

Boices Lane Railroad Crossing Study

Town of Ulster, Ulster County, NY October, 2013

Prepared For:



NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION



Prepared By: Creighton Monning

Table of Contents

<u> </u>	<u>age</u>
Table of Contents	ii
List of Figures	ii
List of Tables	<u>iii</u>
List of Appendices	
Chapter 1. Introduction	1
A. Study Area	1
B. Methodology	2
Chapter 2. Existing Conditions	4
A. Study Intersections	4
B. Accident History	5
C. Traffic Volumes	6
D. Pedestrian Activity	7
E. Existing Operations	7
F. Train Activity	9
Chapter 3. Alternatives	12
A. Short-Term Improvements	12
B. Long-Term Improvement	12
Chapter 4. Evaluation	19
A. Traffic Volume Forecasts	19
B. Level of Service and Capacity Analysis	19
C. Cost Estimates	21
D. Funding and Implementation	22
Chapter 5. Conclusions and Recommendations	24

List of Figures

	<u>Page</u>
Figure 1.1 – Existing Conditions Assessment	3
Figure 2.1 – 2012 Existing Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	11
Figure 3.1 – Short-Term Option A (Signal Optimization)	14
Figure 3.2 – Short-Term Option B (Increase Capacity)	15
Figure 3.3 – Alternative 1 (Modified Tech City)	16
Figure 3.4 – Alternative 2 (Jug Handle)	17
Figure 3.5 – Alternative 3 (Turn Prohibitions)	18
Figure 4.1 – 2032 PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	23
Figure 5.1 – Preferred Long-Term Alternative	26

List of Tables

Page
6
7
7
8
8
9
10
10
19
20
21

List of Appendices

Appendix A	Accident Evaluation
Appendix B	Traffic Volume Data
Appendix C	Level of Service Analyses and Timing Reports
Appendix D	CSX Train Schedule
Appendix E	Preferred Alternative Planning Level Cost Estimate

Chapter 1. Introduction

This report summarizes the results of a traffic operations and safety assessment at the existing railroad crossing on Boices Lane in the Town of Ulster, Ulster County, New York. The assessment includes the adjacent intersections of Morton Boulevard and John Clark Drive with Boices Lane. The project location is shown in the aerial image below:



A. Study Area

Boices Lane serves about 12,500 vehicles per day (vpd) and provides a connection between the commercial corridor of US Route 9W (Ulster Avenue) and Tech City and Enterprise Drive. In addition, Enterprise Drive provides a connection to US Route 209 allowing vehicles to bypass the US Route 9W interchange connection with US Route 209/NY Route 199.

The study intersections of Morton Boulevard and John Clark Drive are located about 325 feet apart along Boices Lane about 600 feet west of US Route 9W. Both intersections are controlled by traffic signals. The CSX railroad crossing is located between the two intersections approximately 100 feet west of John Clark Drive.

Sidewalks are provided on Boices Lane in the southwest quadrant of the Morton Boulevard intersection along the Stewarts parcel and on the north side of Boices Lane between US Route

9W and John Clark Drive. A multi-use path/sidewalk is also provided on the north side of Boices Lane within the Tech City property limits and along the east side of the CSX railroad which extends from Boices Lane approximately 375-feet north intersecting the shoulder of John Clark Drive.

Land uses in the study area include a mix of large and small scale retail, residential, and service uses. The Stewart's Shop and gas station, located in the southwest quadrant of the Morton Boulevard intersection, has access to Boices Lane and Morton Boulevard. These driveways are located relatively close to the intersection so the traffic entering and exiting Stewart's affects operations at the Boices Lane/Morton Boulevard intersection.

Field visits and discussions with the New York State Department of Transportation (NYSDOT), the Town of Ulster, Ulster County, and CSX identified a number of issues within the study area that affect the operations at the study intersections. Figure 1.1 illustrates a number of these issues; which are generally identified in the bulleted list below.

- Non-compliant, poor condition, or non-existent pedestrian accommodations
- Old and/or faulty traffic signal equipment
- Narrow right-of-way
- Short and narrow eastbound right turn lane on Boices Lane approaching Morton Blvd
- Inconsistent pavement markings and signs
- Acute intersection approach angle from Morton Boulevard approaching Boices Lane
- Long queues and delay during pre-emption

This study is an opportunity to identify modifications that will improve conditions for all users in the study area.

B. Methodology

This study was progressed under the direction an Advisory Committee, and using the *NYSMPO Safety Assessment Guidelines*. The Advisory Committee included the following Agencies.

- Town of Ulster (Supervisor and Department of Public Works (DPW))
- Ulster County DPW
- Ulster County Metropolitan Planning Organization (UCTC)
- NYSDOT
- CSX





Chapter 2. Existing Conditions

A. Study Intersections

At the Boices Lane/Morton Boulevard intersection the eastbound and westbound Boices Lane approaches each provide three lanes for individual travel maneuvers. The northbound Morton Boulevard approach provides a shared left-turn/through lane and right-turn lane. The southbound approach provides a left-turn lane and a shared through/right-turn lane.

At the Boices Lane/John Clark Drive intersection the eastbound approach provides a shared left-turn/through lane and a through/right-turn lane. The westbound, northbound, and southbound approaches each provide shared left-turn/through lanes and right-turn lanes.



As noted previously, the two study intersections operate under traffic signal control. Although these two signals are located close together, they operate independent of each other and are not coordinated.

When a train is approaching the Boices Lane crossing, the traffic signals operate to clear the traffic between the two intersections. The traffic signals then transition to a pre-emption phase. The following image illustrates the signal clearance and pre-emption phasing at the study intersections.

	EXISTING SIGNAL PHASING		
	MORTON BLVD	JOHN CLARK DR	
EXISTING SIGNAL TIMING	Image: state		
CLEARANCE TO PRE-EMPTION	+ + + + +	┷ ╪ ᠇	
PRE-EMPTION			

A review of the existing signal equipment found the following:

- Traffic signal cabinet wiring is old and the insulation is worn
- Several detector loops are not functioning
- Fluctuations in electrical currents frequently cause the traffic signals to go into recall or operate in flash mode
- The MUTCD states that the pre-emption phasing currently in place, allowing right-turn overlaps towards the rail track, should be prohibited toward a rail crossing within 200 feet¹. It is noted that the pre-emption phase that allows certain movements to take place when a train is present, is a relatively recent improvement at these intersections. It was reportedly implemented within the last year.
- The two traffic signals are not currently coordinated

Based upon this review, the existing traffic signal equipment should be upgraded or replaced and the traffic signal phasing should be modified to meet standards and provide optimum operations.

B. Accident History

Accident data was obtained from NYSDOT for the most recent five-year period from January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2011. Table 2.1 summarizes the number and severity of the accidents at and between the study area intersections.

¹ Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways, 2009 Edition. Section 8B.08 Turn Restriction During Preemption, paragraph 1.

Location	Severity				Total
	Fatality	Injury	PDO	NR	
Morton Blvd/Boices Ln	0	5	10	5	20
Boices Ln from Morton Blvd to John Clark Dr	0	1	4	5	10
John Clark Dr/Boices Ln	0	0	6	2	8

PDO = Property Damage Only

NR = Non-Reportable which indicates no personal injuries occurred and property damages totaled less than \$1,000

The data shows 38 accidents occurred within the immediate study area. Accident rates were calculated and compared to the statewide average for the two study intersections. The accident rate at the Morton Boulevard intersection is 0.58 accidents per million vehicles entering the intersection (acc/MEV) while the calculated rate at the John Clark Drive intersection is 0.34 acc/MEV. The statewide average rate for signalized intersections of a similar type is 0.32 acc/MEV. Collision diagrams are included in Appendix A. Review of the accident data identified few discernable patterns.

- There are a variety of types of accidents including rear-end, side swipe, and right angle, among others.
- The crash rate at the Boices Lane/Morton Boulevard intersection is higher than the statewide average for similar intersections. (It is noted that the statewide average rate is based only on intersections with state roads. Since this is an intersection of a county road, and town road, the comparison may not be directly applicable).

The Ulster Police Department summarized accident data along Boices Lane from Ulster Avenue (US Route 9W) to Enterprise Drive for the time period from January 1, 2008 through September 21, 2012. The summary identified a similar number of accidents at the Morton Boulevard intersection (22 collisions) and the John Clark Drive intersection (9 collisions), and showed that four accidents appeared to be related to the railroad crossing gate; two of which were gate violations. The first involved a box truck disregarding the flashing red lights and striking the gates as they closed. The second accident involved an emergency vehicle and a gate malfunction in which the westbound gate lights weren't working.

C. Traffic Volumes

An automatic traffic recorder (ATR) was installed on Boices Lane 900-feet west of Morton Boulevard to document existing traffic volumes. The ATR showed a small reduction in volume as compared to a 2009 count conducted at the same location. Table 2.2 summarizes the average daily and peak hour traffic volumes recorded. As a result, the 2009 existing PM peak hour turning movement data is a conservative representation of existing 2012 conditions.

Boices Lane	2012	2009
AADT	12,400	13,880
DHV (PM Peak)	1,160	1,315
K-Factor	0.094	0.095
DDHV (Eastbound)	645	730
Percent	56%	56%
% Trucks (Daily)	1.5%	3%

AADT = Annual Average Daily Traffic

DHV = Design Hour Volume (K-Factor = Peak hour volume divided by daily volume) DDHV = Directional Design Hour Volume

The table shows that the eastbound direction is the peak direction of travel. This is due to Boices Lane being used as an alternate travel route to US Route 209.

Turning movement traffic counts were conducted at the study area intersections during the morning peak commuter period from 7:15 to 9:00 a.m. in September 2012 to supplement available PM peak hour data. The existing AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes are shown on Figure 2.1. The traffic volume data is included in Appendix B.

D. Pedestrian Activity

Sidewalks are provided on Boices Lane in the southwest quadrant of the Morton Boulevard intersection along the Stewarts parcel and on the north side of Boices Lane between US Route 9W and John Clark Drive. A multi-use path/sidewalk is also provided on the north side of Boices Lane within the Tech City property limits and along the east side of the CSX railroad which extends from Boices Lane approximately 375-feet north intersecting the shoulder of John Clark Drive. Table 2.3 shows a summary of the peak hour pedestrian and bicycle crossings observed during the turning movement counts. The pedestrians and bicyclists were observed using the pedestrian accommodations where available.

Intersection	AM Pea	ak Hour	PM Peak Hour	
mersection	Pedestrians	Bicycles	Pedestrians	Bicycles
Boices Ln/Morton Blvd/Tech City Dwy	2	1	9	0
Boices Ln/John Clark Dr/Plaza Dwy	2	1	2	2

The existing pedestrian network is incomplete. This is especially apparent at the two intersections (there are no pedestrian crossings, push buttons, or indicators) and at the rail crossing where the narrow pavement width results in pedestrians and bicyclists often travelling in the vehicle lane. Pedestrian improvements should be included in the plan for future improvements consistent with the New York State and Ulster County Complete Streets legislation.

