.59 |  |  | 0.19 | 0.19 |  | 0.19 | 0.19 |
| Clearance Time (s) |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  | 2.0 |  |  | 2.0 |  |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) |  | 2094 |  |  | 1812 |  |  | 281 | 294 |  | 284 | 345 |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  | c0.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  | c0.20 |  |  | 0.11 |  |  | 0.02 | 0.00 |  | 0.02 | c0.10 |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.32 |  |  | 0.18 |  |  | 0.12 | 0.01 |  | 0.11 | 0.51 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 |  | 3.4 |  |  | 6.6 |  |  | 23.6 | 23.1 |  | 23.5 | 25.5 |
| Progression Factor |  | 0.49 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.2 |  |  | 0.1 | 0.0 |  | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| Delay (s) |  | 1.7 |  |  | 6.8 |  |  | 23.7 | 23.1 |  | 23.6 | 25.9 |
| Level of Service |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | C | C |  | C | C |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 1.7 |  |  | 6.8 |  |  | 23.5 |  |  | 25.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Average Control Delay |  |  | 7.8 | HCM Level of Service |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 70.0 | Sum of lost time (s) |  |  |  |  | 6.0 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 49.0\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

c Critical Lane Group


c Critical Lane Group

c Critical Lane Group

c Critical Lane Group

c Critical Lane Group

c Critical Lane Group


HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

c Critical Lane Group

| Movement | ¢ EBL | $\rightarrow$ EBT | EBR | WBL | $*$ WBT | $4$ | NBL | $\uparrow$ NBT | NBR | SBL | $\downarrow$ SBT | ¢ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | 中4 | $\stackrel{\square}{ }$ |  | 4 | 「' | \% | $t$ |  | \% | \% |  |
| Volume (vph) | 0 | 586 | 410 | 0 | 431 | 72 | 366 | 34 | 234 | 179 | 92 | 26 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Width | 11 | 11 | 9 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| Total Lost time (s) |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frpb, ped/bikes |  | 1.00 | 0.97 |  | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Flpb, ped/bikes |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |  | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.87 |  | 1.00 | 0.97 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |  | 3455 | 1400 |  | 1818 | 1522 | 1668 | 1541 |  | 1711 | 1776 |  |
| Flt Permitted |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.67 | 1.00 |  | 0.50 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |  | 3455 | 1400 |  | 1818 | 1522 | 1182 | 1541 |  | 895 | 1776 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 651 | 456 | 0 | 479 | 80 | 407 | 38 | 260 | 199 | 102 | 29 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 329 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 651 | 127 | 0 | 479 | 38 | 407 | 298 | 0 | 199 | 116 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 5 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Turn Type |  |  | Perm |  |  | Perm | Perm |  |  | Perm |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 1 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 1 |  |  | 12 | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) |  | 17.8 | 17.8 |  | 30.8 | 30.8 | 26.8 | 26.8 |  | 26.8 | 26.8 |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) |  | 18.8 | 18.8 |  | 31.8 | 31.8 | 27.8 | 27.8 |  | 27.8 | 27.8 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.28 | 0.28 |  | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.41 | 0.41 |  | 0.41 | 0.41 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |  |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) |  | 961 | 389 |  | 855 | 716 | 486 | 634 |  | 368 | 730 |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  | c0.19 |  |  | c0.26 |  |  | 0.19 |  |  | 0.07 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm |  |  | 0.09 |  |  | 0.02 | c0.34 |  |  | 0.22 |  |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.68 | 0.33 |  | 0.56 | 0.05 | 0.84 | 0.47 |  | 0.54 | 0.16 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 |  | 21.7 | 19.4 |  | 12.9 | 9.7 | 17.9 | 14.5 |  | 15.1 | 12.5 |  |
| Progression Factor |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.29 | 0.01 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 |  | 1.5 | 0.2 |  | 0.5 | 0.0 | 11.5 | 0.2 |  | 0.9 | 0.0 |  |
| Delay (s) |  | 23.2 | 19.5 |  | 4.2 | 0.1 | 29.3 | 14.7 |  | 15.9 | 12.6 |  |
| Level of Service |  | C | B |  | A | A | C | B |  | B | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 21.7 |  |  | 3.6 |  |  | 23.2 |  |  | 14.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | A |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Average Control Delay |  |  | 17.5 | HCM Level of Service |  |  |  |  | B |  |  |  |
| HCM Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 67.6 |  | Sum of los | time (s) |  |  | 12.0 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 59.4\% |  | CU Level | Service |  |  | B |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

c Critical Lane Group

c Critical Lane Group

| Lane Group | $\rightarrow$ EBT | EBR | WBL | ＋ | NBL | $\uparrow$ NBT | $\begin{gathered} p \\ \text { NBR } \end{gathered}$ | SBL | $\downarrow$ SBT | $\varnothing 7$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 个 | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow$ |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 | 4 | F |  |
| Volume（vph） | 402 | 311 | 169 | 298 | 294 | 2 | 207 | 6 | 4 |  |
| Turn Type |  | pm＋ov | pm＋pt |  | pm＋pt |  | pm＋ov | Perm |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 2 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 74 | 1 |  | 3 | 7 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 2 | 6 |  | 74 |  | 74 | 3 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 74 | 1 | 3 | 3 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 10.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 10.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 15.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 15.0 | 8.0 |  | 8.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 40.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 61.0 | 21.0 | 67.0 | 21.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 46.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 37．4\％ | 19．6\％ | 19．6\％ | 57．0\％ | 19．6\％ | 62．6\％ | 19．6\％ | 23．4\％ | 23．4\％ | 43\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | －1．0 | －1．0 | －1．0 | －1．0 | －1．0 | －1．0 | －1．0 | －1．0 | －1．0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lead／Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead |  | Lag |  | Lead | Lead | Lead |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | Min | None | None | Min | None |  | None | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 22.8 | 46.5 | 37.3 | 37.3 |  | 25.8 | 40.3 | 7.9 | 7.9 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.32 | 0.65 | 0.52 | 0.52 |  | 0.36 | 0.56 | 0.11 | 0.11 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.77 | 0.36 | 0.50 | 0.35 |  | 0.56 | 0.24 | 0.04 | 0.02 |  |
| Control Delay | 34.3 | 3.2 | 15.7 | 12.6 |  | 24.5 | 3.2 | 38.3 | 38.0 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 34.3 | 3.2 | 15.7 | 12.8 |  | 24.5 | 3.2 | 38.3 | 38.0 |  |
| LOS | C | A | B | B |  | C | A | D | D |  |
| Approach Delay | 20.7 |  |  | 13.8 |  | 15.7 |  |  | 38.2 |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |  | B |  | B |  |  | D |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 107
Actuated Cycle Length： 71.9
Natural Cycle： 60
Control Type：Actuated－Uncoordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.77
Intersection Signal Delay： 17.4
Intersection Capacity Utilization 63．6\％
Analysis Period（min） 15

Intersection LOS：B
ICU Level of Service B

Splits and Phases：1：Boices Lane \＆Driveway


| Lane Group | EBL | $\begin{aligned} & \rightarrow \\ & \overrightarrow{E B T} \end{aligned}$ | WBL | $\leftarrow$ WBT | WBR | 4 NBL | $\uparrow$ <br> NBT | NBR | SBL | $\downarrow$ SBT | $\downarrow$ SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | 4t |  | * | F' |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | 4 | 「 |
| Volume (vph) | 142 | 439 | 13 | 281 | 13 | 25 | 6 | 10 | 23 | 6 | 161 |
| Turn Type | Perm |  | Perm |  | Perm | Perm |  | Perm | Perm |  | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 5 |  | 1 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 5 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 |  | 3 |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 66.7\% | 66.7\% | 66.7\% | 66.7\% | 66.7\% | 33.3\% | 33.3\% | 33.3\% | 33.3\% | 33.3\% | 33.3\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | Max | Max | Max | Max | Max | Max | Max | Max | Max | Max | Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 37.0 |  | 37.0 | 37.0 |  | 17.0 | 17.0 |  | 17.0 | 17.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.62 |  | 0.62 | 0.62 |  | 0.28 | 0.28 |  | 0.28 | 0.28 |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.38 |  | 0.30 | 0.01 |  | 0.08 | 0.02 |  | 0.07 | 0.27 |
| Control Delay |  | 6.4 |  | 6.4 | 2.5 |  | 16.5 | 9.2 |  | 16.4 | 4.5 |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay |  | 6.4 |  | 6.4 | 2.5 |  | 16.5 | 9.2 |  | 16.4 | 4.5 |
| LOS |  | A |  | A | A |  | B | A |  | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 6.4 |  | 6.2 |  |  | 14.7 |  |  | 6.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |

Intersection Summary
Cycle Length: 60
Actuated Cycle Length: 60
Offset: $10(17 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2: and 6:, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 40
Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.38
Intersection Signal Delay: 6.6
Intersection Capacity Utilization 51.2\%
Intersection LOS: A

Analysis Period (min) 15
ICU Level of Service A

Splits and Phases: 2: Boices Lane \& John Clark Drive


Timings
Existing 2012 - PM Peak Hour
1: Boices Lane \& Driveway
Existing 2012-Optimized_PM Peak

| Lane Group | $\rightarrow$ EBT | EBR | WBL | $*$ WBT | NBL | $\dagger$ NBT | NBR | SBL | $t$ SBT | $\varnothing 7$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ | \% | 7 | $\uparrow$ |  | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | \% |  |
| Volume (vph) | 402 | 311 | 169 | 298 | 294 | 2 | 207 | 6 | 4 |  |
| Turn Type |  | pm+ov | pm+pt |  | pm+pt |  | pm+ov | Perm |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 2 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 74 | 1 |  | 3 | 7 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 2 | 6 |  | 74 |  | 74 | 3 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 74 | 1 | 3 | 3 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 10.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 15.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 15.0 | 8.0 |  | 8.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 31.0 | 18.0 | 11.0 | 42.0 | 18.0 | 46.0 | 11.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 28.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 44.3\% | 25.7\% | 15.7\% | 60.0\% | 25.7\% | 65.7\% | 15.7\% | 14.3\% | 14.3\% | 40\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead |  | Lag |  | Lead | Lead | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | C-Min | None | None | C-Min | None |  | None | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 29.2 | 48.5 | 40.6 | 40.6 |  | 21.4 | 32.8 | 6.1 | 6.1 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.42 | 0.69 | 0.58 | 0.58 |  | 0.31 | 0.47 | 0.09 | 0.09 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.59 | 0.32 | 0.47 | 0.31 |  | 0.66 | 0.31 | 0.05 | 0.02 |  |
| Control Delay | 21.0 | 1.2 | 9.9 | 5.3 |  | 27.9 | 12.1 | 30.2 | 29.8 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 21.0 | 1.2 | 9.9 | 5.6 |  | 27.9 | 12.1 | 30.2 | 29.8 |  |
| LOS | C | A | A | A |  | C | B | C | C |  |
| Approach Delay | 12.4 |  |  | 7.2 |  | 21.4 |  |  | 30.0 |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | A |  | C |  |  | C |  |