E. Existing Operations

Intersection Level of Service (LOS) and capacity analysis relate traffic volumes to the physical characteristics of an intersection. Intersection evaluations were made using the Synchro

Software (version 7) which automates the procedures contained in the *Highway Capacity Manual*. Levels of service range from A to F with level of service A conditions considered excellent with very little delay while level of service F generally represents conditions with very long delays. Table 2.4 identifies the levels of service and associated delay ranges for each type of traffic control. Appendix C contains detailed descriptions of LOS criteria for signalized, unsignalized, and roundabout controlled intersections. Table 2.5 shows the results of the existing levels of service analysis.

Level of	Control Delay (sec/veh)		
Service	Unsignalized Intersection	Signalized or Roundabout Intersection	
A	<u><</u> 10.0	<u><</u> 10.0	
В	>10.0 and <u><</u> 15.0	>10.0 and <u><</u> 20.0	
С	>15.0 and <u><</u> 25.0	>20.0 and <u><</u> 35.0	
D	>25.0 and <u><</u> 35.0	>35.0 and <u><</u> 55.0	
Ē	>35.0 and <u><</u> 50.0	>55.0 and <u><</u> 80.0	
F	>50.0	>80.0	

Table 2.4 – Levels of Service

Intersection		trol	Existin	Existing 2012		
		Con	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour		
Boices Ln/Morton Blvd/Tech Cit	y Dwy	S				
Boices Ln EB	L		B (16.3)	A (0.0)		
	Т		B (19.6)	C (31.1)		
	R		A (6.8)	A (6.4)		
Boices Ln WB	L		A (9.8)	B (13.9)		
	Т		A (9.5)	B (11.8)		
	R		A (8.5)	A (0.0)		
Morton Blvd NB	LT		B (12.8)	B (17.4)		
	R		A (6.1)	A (9.0)		
East Drwy SB	L		C (26.8)	D (35.7)		
-	TR		C (26.9)	D (35.4)		
	Overall		B (11.4)	B (16.4)		
Boices Ln/John Clark Dr/Plaza	Dwy	S				
Boices Ln EB	LT,TR		A (5.2)	A (6.4)		
Boices Ln WB	LT		A (5.6)	A (6.2)		
	R		A (4.4)	A (4.5)		
Retail Drwy NB	LT		B (15.5)	B (16.1)		
-	R		A (0.0)	B (15.5)		
John Clark Dr SB	LT		B (15.9)	B (16.1)		
	R		B (16.0)	B (16.2)		
	Overall		A (7.5)	A (8.3)		

Table 2.5 – Existing Level of Service Summary

TW, AW, S, R = Two-way stop, All-way stop, Signal, or Roundabout controlled intersection NB, SB, EB, WB = Northbound, Southbound, Eastbound, Westbound intersection approaches L, T, R = Left-turn, through, and/or right-turn movements

X (Y.Y) = Level of Service (Average delay in seconds per vehicle)

The analysis shows that the intersections currently operate with acceptable levels of service during the AM and PM peak hours under existing, average conditions. However, field observations show that when a train is crossing Boices Lane, eastbound vehicle queues extend

as far as Enterprise Drive and westbound vehicle queues extend toward Route 9, but were not observed reaching Route 9. The intersections take several traffic signal cycles to recover and return to average operating conditions.

It is noted that the inconsistent pavement striping, signing, narrow travel lanes, and the acute side street approach angle at Morton Boulevard contribute to the complexity of the intersections. The short and narrow eastbound right turn on Boices Lane approaching Morton Boulevard is not long enough to allow traffic to flow freely on this overlap during pre-emption. Providing standard pavement striping and signing, while improving lane widths and lengthening the eastbound right turn lane, will help improve driver guidance and operations during pre-emption.

The evaluation also identifies the PM peak hour as the critical time period with higher traffic volumes, therefore the AM peak hour is eliminated from further analysis. All additional evaluations focus on the PM peak hour.

F. Train Activity

Daily regularly scheduled trains that cross the at-grade railroad crossing on Boices Lane were provided by CSX for the month of August 2012. The data is included in Appendix D. The weekday and weekend data is summarized on Tables 2.6 and 2.7. It is noted that these totals do not include "extra" trains such as ethanol loads (south) and empties (north).

Day	Date	Train Moves	Average Length (feet)
Wednesday	8/1/2012	31	5,684
Thursday	8/2/2012	30	5,671
Friday	8/3/2012	30	5,142
Monday	8/6/2012	21	5,565
Tuesday	8/7/2012	31	4,888
Wednesday	8/8/2012	32	5,418
Thursday	8/9/2012	33	5,271
Friday	8/10/2012	29	5,187
Monday	8/13/2012	22	5,239
Tuesday	8/14/2012	34	4,550
Wednesday	8/15/2012	31	5,456
Thursday	8/16/2012	31	5,266
Friday	8/17/2012	29	5,609
Monday	8/20/2012	23	5,513
Tuesday	8/21/2012	31	5,116
Wednesday	8/22/2012	33	5,328
Thursday	8/23/2012	31	5,173
Friday	8/24/2012	31	5,408
Monday	8/27/2012	25	4,883
Tuesday	8/28/2012	29	5,311
Wednesday	8/29/2012	35	5,079
Thursday	8/30/2012	32	5,459
Friday	8/31/2012	32	4,644
Average		30	5,255

Table 2.6 – CSX Train Movements (Weekday Summary)

Day	Date	Train Moves	Average Length (feet)
Saturday	8/4/2012	29	6,070
Sunday	8/5/2012	25	6,273
Saturday	8/11/2012	22	6,785
Sunday	8/12/2012	25	5,715
Saturday	8/18/2012	28	5,996
Sunday	8/19/2012	24	5,965
Saturday	8/25/2012	31	6,085
Sunday	8/26/2012	23	5,684
Average		26	6,072

An acoustic train counter was also installed adjacent to the rail crossing from October 26 to November 7, however only a few days of reliable data was obtained before Hurricane Sandy hit on October 29, which affected the train service in the area. The two days of data showed reasonable correlations with the data in Table 2.7 where 26 trains were counted on Saturday October 27, and 20 trains were counted on Sunday October 28.

Train speeds range from slow moving trains associated with track changing nearby, to 50 mph high speed trains. Depending on the speed of the train, pre-emption typically lasts approximately two to four minutes.

Table 2.8 summarizes regularly scheduled trains each week.

Day	Train Moves	Northbound	Southbound
Monday	23	11	12
Tuesday	27	15	12
Wednesday	30	16	14
Thursday	31	16	15
Friday	29	15	14
Saturday	27	13	14
Sunday	24	11	13
Total	191	97	94

Table 2.8 – CSX Train Movements (Weekly)



Chapter 3. Alternatives

Based on a review of the existing traffic conditions analysis, three short-term and three long-term alternatives were developed for evaluation. The alternatives are described below.

A. Short-Term Improvements

Three short-term alternatives were identified for evaluation. Option A is shown on Figure 3.1. This option upgrades the existing traffic signals and includes minor roadway/shoulder widening within the right-of-way including widening and lengthening the eastbound right turn lane on Boices Lane at Morton Boulevard, and addresses the existing pavement striping and signing inconsistencies. This is considered the minimum improvement to address existing deficiencies. Option B is shown on Figure 3.2 and includes the Option A changes, and also modifies the approach geometry at both intersections to provide more capacity in anticipation of growth at Tech City. Option C is similar to Option A, with a different signal phasing modification that would provide split phasing at both traffic signals with inside clearance between the two intersections

Option A (Signal Optimization)

- 1. Pave shoulders to the right-of-way on the south side of Boices Lane at the railroad crossing
- 2. Complete the pavement striping including stop bars and turn arrows
- Widen and extend the eastbound right-turn lane on Boices Lane at Morton Boulevard by approximately 250 feet by shortening the second westbound travel lane west of Morton Boulevard
- 4. Improve traffic control with new wiring, signal heads, signs, 2070 traffic signal controllers, and new cabinets. The 2070 controllers will allow improved phasing after pre-emption to clear the queues more quickly. The 2070 controller will also require additional training so that they can be operated and maintained adequately by the Town.
- 5. Restrict left-turns from Boices Lane onto Elmwood Street
- 6. Improve turn restrictions at Stewart's driveway with additional signs

Option B (Increase Capacity)

- 1. Option A improvements, plus:
- 2. Modify geometry on Boices Lane at Morton Boulevard to provide two eastbound through lanes on Boices Lane at Morton Boulevard. Provide two westbound through lanes on Boices Lane at John Clark Drive.

Option C (Split Phasing – Inside Clearance)

1. Split phasing with inside clearance, meaning one signal controller would operate both intersections. The intersections would be phased to eliminate queuing between the two intersections (inside clearance). This would include the same level of investment as Option A to upgrade the traffic signals and address other existing deficiencies.

B. Long-Term Improvement

Three long-term alternatives were evaluated as identified below. These include Tech City (Alternative 1) shown on Figure 3.3, Jug Handle (Alternative 2) shown on Figure 3.4, and Turn Prohibitions (Alternative 3) shown on Figure 3.5. These long term alternatives are more extensive than the short-term options, because they involve additional roadway construction

and right-of-way to address standard lane widths, alignment issues and pedestrian accommodations. There was also a discussion of a possible a raised median along Boices Lane to reduce gate violations and crashes at Stewart's driveways, which could be added to any long term comprehensive reconstruction alternative.

Alternative 1 (Modified Tech City)

This is the same geometric improvement contained in the Tech City GEIS. The only change is the addition of pedestrian accommodations.

- 1. Widen and extend eastbound right turn lane on Boices Lane and at Morton Boulevard
- 2. Increase the radius for the Morton Boulevard northbound right-turn movement onto Boices Lane. This will require right-of-way and utility relocation.
- 3. Add a second eastbound through lane on Boices Lane approaching Morton Boulevard
- 4. Provide crosswalks at the Morton Boulevard and John Clark Drive intersections and pedestrian accommodations across the RR tracks (on one side at a minimum). Note crosswalks are not proposed on Boices Lane between the two intersections because this would require relocating the stop line and reducing the available storage between the railroad and each intersection.
- 5. Improve signal control with new pedestrian signals, vehicle phasing, and signal coordination. This will require relocation of CSX train pre-emption detection to enable sufficient pedestrian clearance time.
- 6. Obtain right-of-way and easements as needed for roadway and pedestrian improvements

Alternative 2 (Jug Handle)

- 1. Eliminate westbound lefts from Boices Lane to Morton Boulevard and construct jug handle for left turns to Morton Boulevard.
- 2. Install pedestrian accommodations at the intersections and sidewalks across the railroad crossing with same considerations as Alternative 1.
- 3. Add a second eastbound through lane on Boices Lane approaching Morton Boulevard
- 4. Improve signal control consistent with Alternative 1.
- 5. Obtain right-of-way and easements as needed for roadway and pedestrian improvements

Alternative 3 (Turn Prohibitions)

The intent of the Turn Prohibition Alternative is to eliminate all queuing on the RR tracks.