Intersection Summary
Cycle Length: 70
Actuated Cycle Length: 70
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Yellow, Master Intersection
Natural Cycle: 50
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.66

Intersection Signal Delay: 13.7
Intersection Capacity Utilization 63.6\%
Analysis Period (min) 15

Intersection LOS: B
ICU Level of Service B

Splits and Phases: 1: Boices Lane \& Driveway


| Lane Group | EBL | $\begin{aligned} & \rightarrow \\ & E B T \end{aligned}$ | WBL | $\leftarrow$ WBT | WBR | NBL | $\dagger$ NBT | NBR | SBL | $\downarrow$ SBT | $\stackrel{\downarrow}{\text { SBR }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | 41. |  | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  | * | 7 |  | * | 「 |
| Volume (vph) | 142 | 439 | 13 | 281 | 13 | 25 | 6 | 10 | 23 | 6 | 161 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt |  | Perm |  | Perm | Perm |  | Perm | Perm |  | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 5 |  | 1 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 5 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 |  | 3 |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 3.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 8.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 8.0 | 47.0 | 39.0 | 39.0 | 39.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 11.4\% | 67.1\% | 55.7\% | 55.7\% | 55.7\% | 32.9\% | 32.9\% | 32.9\% | 32.9\% | 32.9\% | 32.9\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lag |  | Lead | Lead | Lead |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | Min | C-Min | C-Min | C-Min | C-Min | None | None | None | None | None | None |
| Act Efftt Green (s) |  | 50.8 |  | 41.3 | 41.3 |  | 13.2 | 13.2 |  | 13.2 | 13.2 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.73 |  | 0.59 | 0.59 |  | 0.19 | 0.19 |  | 0.19 | 0.19 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio |  | 0.32 |  | 0.32 | 0.02 |  | 0.12 | 0.04 |  | 0.11 | 0.51 |
| Control Delay |  | 1.6 |  | 9.2 | 4.2 |  | 22.7 | 11.5 |  | 22.6 | 30.0 |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay |  | 1.6 |  | 9.2 | 4.2 |  | 22.7 | 11.5 |  | 22.6 | 30.0 |
| LOS |  | A |  | A | A |  | C | B |  | C | C |
| Approach Delay |  | 1.6 |  | 9.0 |  |  | 20.0 |  |  | 28.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |

Approach LOS
A
B
Intersection Summary
Cycle Length: 70
Actuated Cycle Length: 70
Offset: $64(91 \%)$, Referenced to phase 1:WBTL and 5:EBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 40
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.51
Intersection Signal Delay: 8.7
Intersection Capacity Utilization 51.2\%
Intersection LOS: A
ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 2: Boices Lane \& John Clark Drive


| Lane Group | $\rightarrow$ EBT | EBR | WBL | $*$ WBT | 4 NBL | $\uparrow$ NBT | NBR | SBL | $\stackrel{\downarrow}{\downarrow}$ | 97 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{4} \uparrow$ | $\stackrel{7}{7}$ | ${ }^{7}$ | F |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 7 | \% | t |  |
| Volume (vph) | 402 | 311 | 169 | 298 | 294 | 2 | 207 | 6 | 4 |  |
| Turn Type |  | pm+ov | pm+pt |  | pm+pt |  | pm+ov | Perm |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 2 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 74 | 1 |  | 3 | 7 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 2 | 6 |  | 74 |  | 74 | 3 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 74 | 1 | 3 | 3 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 10.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 15.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 15.0 | 8.0 |  | 8.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 23.0 | 23.0 | 14.0 | 37.0 | 23.0 | 56.0 | 14.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 33.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 32.9\% | 32.9\% | 20.0\% | 52.9\% | 32.9\% | 80.0\% | 20.0\% | 14.3\% | 14.3\% | 47\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead |  | Lag |  | Lead | Lead | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | C-Min | None | None | C-Min | None |  | None | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 26.2 | 46.9 | 39.1 | 39.1 |  | 22.9 | 35.8 | 6.3 | 6.3 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.37 | 0.67 | 0.56 | 0.56 |  | 0.33 | 0.51 | 0.09 | 0.09 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.35 | 0.32 | 0.37 | 0.33 |  | 0.61 | 0.29 | 0.05 | 0.02 |  |
| Control Delay | 18.9 | 1.4 | 7.8 | 6.4 |  | 24.4 | 9.5 | 29.7 | 29.2 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 18.9 | 1.4 | 7.8 | 6.7 |  | 24.4 | 9.5 | 29.7 | 29.2 |  |
| LOS | B | A | A | A |  | C | A | C | C |  |
| Approach Delay | 11.2 |  |  | 7.1 |  | 18.2 |  |  | 29.5 |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | A |  | B |  |  | C |  |

Intersection Summary
Cycle Length: 70
Actuated Cycle Length: 70
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Yellow, Master Intersection
Natural Cycle: 45
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.61
Intersection Signal Delay: 12.3
Intersection Capacity Utilization 62.1\%
Intersection LOS: B
ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 1: Boices Lane \& Driveway


| Lane Group | EBL | $\begin{aligned} & \rightarrow \\ & \text { EBT } \end{aligned}$ | WBL | $\leftarrow$ WBT | NBL | $\uparrow$ NBT | NBR | SBL | $\frac{1}{\dagger}$ SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | 46 |  | *\% |  | * | \% |  | $\uparrow$ | 「' |
| Volume (vph) | 142 | 439 | 13 | 281 | 25 | 6 | 10 | 23 | 6 | 161 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt |  | Perm |  | Perm |  | Perm | Perm |  | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 2 | 5 |  | 1 |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 5 |  | 1 |  | 3 |  | 3 | 3 |  | 3 |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 3.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 8.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 16.0 | 44.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 | 26.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 22.9\% | 62.9\% | 40.0\% | 40.0\% | 37.1\% | 37.1\% | 37.1\% | 37.1\% | 37.1\% | 37.1\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lag |  | Lead | Lead |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | Min | C-Min | C-Min | C-Min | None | None | None | None | None | None |
| Act Effet Green (s) |  | 50.8 |  | 41.3 |  | 13.2 | 13.2 |  | 13.2 | 13.2 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.73 |  | 0.59 |  | 0.19 | 0.19 |  | 0.19 | 0.19 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio |  | 0.32 |  | 0.18 |  | 0.12 | 0.04 |  | 0.11 | 0.51 |
| Control Delay |  | 2.3 |  | 7.5 |  | 22.6 | 11.4 |  | 22.5 | 29.8 |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay |  | 2.3 |  | 7.5 |  | 22.6 | 11.4 |  | 22.5 | 29.8 |
| LOS |  | A |  | A |  | C | B |  | C | C |
| Approach Delay |  | 2.3 |  | 7.5 |  | 19.9 |  |  | 28.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  | A |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 70
Actuated Cycle Length: 70
Offset: 2 (3\%), Referenced to phase 1:WBTL and 5:EBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 40
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.51
Intersection Signal Delay: 8.7
Intersection Capacity Utilization 49.0\%
Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 2: Boices Lane \& John Clark Drive


| Lane Group | $\xrightarrow[\text { EBT }]{\rightarrow}$ | $\underset{\text { EBR }}{\nu}$ | WBL | WBT | NBL | $\dagger$ NBT | NBR | SBL | $\downarrow$ SBT | ø2 | $\varnothing 4$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ | 7 | * | $\uparrow$ |  | * | 7 | 7 | ${ }_{5}$ |  |  |
| Volume (vph) | 402 | 311 | 169 | 298 | 294 | 2 | 207 | 6 | 4 |  |  |
| Turn Type |  | Perm | Split |  | Perm |  | Perm | Perm |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 1 |  | 24 | 24 |  | 3 |  |  | 3 | 2 | 4 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 1 |  |  | 3 |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 1 | 1 | 24 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 8.0 | 8.0 |  |  | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 8.0 | 10.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 27.0 | 27.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 20.0 | 13.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 30.0\% | 30.0\% | 36.7\% | 36.7\% | 33.3\% | 33.3\% | 33.3\% | 33.3\% | 33.3\% | 22\% | 14\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag |  |  | Lead | Lead | Lead | Lead | Lead | Lead | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | C-Min | C-Min |  |  | None | None | None | None | None | None | None |
| Act Efft Green (s) | 23.0 | 23.0 | 29.8 | 29.8 |  | 25.2 | 25.2 | 25.2 | 25.2 |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.33 | 0.33 |  | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.28 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.96 | 0.60 | 0.33 | 0.55 |  | 0.92 | 0.53 | 0.05 | 0.01 |  |  |
| Control Delay | 68.1 | 11.0 | 13.0 | 14.0 |  | 64.4 | 32.1 | 24.3 | 23.0 |  |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Total Delay | 68.1 | 11.0 | 13.0 | 14.6 |  | 64.4 | 32.1 | 24.3 | 23.0 |  |  |
| LOS | E | B | B | B |  | E | C | C | C |  |  |
| Approach Delay | 43.2 |  |  | 14.0 |  | 51.1 |  |  | 23.8 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  |  | B |  | D |  |  | C |  |  |

## Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 90
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 1:EBTL, Start of Yellow, Master Intersection
Natural Cycle: 75
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.96
Intersection Signal Delay: 37.4
Intersection Capacity Utilization 63.6\%
Intersection LOS: D
ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 1: Boices Lane \& Driveway


Timings
Existing 2012 - PM Peak Hour
Existing 2012 - Split Phased_PM Peak

| Lane Group | $\begin{aligned} & \rightarrow \\ & \overrightarrow{E B T} \end{aligned}$ | $\leftarrow$ WBT | 4 WBR | 4 NBL | ¢ NBT | NBR | SBL | $\begin{gathered} \downarrow \\ \text { SBT } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\downarrow}{\text { SBR }}$ | $\varnothing 1$ | $ø 3$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{\text {4t }}$ | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  | ${ }^{+}$ | 「 |  |  |
| Volume (vph) | 439 | 281 | 13 | 25 | 6 | 10 | 23 | 6 | 161 |  |  |
| Turn Type |  |  | Perm | Perm |  | Perm | Perm |  | Perm |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 13 | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  | 1 | 3 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 2 | 4 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 4 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 13 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) |  | 8.0 | 8.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 8.0 | 10.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 57.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 27.0 | 30.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 63.3\% | 22.2\% | 22.2\% | 14.4\% | 14.4\% | 14.4\% | 14.4\% | 14.4\% | 14.4\% | 30\% | 33\% |
| Yellow Time (s) |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |
| Lead/Lag |  | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode |  | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C-Min | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 53.2 | 17.2 | 17.2 |  | 10.6 | 10.6 |  | 10.6 | 10.6 |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.59 | 0.19 | 0.19 |  | 0.12 | 0.12 |  | 0.12 | 0.12 |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.32 | 0.95 | 0.05 |  | 0.20 | 0.06 |  | 0.19 | 0.81 |  |  |
| Control Delay | 0.7 | 77.0 | 15.0 |  | 39.7 | 19.9 |  | 39.4 | 69.0 |  |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Total Delay | 1.1 | 77.0 | 15.0 |  | 39.7 | 19.9 |  | 39.4 | 69.0 |  |  |
| LOS | A | E | B |  | D | B |  | D | E |  |  |
| Approach Delay | 1.1 | 74.4 |  |  | 34.9 |  |  | 64.4 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A | E |  |  | C |  |  | E |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 90
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 1:EBTL, Start of Yellow, Master Intersection
Natural Cycle: 75
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.96
Intersection Signal Delay: 32.2
Intersection Capacity Utilization 51.2\%
Intersection LOS: C
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 2: Boices Lane \& John Clark Drive