- 1. Eastbound left turns would be restricted from Boices Lane onto John Clark Drive and westbound left turns would be restricted from Boices Lane onto Morton Boulevard.
- 2. Peak hour volumes on the order of 150 vehicles per hour currently making these turns, would divert to other roadways or intersections. The Advisory Committee felt this would require further study of the impact of the diverted trips.
- 3. This concept also includes modifications to side street geometry at both intersections as shown on the Figure 3.5.



INDEX								
ROAD CONSTRUCTION								
PAVEMENT MARKINGS								
SIGNING AND SIGNALS								





INDEX								
ROAD CONSTRUCTION								
PAVEMENT MARKINGS								
SIGNING AND SIGNALS								



PAVE SHOULDER TO ROW AND EXTEND RIGHT TURN LAN

INCREASE CORNER RADII BY REMOVING UTILITY POLE, OBTAIN ROW AND PAVE

MAINTAIN SEPARATE LEFT-TURN, THROUGH, AND RIGHT-TURN LANES ON THE WESTBOUND APPROACH. FUTURE ANALYSIS IS REQUIRED TO DETERMINE THE NEED FOR A SHARED THROUGH/RIGHT-TURN LANE.

PROVIDE 4-LANE CROSS SECTION ACROSS RAILROAD TRACKS AND INSTALL SIDEWALKS ACROSS RAILROAD CROSSING

ADD EASTBOUND THROUGH LANE

PROVIDE PEDESTRIAN CROSSWALKS

IMPROVE TRAFFIC SIGNAL CONTROL WITH NEW PEDESTRIAN SIGNALS, PHASING, AND COORDINATION. MAY REQUIRE RELOCATION OF CSX TRAIN PRE-EMPTION DETECTION TO ENABLE SUFFICIENT PEDESTRIAN CLEARANCE TIME.

8

E

(Co) =1

See

ALC: NO

1035

6

FLOTEFPierr

500

120

98

 3

OBTAIN ROW AS NECESSARY FOR ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS





PAVE SHOULDER TO ROW AND EXTEND RIGHT TURN LAN

INCREASE CORNER RADII BY REMOVING UTILITY POLE, OBTAIN ROW AND PAVE

ELIMINATE WESTBOUND LEFTS AND CONSTRUCT JUG HANDLE FOR TURNS ONTO MORTON BLVD

INSTALL SIDEWALKS ACROSS RAILROAD CROSSING

ADD EASTBOUND THROUGH LANE

PROVIDE PEDESTRIAN CROSSWALKS

IMPROVE TRAFFIC SIGNAL CONTROL WITH NEW PEDESTRIAN SIGNALS, PHASING, AND COORDINATION. MAY REQUIRE RELOCATION OF CSX TRAIN PRE-EMPTION DETECTION TO ENABLE SUFFICIENT PEDESTRIAN CLEARANCE TIME.

6

FLETEFPLEM

5

100

2

100

(III)

5

Call at

-

4

1000

OBTAIN ROW AS NECESSARY FOR ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS

MEDIAN OPTION TO REDUCE GATE VIOLATIONS AND CRASHES AT STEWART'S DRIVEWAYS





Chapter 4. Evaluation

A. Traffic Volume Forecasts

Traffic volumes were developed for the 2032 future conditions to evaluate the effectiveness of the long-term alternatives. The future traffic volumes include traffic associated with development at Tech City and general background growth and are illustrated on Figure 4.1.

B. Level of Service and Capacity Analysis

The relative impact of the short-term and long-term improvements can be determined by comparing the level of service during the design year for the Existing and Build or Null and Build traffic conditions. Tables 4.1 and 4.2 summarize the results of the Level of Service calculations for the PM peak hour for the short- and long-term improvements, respectively.

Intersection		trol	PM Peak Hour Short-Term Options (2012)						
		Cont	Existing	Option A (Optimization)	Option B (Capacity)	Option C (Phasing)			
Boices Ln/Morton Blvd/Tech C	City Dwy	S							
Boices Ln EB	Ĺ		A (0.0)	A (0.0)		A (0.0)			
	Т		C (31.1)	C (24.9)		E (66.2)			
	[LT,T]				B (19.9)				
	R		A (6.8)	A (5.5)	A (6.2)	C (30.3)			
Boices Ln WB	L		B (13.9)	A (8.7)	A (6.3)	B (11.9)			
	Т		B (11.8)	A (6.1)		B (11.9)			
	R		A (0.0)	A (0.0)		A (0.0)			
	[TR]				A (6.9) B (17.3)	 E (59.6)			
Morton Blvd NB	LT		B (17.4)	B (18.8)					
	R		A (9.0)	B (11.4)	A (9.7)	C (27.9)			
East Drwy SB	L		D (35.7)	C (33.8)	C (33.5)	C (23.7)			
	TR		D (35.4)	C (33.3)	C (33.1)	C (23.4)			
	Overall		B (16.5)	B (13.7)	B (12.1)	D (38.5)			
Boices Ln/John Clark Dr/Plaza	a Dwy	S							
Boices Ln EB	LT,TR		A (6.4)	A (1.1)	A (1.7)	A (0.5)			
Boices Ln WB	LT		A (6.2)	A (8.1)		E (72.3)			
	R		A (4.5)	A (5.9)		C (29.5)			
[LT,TR]					A (6.8)				
Retail Drwy NB LT R			B (16.1)	C (23.7)	C (23.7)	D (36.1)			
			B (15.5)	C (23.1)	C (23.1)	D (35.1)			
John Clark Dr SB	LT		B (16.1)	C (23.6)	C (23.6)	D (36.0)			
	R		B (16.2)	C (25.9)	C (25.9)	E (57.8)			
	Overall		A (8.3)	A (7.8)	A (7.8)	C (29.3)			

Table 4.1 – Short-Term Improvements Levels of Service

TW, AW, S, R = Two-way stop, All-way stop, Signal, or Roundabout controlled intersection

NB, SB, EB, WB = Northbound, Southbound, Eastbound, Westbound intersection approaches

L, T, R = Left-turn, through, and/or right-turn movements

[LT,T] = Future approach geometry

X (Y.Y) = Level of Service (Average delay in seconds per vehicle)

-- = Not applicable

The analysis shows that the study intersections will operate with levels of service comparable to existing conditions with implementation of either Short-term Option A or Short-term Option B. Delays under Short-term Option C would be three or four times greater than existing, which make this alternative less feasible. For clarification, Short-term Option C (Phasing – Inside Clearance) would require upgrades to the existing traffic signals to allow both intersections to

operate as one. The specific phasing is shown on the Synchro Timing Reports in Appendix C. As noted previously, providing standard pavement striping and signing, while improving lane widths to the extent possible, which is included in all of the short term improvements, will help to strengthen driver guidance and improve safety and operations at the intersections.

Intersection		trol	PM Peak Hour Long Range Options (2032)						
		Con	Null	Tech City Alternative 1	Jug Handle Alternative 2	Prohibit Turns Alternative 3			
Boices Ln/Morton Blvd/Tech	City Drwy	S							
Boices Ln EB	L		A (0.0)						
	Т		F (85.4)						
	[T,T]			C (28.3)	B (19.4)	C (23.2)			
	R		C (22.4)	A (9.3)	A (7.5)	B (19.5)			
Boices Ln WB	L		F (156)	C (30.3)					
	Т		B (19.8)	B (15.7)	C (23.4)	A (4.2)			
	R		C (23.3)	B (10.1)		A (0.1)			
Morton Blvd NB LT			D (39.1)						
R			B (14.5)						
	[L]			B (18.3)	C (25.6)	C (29.3)			
	[TR]			C (32.8)	C (22.5)	B (14.7)			
East Drwy SB	Ĺ		F (133)	C (27.0)	B (16.4)	B (15.9)			
	TR		C (22.0)	C (30.1)	D (42.3)	B (12.6)			
	Overall		E (56.6)	C (22.2)	C (22.0)	B (17.5)			
Boices Ln/John Clark Dr/Plaz	a Drwy	S							
Boices Ln EB	LT,TR		A (8.1)	A (5.5)	A (4.5)				
	[T,TR]					A (0.4)			
Boices Ln WB	LT		B (11.3)						
R			A (6.8)						
[LT,TR]				A (8.2)	A (8.2)	B (19.6)			
Retail Drwy NB			C (33.7)	C (22.8)	C (22.8)	C (25.1)			
			C (32.8)	C (22.1)	C (22.1)	C (24.6)			
John Clark Dr SB	LT		C (33.7)	C (22.7)	C (22.7)	C (26.3)			
	R		D (40.7)	C (27.2)	C (27.2)	C (29.3)			
	Overall		B (13.6)	A (9.4)	A (8.8)	A (9.2)			

Table 4.2 – Long-Term Improvements Levels of Service

TW, AW, S, R = Two-way stop, All-way stop, Signal, or Roundabout controlled intersection

NB, SB, EB, WB = Northbound, Southbound, Eastbound, Westbound intersection approaches

L, T, R = Left-turn, through, and/or right-turn movements

[LT,T] = Future approach geometry

X (Y.Y) = Level of Service (Average delay in seconds per vehicle)

-- = Not applicable

The level of service analysis shows that under 2032 Null conditions, several movements at the Boices Lane/Morton Boulevard intersection will operate at level of service F. Improvements are needed to provide generally acceptable levels of service. The Boices Lane/John Clark Drive intersection will operate at overall level of service B under future 2032 conditions. With implementation of long-term alternatives 1, 2, or 3, the Morton Boulevard intersection will operate at overall level of service C with all movements operating at level of service D or better. At John Clark Drive, the intersection will operate at overall level of service C or better.

In addition the sidewalk and crosswalk features associated with construction of the long-term alternatives will provide substantial benefit to pedestrians.

C. Cost Estimates

The long-range capacity alternatives will be costly to construct and require public hearings for modifications to the rail crossing. While some type of capacity improvement is expected to be needed in the future with development of Tech City, a large scale capital project is not likely at this time based on discussions with the Advisory Committee, and due to limited funding. Cost estimates were prepared for the short-term improvements to identify funding needs associated with the two feasible options.