| Lane Group | $\rightarrow$ EBT | EBR | WBL | $\bullet$ WBT | WBR | NBL | $\dagger$ NBT | $p$ NBR | SBL | $\downarrow$ SBT | 07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ | 「 | 7 | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | F |  |
| Volume（vph） | 646 | 410 | 206 | 431 | 72 | 366 | 34 | 254 | 199 | 92 |  |
| Turn Type |  | pm＋ov | pm＋pt |  | Perm | pm＋pt |  | pm＋ov | Perm |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 2 | 4 | 1 | 6 |  | 4 | 74 | 1 |  | 3 | 7 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 | 74 |  | 74 | 3 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 74 | 1 | 3 | 3 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 10.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 15.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 8.0 |  | 8.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 41.0 | 8.0 | 11.0 | 52.0 | 52.0 | 8.0 | 56.0 | 11.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 48.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 41．0\％ | 8．0\％ | 11．0\％ | 52．0\％ | 52．0\％ | 8．0\％ | 56．0\％ | 11．0\％ | 40．0\％ | 40．0\％ | 48\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | －1．0 | －1．0 | －1．0 | －1．0 | －1．0 | －1．0 | －1．0 | －1．0 | －1．0 | －1．0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lead／Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |  | Lag |  | Lead | Lead | Lead |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | C－Min | None | None | C－Min | C－Min | None |  | None | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 37.0 | 41.0 | 48.0 | 48.0 | 48.0 |  | 44.0 | 55.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.37 | 0.41 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.48 |  | 0.44 | 0.55 | 0.36 | 0.36 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 1.07 | 0.62 | 1.17 | 0.55 | 0.10 |  | 0.87 | 0.33 | 1.12 | 0.20 |  |
| Control Delay | 85.9 | 11.7 | 144.5 | 20.3 | 5.9 |  | 45.2 | 13.7 | 133.6 | 20.3 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.2 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 85.9 | 11.7 | 144.5 | 23.5 | 5.9 |  | 45.2 | 13.7 | 173.8 | 20.3 |  |
| LOS | F | B | F | C | A |  | D | B | F | C |  |
| Approach Delay | 57.1 |  |  | 56.9 |  |  | 33.0 |  |  | 116.7 |  |
| Approach LOS | E |  |  | E |  |  | C |  |  | F |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 100
Actuated Cycle Length： 100
Offset： 0 （0\％），Referenced to phase 2：EBT and 6：WBTL，Start of Yellow，Master Intersection
Natural Cycle： 100
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 1.17
Intersection Signal Delay： 58.2
Intersection Capacity Utilization 84．1\％
Intersection LOS：E
Analysis Period（min） 15
ICU Level of Service $E$

Splits and Phases：1：Boices Lane \＆Driveway


| Lane Group | $*$ EBL | $\rightarrow$ EBT | WBL | - WBT | WBR | 4 NBL | 4 NBT | NBR N | SBL | $\stackrel{\downarrow}{\dagger}$ | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | * ${ }^{\text {t }}$ |  | 4 | 7 |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | 4 | 「 |
| Volume (vph) | 202 | 855 | 13 | 469 | 18 | 29 | 7 | 12 | 28 | 7 | 211 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt |  | Perm |  | Perm | Perm |  | Perm | Perm |  | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 2 | 5 |  | 1 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 5 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 3 |  | 3 | 3 |  | 3 |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 3.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 8.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 8.0 | 67.0 | 59.0 | 59.0 | 59.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 8.0\% | 67.0\% | 59.0\% | 59.0\% | 59.0\% | 33.0\% | 33.0\% | 33.0\% | 33.0\% | 33.0\% | 33.0\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lag |  | Lead | Lead | Lead |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | Min | C-Min | C-Min | C-Min | C-Min | None | None | None | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 74.9 |  | 63.4 | $63.4$ |  | 19.1 | 19.1 |  | 19.1 | 19.1 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.75 |  | 0.63 | 0.63 |  | 0.19 | 0.19 |  | 0.19 | 0.19 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio |  | 0.63 |  | 0.49 | 0.02 |  | 0.14 | 0.04 |  | 0.13 | 0.65 |
| Control Delay |  | 10.5 |  | 13.3 | 4.5 |  | 32.5 | 14.2 |  | 32.3 | 45.8 |
| Queue Delay |  | 2.9 |  | 0.1 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay |  | 13.4 |  | 13.4 | 4.5 |  | 32.5 | 14.2 |  | 32.3 | 45.8 |
| LOS |  | B |  | B | A |  | C | B |  | C | D |
| Approach Delay |  | 13.4 |  | 13.1 |  |  | 28.0 |  |  | 43.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |

## Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 100
Actuated Cycle Length: 100
Offset: 18 (18\%), Referenced to phase 1:WBTL and 5:EBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 45
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.65
Intersection Signal Delay: 17.6
Intersection Capacity Utilization 74.9\%
Intersection LOS: B
ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 2: Boices Lane \& John Clark Drive


| Lane Group | $\rightarrow$ EBT | EBR | WBL | $\leftarrow$ WBT | WBR | $*$ NBL | $\uparrow$ NBT | SBL | $\frac{1}{\downarrow}$ SBT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | $\stackrel{\square}{7}$ | 7 | F | \% | ¢ |
| Volume (vph) | 646 | 410 | 206 | 431 | 72 | 366 | 34 | 199 | 92 |
| Turn Type |  | pm+ov | pm+pt |  | Perm | pm+pt |  | pm+pt |  |
| Protected Phases | 2 | 7 | 1 | 6 |  | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 | 4 |  | 8 |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 3.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 15.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 10.0 | 8.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 25.0 | 20.0 | 11.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 20.0 | 23.0 | 11.0 | 14.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 35.7\% | 28.6\% | 15.7\% | 51.4\% | 51.4\% | 28.6\% | 32.9\% | 15.7\% | 20.0\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead |  |  | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | C-Min | None | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 20.5 | 38.8 | 32.1 | 32.1 | 32.1 | 29.9 | 17.5 | 16.7 | 9.5 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.29 | 0.55 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.43 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.14 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.71 | 0.49 | 0.77 | 0.57 | 0.11 | 0.73 | 0.83 | 0.68 | 0.51 |
| Control Delay | 26.7 | 4.3 | 36.5 | 15.4 | 3.1 | 25.1 | 44.5 | 29.0 | 31.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 26.7 | 4.3 | 36.5 | 15.9 | 3.1 | 25.1 | 44.5 | 29.0 | 31.6 |
| LOS | C | A | D | B | A | C | D | C | C |
| Approach Delay | 18.0 |  |  | 20.6 |  |  | 33.6 |  | 30.0 |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | C |  |  | C |  | C |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 70
Actuated Cycle Length: 70
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:EBT and 6:WBTL, Start of Yellow, Master Intersection
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.83
Intersection Signal Delay: 23.8
Intersection Capacity Utilization 71.1\%
Intersection LOS: C
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 1: Boices Lane \& Driveway


Timings
Build - PM Peak Hour
Build - Alternative 1_PM Peak


Cycle Length: 70
Actuated Cycle Length: 70
Offset: 62 (89\%), Referenced to phase 1:WBTL and 5:EBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 40
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.64
Intersection Signal Delay: 10.7
Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 63.4\%
ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 2: Boices Lane \& John Clark Drive


| Lane Group | $\rightarrow$ | EBR | $+$ | 4NBL | NBT |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configurations | + $\uparrow$ | 7 | 4 | \% | t | 7 | $\uparrow$ |
| Volume (vph) | 646 | 410 | 431 | 366 | 34 | 199 | 298 |
| Turn Type |  | pm+ov |  | pm+pt |  | pm+pt |  |
| Protected Phases | 2 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 3 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 2 |  | 7 |  | 3 |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 3 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 3.0 | 10.0 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 15.0 | 8.0 | 15.0 | 8.0 | 10.0 | 8.0 | 10.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 28.0 | 22.0 | 28.0 | 22.0 | 27.0 | 15.0 | 20.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 40.0\% | 31.4\% | 40.0\% | 31.4\% | 38.6\% | 21.4\% | 28.6\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  | Lead |  | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | C-Min | None | C-Min | None | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 25.8 | 42.1 | 25.8 | 36.2 | 22.5 | 25.7 | 15.9 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.37 | 0.60 | 0.37 | 0.52 | 0.32 | 0.37 | 0.23 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.56 | 0.50 | 0.71 | 0.83 | 0.65 | 0.47 | 0.86 |
| Control Delay | 20.3 | 5.8 | 25.4 | 30.2 | 27.3 | 13.6 | 48.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 20.3 | 5.8 | 26.1 | 30.2 | 27.3 | 13.6 | 48.5 |
| LOS | C | A | C | C | C | B | D |
| Approach Delay | 14.7 |  | 26.1 |  | 28.9 |  | 35.2 |
| Approach LOS | B |  | C |  | C |  | D |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 70
Actuated Cycle Length: 70
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:EBT and 6:WBT, Start of Yellow, Master Intersection
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.86
Intersection Signal Delay: $24.0 \quad$ Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization $70.2 \% \quad$ ICU Level of Service $C$
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 1: Boices Lane \& Driveway


| Lane Group | 4 EBL | $\rightarrow$ EBT | WBL | $\leftarrow$ WBT | 4 NBL | $\uparrow$ NBT | NBR | SBL | $\downarrow$ SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | * 1 |  | * ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ |  | * | 7 |  | 4 | 「 |
| Volume (vph) | 202 | 855 | 13 | 469 | 29 | 7 | 12 | 28 | 7 | 211 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt |  | Perm |  | Perm |  | Perm | Perm |  | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 2 | 5 |  | 1 |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 5 |  | 1 |  | 3 |  | 3 | 3 |  | 3 |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 3.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 8.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 25.0 | 50.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 35.7\% | 71.4\% | 35.7\% | 35.7\% | 28.6\% | 28.6\% | 28.6\% | 28.6\% | 28.6\% | 28.6\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead |  | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | Min | C-Min | C-Min | C-Min | None | None | None | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 49.6 |  | 40.1 |  | 14.4 | 14.4 |  | 14.4 | 14.4 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.71 |  | 0.57 |  | 0.21 | 0.21 |  | 0.21 | 0.21 |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.64 |  | 0.31 |  | 0.13 | 0.04 |  | 0.12 | 0.61 |
| Control Delay |  | 5.4 |  | 8.7 |  | 22.5 | 11.3 |  | 22.4 | 32.1 |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.2 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay |  | 5.7 |  | 8.8 |  | 22.5 | 11.3 |  | 22.4 | 32.1 |
| LOS |  | A |  | A |  | C | B |  | C | C |
| Approach Delay |  | 5.7 |  | 8.8 |  | 19.8 |  |  | 30.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  | A |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 70
Actuated Cycle Length: 70
Offset: 62 (89\%), Referenced to phase 1:WBTL and 5:EBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 40
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.64
Intersection Signal Delay: 10.1
Intersection Capacity Utilization 63.4\%
Analysis Period (min) 15