Improvements to the traffic signal phasing and signing may require installation of new traffic signal poles subject to the loading capacity of the existing poles. In addition, due to the extent of the lane and pavement markings, milling and replacing the existing top coat of asphalt may be needed to provide a clean surface for the new markings. Table 4.3 summarizes the planning level cost estimates for the two short-term options assuming partial replacement of traffic control equipment and grinding of the existing pavement markings for Option A, and full replacement of traffic signals and mill and fill for Option B.

	Cost				
	Option A	Option B			
Item Description					
Signal Components – Morton Blvd	\$74,000				
Signal – Morton Blvd		\$119,000			
Signal Components – John Clark Dr	\$136,000	\$181,000			
Signal – John Clark Dr					
Striping – Morton Blvd	\$13,000	\$20,000			
Striping – John Clark Dr	\$13,000	\$20,000			
Box Out widening	\$20,000	\$20,000			
Mill and fill		\$170,000			
Item Sub-Total	\$256,000	\$530,000			
Construction					
Contingency (25%)	\$64,000	\$132,500			
Work Zone Traffic Control (6%)	\$15,400	\$31,800			
Survey and Stakeout (2%)	\$5,200	\$10,600			
Construction Sub-Total	\$340,600	\$704,900			
Mobilization (4%)	\$13,600	\$28,200			
Construction Total	\$354,200	\$733,100			
Soft Costs					
Design Engineering (12%)	\$42,500	\$88,000			
Construction Inspection (15%)	\$53,100	\$110,000			
Project Total	\$449,800	\$931,100			
Project Estimate	\$455,000	\$935,000			

Table 4.3 – Short-Term Improvement Cost Estimates

The cost estimate comparison shows that the minimum recommended investment is approximately \$455,000 to rewire the traffic signals, replace the signal controllers and address other existing deficiencies. Adding geometry, additional roadway work and new traffic signals associated with Option B increases the overall cost to approximately \$935,000.

D. Funding and Implementation

A meeting was held with the NYSDOT Main Office Rail group to discuss the availability of Section 130 grade crossing safety program funds for some or all of these improvements. Discussions with NYSDOT and review of the program web site – <u>https://www.dot.ny.gov/</u> <u>divisions/operating/osss/rail/grade-crossings</u> revealed the following priorities for the grade crossing safety program:

- 1. Addressing crossings that warrant interconnection with highway traffic signals
- 2. Improving pedestrian crossing safety
- 3. Mitigating profile deficient crossings
- 4. Updating existing active warning devices/signals at grade crossings
- 5. Updating passive public crossings
- 6. Closing/eliminating crossings

This study has shown that Items 1 and 2 (highway traffic signal improvements and pedestrian crossing improvements) are needed in the area. Conversations with Advisory Committee and the NYSDOT Main Office also noted that item 4 (updating the active warning devices) would be needed to accommodate future pedestrian crossing improvements. The NYSDOT web site also states:

"New York's Grade Crossing Program focuses on improving safety at existing highwayrailroad crossings primarily through the installation of warning devices. Such devices include: standard signs and pavement markings; installation or replacement of active warning devices (flashers and gates); upgrading active warning devices, including track circuitry improvements and interconnections with highway traffic signals; crossing illumination; crossing surface improvements; and general site improvements".

It was concluded that the NYSDOT Region 8 would continue to coordinate with the NYSDOT Main Office to secure Section 130 funds. Additional cost sharing discussions are required with the Town, and the MPO to determine if additional sources of funding can be applied to the project. At this time, it appears that the Section 130 funds would be used toward Item 4 above to widen the crossing and install new stanchions, so that a separate future pedestrian improvement project and highway traffic signal project could tie into the new widened crossing.



Chapter 5. Conclusions and Recommendations

This report summarizes the results of a traffic operations and safety assessment at the existing railroad crossing on Boices Lane in the Town of Ulster, Ulster County, New York. The assessment includes the adjacent intersections of Morton Boulevard and John Clark Drive with Boices Lane and finds the following conditions exist.

- Non-compliant, poor condition, or non-existent pedestrian accommodations
- Pedestrian activity at the RR crossing
- Old and/or faulty highway traffic signal equipment
- Narrow right-of-way
- Short and narrow eastbound right turn lane on Boices Lane approaching Morton Boulevard
- Inconsistent pavement markings and signs
- Acute intersection approach angle from Morton Boulevard approaching Boices Lane causes lane encroachment
- Long vehicular queues and delay during pre-emption, which typically lasts two to four minutes (an average of 30 trains per day use the crossing)
- Train speeds ranging from low speed associated track switching, to high speed 50 mph trains
- Crash rate at the Boices Lane/Morton Boulevard intersection is above the statewide average for similar intersections
- The accident evaluation identified two gate violations including one involving malfunctioning equipment
- Average daily traffic volumes on the order of 12,500 vehicles per day at the crossing

Many of these conditions point to the need for improvements at the crossing and at the adjacent intersections. The primary goals of the improvement options are to improve driver guidance and traffic operations and safety, improve pedestrian accommodations, and improve traffic operations during and immediately after pre-emption. Short term options include:

- Option A: Upgrade the highway traffic signal equipment and increase the length of the eastbound right-turn lane at Morton Boulevard, which will allow traffic in this lane to flow more readily during pre-emption.
- Option B: Install new highway traffic signals, increase the length of the eastbound rightturn lane at Morton Boulevard, provide two eastbound through lanes at Morton Boulevard and two westbound through lanes at John Clark Drive. This improvement provides more capacity for growth at Tech City.
- Option C: Provide split phasing at the two highway traffic signals to enable inside clearance i.e. no queuing between the two traffic signals.

Implementation of either Option A or Option B will provide good traffic operations with improved driver guidance. Vehicular delays under Option C would be three or four times greater than existing, which make this alternative less feasible.

Several long term alternatives were also investigated which would involve roadway widening, pedestrian accommodations, and right-of-way acquisition. These alternatives included:

• Alternative 1 (Modified Tech City): Upgrade and connect pedestrian accommodations, provide a 4-lane cross section across the railroad tracks, upgrade the traffic signal equipment, improve the railroad crossing, upgrade non-standard features.

- Alternative 2 (Jug Handle): Upgrade and connect pedestrian accommodations, eliminate westbound lefts from Boices Lane to Morton Boulevard, upgrade traffic signal equipment, improve the railroad crossing, upgrade non-standard features.
- Alternative 3 (Turn Prohibitions): Upgrade and connect pedestrian accommodations, restrict eastbound lefts to John Clark Drive and westbound lefts to Morton Boulevard, upgrade traffic signal equipment, improve the railroad crossing, upgrade non-standard features.

When comparing the short- and long-term alternatives, the primary distinctions are the right-ofway impacts, pedestrian improvements, widening the railroad crossing (new RR stanchions), and project costs. The long-term improvements also address the need for standard lane widths, radii, and lane alignment. While the long-term improvements are expected to be needed in the future with the development of Tech City, the immediately anticipated growth does not necessitate capacity improvements, and funding for this level of improvement is not currently available, therefore, the implementation plan focuses on short-term strategies and improvements.

Discussions with the NYSDOT and the Advisory Committee concluded that Section 130 grade crossing safety program funds would be pursued for short-term improvements in the area. This could cover the cost of widening the crossing, installing new RR signal stanchions, and relocating the train detection to accommodate necessary pedestrian clearance times for a future highway traffic signal and pedestrian improvement project funded separately.

In the long-term, Alternative 1 (Modified Tech City) has been identified as the preferred alternative for the following reasons:

- Completes the pedestrian network in the study area.
- Provides good operations.
- Addresses roadway capacity and alignment needs.
- Results in small ROW impacts
- Addresses the Morton Boulevard turning maneuver encroachment.
- Clarifies driver guidance

Planning level cost estimates were prepared for the preferred long-term alternative and are included in Appendix E. Total project cost is estimated at \$3,150,000, which includes contingencies, engineering and construction inspection. This cost will be off-set somewhat to the extent that the short-term improvements are pursued and retained. The preferred long-term alternative is shown on Figure 5.1.

On-going coordination is recommended with the MPO, the Town, and the NYSDOT to confirm additional funding and responsibilities.



Craiabhaa	PROJECT:	112-023
	DATE:	10/2013
	FIGURE:	5.1
MODIFIED 1 PREFERRED A	ECH CI	ΓΥ .TIVE

Appendix A

Accident Evaluation

Railroad Crossing Study Boices Lane Town of Ulster, Ulster County, New York

STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION TRAFFIC SAFETY DIVISION ACCIDENT DETAILS, HISTORY LOCATION

DIAGRAM No.:

	Ulster TOWN CITY VILLAGE OF FROM: January 1, 2007	TO: December 31, 2011	P.I.N.:	5	44.23.02-04 ENVIRONME Use Codes fr categories 6	ROUTE NO. OR STREET NAME: Boices Lane AT INTERSECTION WITH/OR BETWEEN: John Clark Drive INTAL: Light Conditions: Roadway Character: Roadway Surface (om MV 104 (shown at right) for these 1. Daylight 1. Straight & Level 1. Dry 2. Dawn 2. Straight & Grade 2. Wet 3. Dusk 3. Straight & Hillcrest 3. Muddy 4. Dark Road Lighted 4. Curve & Level 4. Snowlice 5. Dark Road Unlighted 5. Curve & Grade 5. Slush 6. Curve & Hillcrest 10. Other							CASE No.: 112-23 FILE: BY: MDN DATE: 10/04/12 e Condition: Weather: 1. Clear 2. Cloudy 3. Rain 4. Snow 5. Steet/Hall/Freezing Rain 6. Fog/Smog/Smoke 10. Other	-
1 ACCIDENT No.	DATE	3 TIME	No. of VEHICLES	SEVERITY	LIGHT CONDITIONS	ROADWAY CHARACTER	ROADWAY SURFACE CONDITION	WEATHER	4PPARENT CONTRIBUTING FACTORS	DIRECTION	¹ Use Codes fro TYPE ¹	DIM MV 104 Police I	Report IPTION	REFERENCE MARKER
1	06/01/09	18.16	2	NR	1	1	1	1	4	SB/WB	RE	V1 SB struck V2	SB due to driver inattention	
2	05/22/10	14.30	2	PDO	1	1	1	2	4, 7	WB/SB	RA	V1 WB FTYROW	/ to V1 SB due to driver inattention	
3	11/13/07	17.11	2	PDO	5	1	1	2	4	SWB/WB	RT	V1 SB was makir	ng a right-turn and struck V2 WB due to driver inattention	
4	08/08/08	19.45	2	PDO	3	1	2	2	4	WB	RE	V1 WB struck V2	WB due to driver inattention	
5	12/04/10	9.14	2	PDO	1	1	1	2	9	WB/SB	RE	V1 WB was back	ing unsafely and struck V2 SB	_
6	09/19/10	13.27	2	PDO	1	1	1	2	7	WB/EB	RA	V1 EB made a lef	ft-turn in front of V2 WB and FTYROW	
7	05/06/09	12.08	2	PDO	1	1	1	2	42, 17	WB/NB	RA	V1 WB disregard	ed the traffic signal due to defective brakes and struck V2 N	3
8	07/25/09	17.13	2	NR	1	1	1	2	3, 4	WB/EB	НО	V1 backed up WE	B and struck V2 EB (stopped) due to driver inattention	
														-
					1									
														1

STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION TRAFFIC SAFETY DIVISION ACCIDENT DETAILS, HISTORY LOCATION

DIAGRAM No.:

				ROUTE NO.	OR STREET	NAME:											
COUNTY:			P.I.N.:		44.23.02-04	Boices Lane				CASE No.: 112-23	_						
0	CITY					AT INTERSE	ECTION WITH	OR BETWEE	N:	BY: MDN							
0	VILLAGE OF					Jahr Clark D		Devilevend		DATE: 10/04/12							
			-	-		John Clark L	rive to morton	Boulevard									
TIME PERIOD	FROM: January 1, 2007	TO: December 31, 2011 4 5 6			ENTAL: rom MV 104 (shown at right) for these 7 8 9			Light Conditions: 1. Daylight 2. Dawn 3. Dusk 4. Dark Road Lighted 5. Dark Road Unlighted	Roadway Character: Roadway Surface 1. Straight & Level 1. Dry 2. Straight & Grade 2. Wet 3. Straight & Hillcrest 3. Muddy 4. Curve & Level 4. Snow/Ice 5. Curve & Grade 5. Slush 6. Curve & Hillcrest 10. Other		Roadway Surface 1. Dry 2. Wet 3. Muddy 4. Snow/Ice 5. Slush 10. Other	e Condition: Weather: 1. Clear 2. Cloudy 3. Rain 4. Snow 5. Sleet/Hail/Freezing Rain 6. Fog/Smog/Smoke 10. Other					
NO. OF MONTHS: 36			CLES		S	2			(10)		¹ Use Codes fro	m MV 104 Police	Report				
1 ACCIDENT No.	2 DATE	3 TIME	No. of VEHI	SEVERITY	LIGHT CONDITION	ROADWAY CHARACTE	ROADWAY SURFACE CONDITION	WEATHER	APPARENT CONTRIBUTING FACTORS	DIRECTION	TYPE ¹	(11) DESCR	IPTION	REFERENCE MARKER			
9	12/22/07	16.02	2	PDO *	1	1	1	2	4	EB	RE	V1 EB rear-ende	d V2 EB due to driver inattention				
10	12/27/10	10.48	2	PDO *	1	1	4	2	40, 66	EB	RE	V1 EB rear-ende	d V2 EB due to slippery pavement				
11	06/14/10	14.09	1	NR	1	1	1	2	17	WB	Non-Collision	V1 WB disregard	V1 WB disregarded the traffic signal				
12	12/21/11	15.21	1	NR	1	1	2	3	UNK	WB	Collision	V1 WB slowed a					
13	12/07/11	18.02	2	NR	4	1	2	3	4	EB	RE	V1 WB rear-ende	/1 WB rear-ended V2 EB due to driver inattention				
14	07/26/11	13.15	2	PDO *	1	1	1	1	4	WB/SWB	RA	V1 SB made a rig	/1 SB made a right-turn and struck V2 WB				
15	04/27/07	12.38	2	PDO	1	1	1	1	4, 7	NB/EB	RA	V1 NB FTYROW	V1 NB FTYROW and struck V2 EB due to driver inattention				
16	04/10/10	22.08	2	INJ	4	1	1	1	4, 14 (Ped)	EB	PED	V1 EB struck a p	V1 EB struck a pedestrian who was not at an intersection (ped inattention)				
17	11/05/11	13.39	2	NR	1	1	1	1	4	WB	OV	V1 WB struck V2	V1 WB struck V2 WB while overtaking due to driver inattention				
18	07/23/07	16.22	1	NR	1	1	1	2	40	NB	CURB	V1 struck a curb					
														1			

STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION TRAFFIC SAFETY DIVISION ACCIDENT DETAILS, HISTORY LOCATION

DIAGRAM No.:

							OR STREET	NAME:										
COUNTY:	COUNTY: Ulster P.I.N.: 44.23.02-0									CASE	No.:		112-23	_				
۲	TOWN					Duices Lane				F	FILE:			_				
О СІТҮ						AT INTERSE	ECTION WITH	OR BETWEE	N:					BY:		MDN	_	
O VILLAGE OF						Morton Boule	evard			D	ATE:	1	10/04/12	_				
TIME PERIOD	IME PERIOD FROM: TO: ENVIRONME				ENTAL: Light Conditions: Roadway Character: Roadway Surface								We	eather:				
	January 1	December 31	combor 31		Use Codes fr	om MV 104 (s	hown at right)	for these	1. Daylight 2. Dawn	1. Straight & Level 2. Straight & Grade		1. Dry 2. Wet		1. C 2. C	Clear Cloudy			
2007 2011 3. Dusk 4. Dark Road Light					3. Dusk 4. Dark Road Lighted	 Straight & Hillcres Curve & Level 	st	 Muddy Snow/Ice 	3. Rain 4. Snow									
			$\left(\begin{array}{c}4\end{array}\right)$	(5)	6	(7) (8) (9)		9	5. Dark Road Unlighted	5. Curve & Grade 5. S 6. Curve & Hillcrest 10		5. Slush 10. Other	5. Slush 5. Sleet/Hail/Freezing Rain 10. Other 6. Fog/Smog/Smoke					
No. OF MONTHS: 36		Es								¹ Use Codes fro	om MV 104 Police	10 Other			1			
			HICLE	~	SNO	∼ ER	≻ z	~		DIRECTION	CTION TYPE ¹ 11 DESCRI		<pre>iPTION</pre>			REFERENCE		
	DATE	TIME	of VE	ERIT	DITIC	DWA	FACE	IHE						MARKER				
ACCIDENT No.			No. (SEVI	LIGH	ROA CHA	ROA SUR CON	WEA	FACTORS									
19	03/27/09	18.36	2	NR	1	1	1	1	4	EB	RE	V1 EB rear-ended V2 EB due to driver inattention						
20	02/23/07	9.58	2	NR	1	1	2	1	4	EB	RE	V1 EB rear-ended V2 EB due to driver inattention						
21	02/03/08	13.33	2	PDO	1	1	1	2	4	NB/WB	RA	V1 NB made a le	V1 NB made a left-turn and struck V2 WB due to driver inattention					
22	09/05/07	11.51	2	PDO	1	1	1	1	7	SB/EB	RA	V2 SB made a le	V2 SB made a left-turn and FTYROW to V1 EB					
23	06/28/07	12.19	2	PDO	1	1	1	2	4	NEB/NB	LT	V1 NB made a le	V1 NB made a left-turn and struck V2 NB due to driver inattention					
24	04/09/07	13.24	2	INJ	1	1	1	1	UNK	EB	RE	V1 EB was makir	/1 EB was making a right-turn and rear-ended V2 EB					
25	05/29/07	17.45	2	PDO	1	1	1	1	20	NB	OV	V2 NB changed I	V2 NB changed lanes unsafely and struck V1 NB while overtaking					
26	05/11/07	15.3	2	NR	1	1	1	1	4, 7	NB	OV	V1 NB changed I	V1 NB changed lanes (FTYROW) and struck V2 NB due to driver inattention					
27	02/16/07	19.33	2	INJ	4	1	4	1	7, 66	NB/WB	RA	V1 WB FTYROW	V1 WB FTYROW to V2 NB due to slippery pavement					
28	01/10/08	11.4	2	INJ	1	1	1	2	4	WB	RE	V1 WB rear-ende	d V2 WB due to c	driver inat	ttention			
29	06/23/08	20.39	1	PDO	1	1	1	1	40	NB	Hydrant	V1 NB struck a fi	e hydrant while re	eacting to	o another vel	hicle	_	
30	01/10/08	12.27	2	PDO	1	1	1	2	4, 7	NB/EB	RA	V1 NB FTYROW	and struck V2 EE	3 due to d	driver inatten	tion		
31	07/22/08	10.58	2	PDO	1	1	1	1	4, 20	EB	OV	V1 EB made and	unsafe lane chan	nge due to	o driver inatt	ention striking V2 EB		
32	04/20/11	18.2	2	INJ	1	1	1	2	4, 17	NWB/EB	RA	V1 EB disregarde	d the traffic signa	al and stru	uck V2 NB d	ue to driver inattentio	n vr	
33	04/27/10	13.25	2	PDO	1	1	1	1	4, 17	EB/WB	RA	inattention						
34	12/07/10	9.36	2	PDO	1	1	1	2	FTKR, 18	NB/SWB	НО	V1 SB made an i	V1 SB made an improper left-turn and struck V1 NB while failing to keep right					
35	03/21/11	15.17	2	PDO	1	1	2	3	18	SWB/NEB	LT	V2 SB made an i	mproper turn and	struck V1	1 NB			
36	12/03/11	15.17	2	NR	1	1	1	1	4	WB	RE	V1 WB rear-ende	d V2 WB due to c	driver inat	ttention			
37	09/29/07	9.43	2	INJ	1	1	1	1	4	EB	RE	V1 EB rear-ende	d V2 EB due to dr	river inatte	ention			
38	08/17/09	9.02	2	NR	1	1	1	1	4	EB	RE	V1 EB rear-ende	J V2 EB due to dr	river inatte	ention			