Intersection LOS: B
ICU Level of Service B

Splits and Phases: 2: Boices Lane \& John Clark Drive


Timings
Build - PM Peak Hour

| Lane Group | $\begin{gathered} \rightarrow \\ \text { EBT } \end{gathered}$ | EBR | $\leftarrow$ WBT | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { WBR } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { NBL } \end{gathered}$ | NBT | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ \text { SBL } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \downarrow \\ \text { SBT } \end{gathered}$ | $\varnothing 2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \% | ¢ | \% | + |  |
| Volume (vph) | 586 | 410 | 431 | 72 | 366 | 34 | 179 | 92 |  |
| Turn Type |  | Perm |  | Perm | Perm |  | Perm |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 1 |  | 12 |  |  | 3 |  | 3 | 2 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 1 |  | 12 | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 1 | 1 | 12 | 12 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 |  |  | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 23.0 | 23.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 13.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 32.9\% | 32.9\% | 51.4\% | 51.4\% | 48.6\% | 48.6\% | 48.6\% | 48.6\% | 19\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -1.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes |
| Recall Mode | Min | Min |  |  | None | None | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 18.8 | 18.8 | 31.8 | 31.8 | $27.8$ | $27.8$ | $27.8$ | $27.8$ |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.41 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.68 | 0.64 | 0.56 | 0.11 | 0.84 | 0.47 | 0.54 | 0.18 |  |
| Control Delay | 26.6 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 0.3 | 35.5 | 17.4 | 21.5 | 10.7 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 26.6 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 0.3 | 35.5 | $17.4$ | 21.5 | 10.7 |  |
| LOS | C | A | A | A | D | B | C | B |  |
| Approach Delay | 18.5 |  | 5.6 |  |  | 27.8 |  | 17.2 |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  | A |  |  | C |  | B |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 70
Actuated Cycle Length: 67.7
Natural Cycle: 55
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.84
Intersection Signal Delay: 18.1
Intersection Capacity Utilization 59.4\%
Intersection LOS: B
ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 1: Boices Lane \& Driveway


Timings
Build - PM Peak Hour

| Build - Alternative 3_PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | 1 | $t$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| Lane Group | EBT | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  | 3 |
| Lane Configurations | 性 |  | *t |  | * | F' |  | 4 | F |  |  |
| Volume (vph) | 957 | 13 | 335 | 19 | 7 | 22 | 59 | 7 | 149 |  |  |
| Turn Type |  | Perm |  | Perm |  | Perm | Perm |  | Perm |  |  |
| Protected Phases | 13 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 3 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 13 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) |  | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5. |  |
| Minimum Split (s) |  | 15.0 | 15.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10. |  |
| Total Split (s) | 57.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 34. |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 81.4\% | 32.9\% | 32.9\% | 18.6\% | 18.6\% | 18.6\% | 18.6\% | 18.6\% | 18.6\% | 49\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4. |  |
| All-Red Time (s) |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 | -2.0 |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |
| Lead/Lag |  | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lead | Lead | Lead | Lead |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |
| Recall Mode |  | Min | Min | None | None | None | None | None | None | Non |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 51.6 |  | 19.8 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.76 |  | 0.29 |  | 0.15 | 0.15 |  | 0.15 | 0.15 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.40 |  | 0.45 |  | 0.13 | 0.10 |  | 0.36 | 0.60 |  |  |
| Control Delay | 0.8 |  | 21.7 |  | 28.0 | 12.5 |  | 33.1 | 38.6 |  |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.2 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Total Delay | 0.9 |  | 21.7 |  | 28.0 | 12.5 |  | 33.1 | 38.6 |  |  |
| LOS | A |  | C |  | C | B |  | C | D |  |  |
| Approach Delay | 0.9 |  | 21.7 |  | 21.0 |  |  | 36.9 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  | C |  | C |  |  | D |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 70
Actuated Cycle Length: 67.7
Natural Cycle: 55
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.84
Intersection Signal Delay: 11.0
Intersection Capacity Utilization 46.1\%
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 2: Boices Lane \& John Clark Drive
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## Appendix D

# CSX Train Schedule 

Railroad Crossing Study<br>Boices Lane<br>Town of Ulster, Ulster County, New York

Information provided via email from Robert Rohauer at CSX on September 24, 2012

This is a list of the number of trains we have regularly scheduled each week through this area -

|  | Total <br> / Day | / Day | / Day |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Day of week | 23 | 12 | 11 |
| Monday: | 27 | 12 | 15 |
| Tuesday: | 30 | 14 | 16 |
| Wednesday: | 31 | 15 | 16 |
| Thursday: | 29 | 14 | 15 |
| Friday: | 27 | 14 | 13 |
| Saturday: | 24 | 13 | 11 |
| Sunday: | 191 | 94 | 97 |
| Week Totals |  |  |  |

The totals are broken down into Southward (94) and Northward (97) respectively. Please keep in mind that these are just the regularly run trains. We also have numerous additional "extra' trains such as ethanol loads (south) and empties (north).