Creighton Manning COLLISION DIAGRAM



F:\PROJECTS\112-023 BOICES LANE\CADD\DGN\112-023_COLLDIAG.DGN

Creighton Manning COLLISION DIAGRAM



F: \ PROJECTS \ 112-023 BOICES LANE \ CADD \ DGN \ 112-023_COLLDIAG.DGN

Creighton Manning COLLISION DIAGRAM

TOWN ULSTER	JOB NO. <u>112-023</u>					_ NU	NUMBER OF ACCIDENTS								
INTERSECTION OF	A	AND MORTON BLVD BY MDN													
PERIOD <u>5</u> YRS	FROM <u>1/1/07</u> TO <u>12/31/11</u> DATE <u>10/4/12</u>														
INDICATE N.	MC	MORTON BLVD													
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<u>9/5/07 A</u> D, C														
₩, c ₩ @ ^{8/17/09 A}		,		4/27/10 A D, C D											₽
Image: Second secon			-	$- \frac{1}{D, C} (13)$										CES LAI	
Image: Constraint of the second sec	1/10/08 A											BOI			
6 <u>4/9/07 A</u> D, C	12	$\begin{array}{c} \overbrace{12} \\ \overbrace{12} \\ \hline \end{array}$													
			© ²¹³⁽		(C) 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0,	D, C	36/23/08 A	5/11/07 A							
(#) = TABLE TE 213 ID NUM	МС	RTON B			8	r	DATE. TIME								
PATH OF MOV PATH OF STOI P PEDESTRIAN I B BICYCLIST PA A ANIMAL PATH FATAL O NON-FATAL	AR END RKED VI ED OBJ ERTURI T OF CC ERTAKI	Collisi Ehicle Ect Ned Dntrol Ng/Side:	ON SWIPE	F F P V	PAVEME IME: AVEMEI VEATHE	NT, WEA A=A NT: D=E R: C=C SL= CL=	THER C AM P=P DRY I=IC CLEAR F SLEET (CLOUD	onditio M Y W=WE =Fog R S=SNOV Y	DNS ET =RAIN V	-					
ACCIDENT SUMMARY	HT NIGHT						TOTALS								
CLASSIFICATION BY TYPES	FATAL	NON- FATAL	PROP. DAM.	NON- REP.	TOTAL	FATAL	NON- FATAL	PROP. DAM.	NON- REP.	TOTAL	FATAL	NON- FATAL	prop. Dam.	NON- REP.	TOTAL
RIGHT ANGLE		1	4		5		1			1		2	4		6
REAR-END		3		4	7							3		4	7
HEAD-ON / BACKING			1		1								1		1
			2		2								2		2
OVERTAKING/SIDESWIPE			2	1	3								2	1	3
			4										1		1
			1										1		
PEDESTRIAN/BICYCLIST															
OTHER															
TOTALS		4	10	5	19		1			1		5	10	5	20

F: \ PROJECTS \ 112-023 BOICES LANE \ CADD \ DGN \ 112-023_COLLDIAG.DGN
Appendix B

Traffic Volume Data

Railroad Crossing Study Boices Lane Town of Ulster, Ulster County, New York



Project: 112-023 Counted By: MDN Location: Ulster, NY Other:

 File Name
 : tm112023a2

 Site Code
 : 12-023-2

 Start Date
 : 9/28/2012

 Page No
 : 1

						Gro	oups F	Printeo	l- Pas	s Veh -	Heav	y Veh	- Sch	iool B	us						1.1
		E	Boices	Ln			E	Boices	Ln			Mo	orton E	Blvd			0	Drivew	ay		
<u>}</u>		E	astbo	und			W	estbo	und		1.1	No	orthbo	und			Sc	outhbo	und		
Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	RTOR	App Total	Left	Thru	Right	RTOR	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	RTOR	App. Tobal	Left	Thru	Right	RTOR	App. Total	Int. Total
Factor	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
07:15 AM	0	29	26	11	66	17	24	3	0	44	42	0	2	13	57	0	1	0	0	1	168
07:30 AM	0	32	44	9	85	17	43	1	2	63	62	5	4	6	77	1	0	0	0	1	226
07:45 AM	0	61	49	25	135	21	56	3	1	81	87	2	11	10	110	0	2	0	0	2	328
Total	0	122	119	45	286	55	123	7	3	188	191	7	17	29	244	1	З	0	0	4	722
08:00 AM	0	43	43	14	100	26	28	7	1	62	53	4	7	13	77	2	2	0	0	4	243
08:15 AM	0	44	35	14	93	35	31	2	2	70	55	1	12	9	77	1	1	0	1	3	243
08:30 AM	1	50	52	20	123	34	42	3	0	79	35	0	14	11	60	1	0	0	0	1	263
08:45 AM	0	60	42	25	127	33	43	2	0	78	53	4	10	17	84	0	1	0	0	1	290
Total	1	197	172	73	443	128	144	14	3	289	196	9	43	50	298	4	4	0	1	9	1039
Grand Total	1	319	291	118	729	183	267	21	6	477	387	16	60	79	542	5	7	0	1	13	1761
Apprch %	0.1	43.8	39.9	16.2		38.4	56	4.4	1.3		71.4	3	11.1	14.6		38.5	53.8	0	7.7		
Total %	0.1	18.1	16.5	6.7	41.4	10.4	15.2	1.2	0.3	27.1	22	0.9	3.4	4.5	30.8	0.3	0.4	0	0.1	0.7	
Pass Veh	1	313	278	115	707	181	261	21	5	468	368	15	58	78	519	5	7	0	0	12	1706
% Pass Veh	100	98.1	95.5	97.5	97	98.9	97.8	100	83.3	98.1	95.1	93.8	96.7	98.7	95.8	100	100	0	0	92.3	96.9
Heavy Veh	0	1	0	3	4	2	2	0	1	5	4	1	2	1	8	0	0	0	1	1	18
% Heavy Veh	0	0.3	0	2.5	0.5	1.1	0.7	0	16.7	1	1	6.2	3.3	1.3	1.5	0	0	0	100	7.7	1
School Bus	0	5	13	0	18	0	4	0	0	4	15	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	37
% School Bus	0	1.6	4.5	0	2.5	0	1.5	0	0	0.8	3.9	0	0	0	2.8	0	0	0	0	0	2.1



Project: 112-023 Counted By: MDN Location: Ulster, NY Other: File Name : tm112023a2 Site Code : 12-023-2 Start Date : 9/28/2012 Page No : 2

		Boices Ln Boices Ln Eastbound Westbound									М	orton I	Blvd			C	Drivew	ay			
		E	astbou	und			W	estbo	und			No	orthbo	und			Sc	outhbo	und		
Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	RTOR	App Total	Left	Thru	Right	RTOR	App Total	Left	Thru	Right	RTOR	App Total	Left	Thru	Right	RTOR	App. Tetal	Int. Total
Peak Hour /	Analys	is Fro	m 7:1	5:00 A	M to 8:4	45:00	AM - F	Peak 1	of 1												
Peak Hour f	or Ent	ire Int	ersect	ion Be	gins at	7:45:0	00 AM														
7:45:00 AM	0	61	49	25	135	21	56	3	1	81	87	2	11	10	110	0	2	0	0	2	328
8:00:00 AM	0	43	43	14	100	26	28	7	1	62	53	4	7	13	77	2	2	0	0	4	243
8:15:00 AM	0	44	35	14	93	35	31	2	2	70	55	1	12	9	77	1	1	0	1	3	243
8:30:00 AM	1	50	52	20	123	34	42	3	0	79	35	0	14	11	60	1	0	0	0	1	263
Total Volume	1	198	179	73	451	116	157	15	4	292	230	7	44	43	324	4	5	0	1	10	1077
% App. Total	0.2	43.9	39.7	16.2		39.7	53.8	5.1	1.4		71	2.2	13.6	13.3		40	50	0	10		-
PHF	.250	.811	.861	,730	.835	.829	.701	.536	.500	.901	.661	.438	.786	.827	.736	.500	.625	.000	.250	.625	.821
Pass Veh	1	195	170	70	436	114	152	15	3	284	222	6	44	42	314	4	5	0	0	9	1043
% Pass Veh	100	98.5	95.0	95.9	96.7	98.3	96.8	100	75.0	97.3	96.5	85_7	100	97.7	96.9	100	100	0	0	90.0	96.8
Heavy Veh	0	0	0	3	3	2	1	0	1	4	2	1	0	1	4	0	0	0	1	1	12
% Heavy Veh	0	0	0	4.1	0.7	1.7	0.6	0	25.0	1.4	0.9	14.3	0	2.3	1.2	0	0	0	100	10.0	1.1
School Bus	0	3	9	0	12	0	4	0	0	4	6	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	22
% School Bus	0	1.5	5.0	0	2.7	0	2.5	0	0	1.4	2.6	0	0	0	1.9	0	0	0	0	0	2.0





Project: 112-023 Counted By: DDD Location: Ulster, NY Other:

 File Name
 : tm112023a1

 Site Code
 : 12-023-1

 Start Date
 : 9/28/2012

 Page No
 : 1

						Gro	ups F	rinted	- Pas	s Veh -	Heav	y Veh	- Sch	ool Bi	JS		_				e.
	1	В	oices	Ln			В	oices	Ln			C	Drivew	ay			Joł	nn Cla	rk Dr		
		E	astbou	und			W	estbo	und			No	orthbo	und			Sc	outhbo	und		
Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	RTOR	Ann Total	Left	Thru	Right	RTOR	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	RTOR	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	RTOR	App. Total	Int. Total
07:15 AM	6	40	0	0	46	0	39	1	2	42	0	0	1	1	2	1	1	6	4	12	102
07:30 AM	6	41	Ō	0	47	0	49	1	1	51	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	9	8	19	118
07:45 AM	11	73	Õ	Ō	84	0	59	4	0	63	1	0	0	0	1	3	0	11	7	21	169
Total	23	154	0	0	177	0	147	6	3	156	2	0	1	1	4	6	1	26	19	52	389
100-000	6 - T										e										
08:00 AM	9	55	0	0	64	0	42	2	0	44	1	0	0	0	1	2	1	7	17	27	136
08:15 AM	8	54	Ō	0	62	0	43	2	0	45	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	11	17	31	138
08:30 AM	14	55	1	0	70	0	46	2	0	48	1	0	0	0	1	4	1	11	8	24	143
08:45 AM	19	75	0	0	94	2	52	4	0	58	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	17	15	34	187
Total	50	239	1	0	290	2	183	10	0	195	2	1	0	0	3	11	2	46	57	116	604
		10000								66223002.0	N 998										a 11
Grand Total	73	393	1	0	467	2	330	16	3	351	4	1	1	1	7	17	3	72	76	168	993
Apprch %	15.6	84.2	0.2	0		0.6	94	4.6	0.9		57.1	14.3	14.3	14.3		10.1	1.8	42.9	45.2		
Total %	7.4	39.6	0.1	0	47	0.2	33.2	1.6	0.3	35.3	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.7	1.7	0.3	7.3	7.7	16.9	
Pass Veh	71	373	1	0	445	2	316	13	3	334	4	1	1	0	6	11	3	70	73	157	942
% Pass Veh	97.3	94.9	100	0	95.3	100	95.8	81.2	100	95.2	100	100	100	0	85.7	64.7	100	97.2	96.1	93.5	94.9
Heavy Veh	2	15	0	0	17	0	11	3	0	14	0	0	0	1	1	6	0	1	3	10	42
% Heavy Veb	2.7	3.8	Ó	Ő	3.6	0	3.3	18.8	0	4	0	0	0	100	14.3	35.3	0	1.4	3.9	6	4.2
School Bus	0	5	0	0	5	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	9
% School Bus	0	1.3	0	0	1.1	0	0.9	0	0	0.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.4	0	0.6	0.9



Project: 112-023 Counted By: DDD Location: Ulster, NY Other: File Name : tm112023a1 Site Code : 12-023-1 Start Date : 9/28/2012 Page No : 2

		B	oices astbou	Ln Ind			B W	oices estbo	Ln und			E No	Drivew Drthbo	ay und			Joh Sc	in Cla uthbo	rk Dr und		
Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	RTOR	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	RTOR	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	RTOR	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	RTOR	App. Tobil	Int. Total
Peak Hour	Analys	is Fro	m 7:15	5:00 A	M to 8:4	45:00	AM - F	Peak 1	of 1												
Peak Hour f	or Ent	ire Int	ersecti	ion Be	gins at	8:00:0	00 AM			1.0	2.11										1
8:00:00 AM	9	55	0	0	64	0	42	2	0	44	1	0	0	0	1	2	1	7	17	27	136
8:15:00 AM	8	54	0	0	62	0	43	2	0	45	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	11	17	31	138
8:30:00 AM	14	55	1	0	70	0	46	2	0	48	1	0	0	0	1	4	1	11	8	24	143
8:45:00 AM	19	75	0	0	94	2	52	4	0	58	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	17	15	34	187
Total Volume	50	239	1	0	290	2	183	10	0	195	2	1	0	0	3	11	2	46	57	116	604
% App. Total	17.2	82.4	0.3	0		1	93.8	5.1	0	_	66.7	33.3	0	0	_	9.5	1.7	39.7	49.1	-	
PHF	.658	.797	.250	.000	.771	.250	.880	.625	.000	.841	.500	.250	.000	_000	.750	.688	.500	.676	.838	.853	.807
Pass Veh	48	223	1	0	272	2	174	9	0	185	2	1	0	0	3	7	2	44	55	108	568
% Pass Veh	96.0	93.3	100	0	93.8	100	95.1	90.0	0	94.9	100	100	0	0	100	63.6	100	95.7	96.5	93.1	94.0
Heavy Veh	2	13	0	0	15	0	7	1	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	2	7	30
% Heavy Veb	4.0	5.4	ō	Ō	5.2	Ó	3.8	10.0	0	4.1	0	0	0	0	0	36,4	0	2.2	3.5	6.0	5.0
School Bus	0	3	Ō	Ō	3	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	6
% School Bus	Ő	1.3	ŏ	Ő	1.0	Ō	1.1	0	0	1.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.2	0	0.9	1.0





Project: 09-024d Counted By: DAT Location: Ulster, NY Other:
 File Name
 : tm09024p4

 Site Code
 : 09-024-4

 Start Date
 : 4/28/2009

 Page No
 : 1

						Grou	Ips PI	rinted	- Pas	s Veh -	Heav	y Veł	ı - Scl	nool E	Bus						
		Bo Ea	ices L astbo	ane			Bo	ices L estbo	.ane und			Morto No	n Boi rthbo	ulevai und	rd	Т	ech (So	City D uthbo	rivew und	ay	
Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	RTOR	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	RTOR	App Total	Left	Thru	Right	RTOR	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	RTOR	App. Total	Int. Total
Factor	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
04:00 PM	0	75	49	21	145	50	77	0	0	127	70	2	30	13	115	0	0	0	0	0	387
04:15 PM	0	87	40	16	143	39	54	0	0	93	71	0	45	13	129	0	1	0	0	1	366
04:30 PM	0	125	77	26	228	43	74	0	0	117	77	1	38	6	122	5	0	0	0	5	472
04:45 PM	0	99	54	19	172	40	89	0	0	129	59	0	52	8	119	1	2	0	0	3	423
Total	0	386	220	82	688	172	294	0	0	466	277	3	165	40	485	6	3	0	0	9	1648
05:00 PM	0	103	57	22	182	44	77	0	0	121	87	1	44	7	139	0	1	0	0	1	443
05:15 PM	Ō	72	37	16	125	55	65	0	0	120	63	1	32	16	112	1	0	0	0	1	358
05:30 PM	ŏ	77	44	22	143	30	71	2	0	103	58	0	31	9	98	1	0	0	0	1	345
05:45 PM	1	77	76	4	158	39	78	0	0	117	47	0	43	3	93	0	0	0	0	0	368
Total	1	329	214	64	608	168	291	2	0	461	255	2	150	35	442	2	1	0	0	3	1514
Grand Total	1	715	434	146	1296	340	585	2	0	927	532	5	315	75	927	8	4	0	0	12	3162
Annrch %	01	55.2	33.5	11.3		36.7	63.1	0.2	0		57.4	0.5	34	8.1		66.7	33.3	0	0		
Total %	0	22.6	13.7	4.6	41	10.8	18.5	0.1	0	29.3	16.8	0.2	10	2.4	29.3	0.3	0.1	0	0	0.4	
Pass Veh	1	711	428	146	1286	340	584	2	0	926	529	5	315	74	923	7	4	0	0	11	3146
% Pass Veh	100	99.4	98.6	100	99.2	100	99.8	100	0	99.9	99.4	100	100	98.7	99.6	87.5	100	0	0	91.7	99.5
Heavy Veh	0	2	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	3	1	0	0	0	1	8
% Heavy Veh	0	0.3	0.5	0	0.3	0	0	0	0	0	0.4	0	0	1.3	0.3	12.5	0	0	0	8.3	0.3
School Bus	0	2	4	0	6	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	8
% School Bus	0	0.3	0.9	Õ	0.5	Ō	0.2	0	0	0.1	0.2	0	0	0	0.1	0	0	0	0	0	0.3



Project: 09-024d Counted By: DAT Location: Ulster, NY Other: File Name : tm09024p4 Site Code : 09-024-4 Start Date : 4/28/2009 Page No : 2

		Bo Ea	ices L Istbou	.ane und			Bo We	ices L estbo	.ane und			Morto No	n Boi rthbo	ulevar und	ď	Т	ech (So	City D uthbo	rivew und	ay	
Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	RTOR	App Total	Left	Thru	Right	RTOR	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	RTOR	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	RTOR	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour A	Analys	is Fro	m 4:00	0:00 P	M to 5:4	15:00	PM - F	Peak 1	of 1												
Peak Hour f	or Ent	ire Inte	ersecti	ion Be	gins at	4:15:0	0 PM														
4:15:00 PM	0	87	40	16	143	39	54	0	0	93	71	0	45	13	129	0	1	0	0	1	366
4:30:00 PM	0	125	77	26	228	43	74	0	0	117	77	1	38	6	122	5	0	0	0	5	472
4:45:00 PM	0	99	54	19	172	40	89	0	0	129	59	0	52	8	119	1	2	0	0	3	423
5:00:00 PM	0	103	57	22	182	44	77	0	0	121	87	1	44	7	139	0	1	0	0	1	443
Total Volume	0	414	228	83	725	166	294	0	0	460	294	2	179	34	509	6	4	0	0	10	1704
% App. Total	0	57.1	31.4	11.4		36.1	63.9	0	0		57.8	0.4	35.2	6.7		60	40	0	0		
PHF	.000	.828	.740	.798	.795	.943	.826	.000	.000	.891	.845	.500	.861	.654	.915	.300	.500	.000	.000	.500	.903
Pass Veh	0	412	227	83	722	166	293	0	0	459	292	2	179	33	506	5	4	0	0	9	1696
% Pass Veh	0	99.5	99.6	100	99.6	100	99.7	0	0	99.8	99.3	100	100	97.1	99.4	83.3	100	0	0	90.0	99.5
Heavy Veh	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	1	4
% Heavy Veh	Ó	0.2	0	0	0.1	0	0	0	0	0	0.3	0	0	2.9	0.4	16.7	0	0	0	10.0	0.2
School Bus	Ō	1	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	4
% School Bus	0	0.2	0.4	Ō	0.3	0	0,3	0	0	0.2	0.3	0	0	0	0.2	0	0	0	0	0	0.2





Project: 09-024d Counted By: DPR Location: Ulster, NY Other:

 File Name
 : tm09024p3

 Site Code
 : 09-024-3

 Start Date
 : 4/28/2009

 Page No
 : 1

						Grou	Ips Pr	inted	- Pass	s Veh -	Heav	y Veh	- Sci	100I E	3us				_		
		Bo	ices L	ane			Во	ices L	.ane			Reta	il Driv	/eway	,	J	ohn I	/ Clar	k Roa	ad	
	Ľ.,	Ea	istbo	und			We	stbo	und			No	rthbo	und			So	uthbo	und		
Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	RTOR	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	RTOR	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	RTOR	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	RTOR	App. Total	Int. Total
Factor	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
04:00 PM	19	97	8	0	124	4	76	5	0	85	4	1	1	1	7	7	4	25	28	64	280
04:15 PM	33	96	7	0	136	0	47	2	0	49	7	0	3	1	11	5	4	14	28	51	247
04:30 PM	37	127	4	0	168	0	71	4	0	75	4	2	2	0	8	11	1	13	21	46	297
04:45 PM	42	110	12	1	165	4	81	6	0	91	4	1	2	0	7	5	2	18	22	47	310
Total	131	430	31	1	593	8	275	17	0	300	19	4	8	2	33	28	11	70	99	208	1134
	5 T.P.																			3	
05:00 PM	31	94	5	4	134	3	66	0	1	70	7	1	0	1	9	3	0	10	33	46	259
05:15 PM	28	95	7	0	130	4	67	3	1	75	10	2	3	2	17	4	3	21	26	54	276
05:30 PM	28	87	5	1	121	2	58	6	0	66	4	2	2	2	10	4	0	13	26	43	240
05:45 PM	19	86	4	1	110	3	68	6	1	78	7	2	0	1	10	3	1	8	20	32	230
Total	106	362	21	6	495	12	259	15	3	289	28	7	5	6	46	14	4	52	105	175	1005
0.0507610	0.000					2242										-					
Grand Total	237	792	52	7	1088	20	534	32	3	589	47	11	13	8	79	42	15	122	204	383	2139
Apprch %	21.8	72.8	4.8	0.6		3.4	90.7	5.4	0.5		59.5	13.9	16.5	10.1		11	3.9	31.9	53.3		
Total %	11.1	37	2.4	0.3	50.9	0.9	25	1.5	0.1	27.5	2.2	0.5	0.6	0.4	3.7	2	0.7	5.7	9.5	17.9	
Pass Veh	237	785	51	7	1080	20	532	31	3	586	47	11	13	8	79	40	15	122	204	381	2126
% Pass Veh	100	99.1	98.1	100	99.3	100	99.6	96.9	100	99.5	100	100	100	100	100	95.2	100	100	100	99.5	99.4
Heavy Veh	0	6	1	0	7	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	11
% Heavy Veh	Ō	0.8	1.9	0	0.6	0	0.2	3.1	0	0.3	0	0	0	0	0	4.8	0	0	0	0.5	0.5
School Bus	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
% School Bus	Ō	0.1	0	0	0.1	0	0.2	0	0	0.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1