I asked our network folks to run the actual train movement numbers for the month of August -

| Date | Moves | Avg <br> Length |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8/1/2012 | 31 | 5684 |
| 8/2/2012 | 30 | 5671 |
| 8/3/2012 | 30 | 5142 |
| 8/4/2012 | 29 | 6070 |
| 8/5/2012 | 25 | 6273 |
| 8/6/2012 | 21 | 5565 |
| 8/7/2012 | 31 | 4888 |
| 8/8/2012 | 32 | 5418 |
| 8/9/2012 | 33 | 5271 |
| 8/10/2012 | 29 | 5187 |
| 8/11/2012 | 22 | 6785 |
| 8/12/2012 | 25 | 5715 |
| 8/13/2012 | 22 | 5239 |
| 8/14/2012 | 34 | 4550 |
| 8/15/2012 | 31 | 5456 |
| 8/16/2012 | 31 | 5266 |
| 8/17/2012 | 29 | 5609 |
| 8/18/2012 | 28 | 5996 |
| 8/19/2012 | 24 | 5965 |
| 8/20/2012 | 23 | 5513 |
| 8/21/2012 | 31 | 5116 |
| 8/22/2012 | 33 | 5328 |
| 8/23/2012 | 31 | 5173 |
| 8/24/2012 | 31 | 5408 |
| 8/25/2012 | 31 | 6085 |
| 8/26/2012 | 23 | 5684 |
| 8/27/2012 | 25 | 4883 |
| 8/28/2012 | 29 | 5311 |
| 8/29/2012 | 35 | 5079 |
| 8/30/2012 | 32 | 5459 |
| 8/31/2012 | 32 | 4644 |

We included the average train length in feet to give you a better feel for how long a train would take to clear the crossing. Maximum authorized train speed is 50 mph for trains travelling along the single track main.

## Appendix E

# Preferred Alternative Planning Level Cost Estimate 

Railroad Crossing Study<br>Boices Lane<br>Town of Ulster, Ulster County, New York

## 112-023 - Boices Lane Intersection Improvements <br> 30-Sep-13

## Description of Major Improvements:

Provide additional eastbound thru lane (East Drive Intersection)
Coordinate/replace traffic signals
Maintain separate left-turn, thru, rights-turn lanes on WB approach (East Drive Intersection)
Restripe NB approach for left-turn lane and shared thru/right-turn lane (East Drive intersection)
Restripe WB approach for shared left-turn/thru lane and shared thru/right-turn lane (John Clark Drive intersection)

| Approximate ROW required: | 16800 | SF | 0.3862 | Acres |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM DESCRIPTION | UNITS | PRICE | QUANTITY | TOTAL |
| EAST DRIVE INTERSECTION |  |  |  |  |
| UNCLASSIFIED EXCAVATION AND DISPOSAL | CY | \$20.00 | 1,530 | \$30,592.59 |
| EMBANKMENT IN PLACE | CY | \$16.00 | 611 | \$9,777.78 |
| PAVEMENT - FULL DEPTH (BOX-OUT WIDENING) | SF | \$8.00 | 20,650 | \$165,200.00 |
| PAVEMENT - MILL AND FILL | SF | \$4.00 | 27,425 | \$109,700.00 |
| CURBING | LF | \$40.00 | 850 | \$34,000.00 |
| PEDESTRIAN IMPROVEMENTS (PED SIGNALS AND CROSSWALKS) | LS | \$50,000.00 | 1 | \$50,000.00 |
| NEW SIGNAL @ EAST DRIVEWAY | LS | \$150,000.00 | 1 | \$150,000.00 |
| SIGNING AND PAVEMENT MARKINGS | LS | \$20,000.00 | 1 | \$20,000.00 |
| MODIFY CLOSED DRAINAGE SYSTEM | LS | \$50,000.00 | 1 | \$50,000.00 |
| UTILITY RELOCATIONS | LS | \$12,500.00 | 6 | \$75,000.00 |
| RAILROAD CROSSING |  |  |  |  |
| PAVEMENT - FULL DEPTH (BETWEEN ROW LINES) | SF | \$8.00 | 3,725 | \$29,800.00 |
| SIDEWALKS | SF | \$6.50 | 2,750 | \$17,875.00 |
| PEDESTRIAN TRAIN CROSSING IMPROVEMENTS | LS | \$50,000.00 | 1 | \$50,000.00 |
| NEW GATES, FLASHERS, SIGNS, AND COORDINATION WITH SIGNALS | LS | \$500,000.00 | 1 | \$500,000.00 |
| SIGNING AND PAVEMENT MARKINGS | LS | \$8,000.00 | 1 | \$8,000.00 |
| JOHN CLARK DRIVE INTERSECTION |  |  |  |  |
| PAVEMENT - MILL AND FILL | SF | \$4.00 | 27,800 | \$111,200.00 |
| PEDESTRIAN IMPROVEMENTS (PED SIGNALS AND CROSSWALKS) | LS | \$50,000.00 | 1 | \$50,000.00 |
| NEW SIGNAL @ JOHN CLARK DRIVE | LS | \$150,000.00 | 1 | \$150,000.00 |
| SIGNING AND PAVEMENT MARKINGS | LS | \$10,000.00 | 1 | \$10,000.00 |




[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways, 2009 Edition. Section 8B. 08 Turn Restriction During Preemption, paragraph 1.