Project: 09-024d Counted By: DPR Location: Ulster, NY Other: File Name : tm09024p3 Site Code : 09-024-3 Start Date : 4/28/2009 Page No : 2

		Bo Ea	ices L astbo	_ane und			Bo W	ices L estbo	.ane und			Reta No	il Driv orthbo	veway und		J	ohn l So	M Clai uthbo	rk Roa ound	ad	
Start Time	Left	Thru	Right	RTOR	App Total	Left	Thru	Right	RTOR	App. Total	Left	Thru	Right	RTOR	App. Fotal	Left	Thru	Right	RTOR	App. Total	Int Total
Peak Hour	Analys	is Fro	m 4:00	0:00 P	M to 5:	45:00	PM - F	Peak 1	of 1												
Peak Hour f	for Ent	ire Int	ersect	ion Be	gins at	4:30:0	0 PM														
4:30:00 PM	37	127	4	0	168	0	71	4	0	75	4	2	2	0	8	11	1	13	21	46	297
4:45:00 PM	42	110	12	1	165	4	81	6	0	91	4	1	2	0	7	5	2	18	22	47	310
5:00:00 PM	31	94	5	4	134	3	66	0	1	70	7	1	0	1	9	3	0	10	33	46	259
5:15:00 PM	28	95	7	0	130	4	67	3	1	75	10	2	3	2	17	4	3	21	26	54	276
Total Volume	138	426	28	5	597	11	285	13	2	311	25	6	7	3	41	23	6	62	102	193	1142
% App. Total	23.1	71.4	4.7	0.8		3.5	91.6	4.2	0.6		61	14.6	17.1	7.3		11.9	3.1	32.1	52.8		
PHF	.821	.839	.583	.313	.888.	.688	.880	.542	.500	.854	.625	.750	.583	.375	.603	.523	.500	.738	.773	.894	.921
Pass Veh	138	425	27	5	595	11	284	13	2	310	25	6	7	3	41	22	6	62	102	192	1138
% Pass Veh	100	99.8	96.4	100	99.7	100	99.6	100	100	99.7	100	100	100	100	100	95.7	100	100	100	99.5	99.6
Heavy Veh	0	1	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	4
% Heavy Veh	0	0.2	3.6	0	0.3	0	0.4	0	0	0.3	0	0	0	0	0	4.3	0	0	0	0.5	0.4
School Bus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
% School Bus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0



Appendix C

Level of Service Analyses and Timing Reports

Railroad Crossing Study Boices Lane Town of Ulster, Ulster County, New York

LOS Definitions

The following is an excerpt from the 2000 Highway Capacity Manual (HCM).

Level of Service for Signalized Intersections

Level of service for a signalized intersection is defined in terms of control delay, which is a measure of driver discomfort, frustration, fuel consumption, and increased travel time. The delay experienced by a motorist is made up of a number of factors that relate to control, geometrics, traffic, and incidents. Total delay is the difference between the travel time actually experienced and the reference travel time that would result during base conditions: in the absence of traffic control, geometric delay, any incidents, and any other vehicles. Specifically, LOS criteria for traffic signals are stated in terms of the average control delay per vehicle, typically for a 15-minute analysis period. Delay is a complex measure and depends on a number of variables, including the quality of progression, the cycle length, the green ratio, and the v/c ratio for the lane group. Levels of service are defined to represent reasonable ranges in control delay.

LOS A describes operations with low control delay, up to 10 s/veh. This LOS occurs when progression is extremely favorable and most vehicles arrive during the green phase. Many vehicles do not stop at all. Short cycle lengths may tend to contribute to low delay.

LOS B describes operations with control delay greater than 10 and up to 20 s/veh. This level generally occurs with good progression, short cycle lengths, or both. More vehicles stop than with LOS A, causing higher levels of delay.

LOS C describes operations with control delay greater than 20 and up to 35 s/veh. These higher delays may result from only fair progression, longer cycle lengths, or both. Individual cycle failures may begin to appear at this level. Cycle failure occurs when a given green phase does not serve queued vehicles, and overflows occur. The number of vehicles stopping is significant at this level, though many still pass through the intersection without stopping.

LOS D describes operations with control delay greater than 35 and up to 55 s/veh. At LOS D, the influence of congestion becomes more noticeable. Longer delays may result from some combination of unfavorable progression, long cycle lengths, and high v/c ratios. Many vehicles stop, and the proportion of vehicles not stopping declines. Individual cycle failures are noticeable.

LOS E describes operations with control delay greater than 55 and up to 80 s/veh. These high delay values generally indicate poor progression, long cycle lengths, and high v/c ratios. Individual cycle failures are frequent.

LOS F describes operations with control delay in excess of 80 s/veh. This level, considered unacceptable to most drivers, often occurs with oversaturation, that is, when arrival flow rates exceed the capacity of lane groups. It may also occur at high v/c ratios with many individual cycle failures. Poor progression and long cycle lengths may also be contribute significantly to high delay levels.

Average control delay and queue length at roundabout controlled intersections are calculated using SIDRA Intersection. The physical geometry such as entry lane width and approach flare, and traffic volume at the roundabout are factors that influence the intersection's performance. The average delay reported using SIRA Intersection is based on the HCM Method of Delay for Level-of-Service.

Level of Service Criteria for Unsignalized Intersections

Four measures are used to describe the performance of two-way stop controlled intersections: control delay, delay to major street through vehicles, queue length, and v/c ratio. The primary measure that is used to provide an estimate of LOS is control delay. This measure can be estimated for any movement on the minor (i.e., stop-controlled) street. By summing delay estimates for individual movements, a delay estimate for each minor street movement and minor street approach can be achieved. The level of service criteria is given in Exhibit 17-2/22.

For all-way stop controlled (AWSC) intersections, the average control delay (in seconds per vehicle) is used as the primary measure of performance. Control delay is the increased time of travel for a vehicle approaching and passing through an AWSC intersection, compared with a free-flow vehicle if it were not required to slow or stop at the intersection.

Level of Service	Control Delay (sec/veh)
А	<u><</u> 10.0
В	>10.0 and <u><</u> 15.0
С	>15.0 and <u><</u> 25.0
D	>25.0 and <u><</u> 35.0
Е	>35.0 and <u><</u> 50.0
F	>50.0

Exhibit 17-2/22: Level-of-Service Criteria for Stop Controlled Intersections

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis Existing 2012 - PM Peak Hour

	۶	->	Y	*	+		1	Ť	1	1	Ļ	1
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	٦	1	7	ሻ	1	7		र्स	7	ሻ	Þ	
Volume (vph)	0	402	311	169	298	0	294	2	207	6	4	0
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	11	11	9	11	11	11	10	10	11	11	11	11
Total Lost time (s)		4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0			4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
Lane Util. Factor		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes		1.00	0.99	1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Frt		1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00			1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	
Flt Protected		1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00			0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	
Satd, Flow (prot)		1818	1423	1744	1818			1673	1561	1491	1837	
Flt Permitted		1.00	1.00	0.19	1.00			0.73	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Satd, Flow (perm)		1818	1423	341	1818			1274	1561	1570	1837	
Peak-hour factor PHE	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Adi Flow (vph)	0.00	447	346	188	331	0	327	2	230	7	4	0
BTOB Beduction (vph)	Ő	0	59	0	0	0	0	0	87	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	ñ	447	287	188	331	Ő	0	329	143	7	4	0
Confl Peds (#/hr)	5	111	207	4	001	5	Ŭ	020				
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	17%	0%	0%
	Porm	170	pm+ov	pm⊥nt	170	Perm	om+nt		nm+ov	Perm		
Protected Phases	1 GIIII	2	2 pini+0v	1	6	i çim	2 2	74	1	1 onn	3	
Permitted Phases	2	2	2	6	Ŭ	6	74		74	3	Ť	
Actuated Green G (s)	2	22.2	44 7	36.2	36.2		• •	29.0	38.0	1.5	1.5	
Effective Green, g (s)		23.2	46.7	37.2	37.2			30.0	40.0	2.5	2.5	
Actuated a/C Batio		0.31	0.62	0/10	0 49			0 40	0.53	0.03	0.03	
Clearance Time (s)		5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0			0.10	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0				2.0	2.0	2.0	
Long Crp Cop (uph)	_	561	001	255	800			633	013	52	61	
Lane Grp Cap (vpn)		0.05	004	0.07	033			c0 16	0.02	52	0.00	
V/S Hallo Plot		00.20	0.10	0.10	0.10			0.10	0.02	0.00	0.00	
V/s Ratio Perm		0.00	0.10	0.19	0.97			0.04	0.07	0.00	0.07	
V/C Hallo		0.00	0.32	10.00	11 7			171	0.10	35.3	35.2	
Uniform Delay, di		23.8	0.0	13.2	1 00			1/.1	1.00	1 00	1.00	
Progression Factor		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00	0.4	0.0	
Incremental Delay, d2		1.2	0.1	10.7	11.0			17.4	0.0	25.7	25.4	
Delay (S)		31.1	0.0	13.9	11.0			17.4 D	9.0 A	- 30.7 D	55. 4 D	
Level of Service			А	В	10.0			14.0	A	D	25.6	
Approach Delay (s)		20.5			12.0			14.0			33.0 D	
Approach LOS		C			В			D			D	
Intersection Summary							_					
HCM Average Control Delay			16.5	Н	CM Leve	l of Servic	е		В			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio			0.62	-								
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			75.2	S	um of los	t time (s)			12.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	1		63.6%	IC	CU Level	of Service			В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
Existing 2012 - PM Peak Hour

	۶	-	\mathbf{r}	1	-	*	1	1	1	1	Ļ	1
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		€î î•			ę	7		با	r.		र्भ	r.
Volume (vph)	142	439	34	13	281	13	25	6	10	23	6	161
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	12	12	12	10	10	11	11	11	11	12	12	16
Total Lost time (s)		3.0			3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0
Lane Util. Factor		0.95			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Frpb, ped/bikes		1.00			1.00	0.98		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Flpb, ped/bikes		1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Frt		0.99			1.00	0.85		1.00	0.85		1.00	0.85
Flt Protected		0.99			1.00	1.00		0.96	1.00		0.96	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)		3502			1753	1527		1767	1561		1773	1830
Flt Permitted		0.80			0.97	1.00		0.84	1.00		0.84	1.00
Satd, Flow (perm)		2826			1704	1527		1535	1561		1548	1830
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adi, Flow (vph)	154	477	37	14	305	14	27	7	11	25	7	175
BTOB Beduction (vph)	0	7	0	0	0	5	0	0	8	0	0	125
Lane Group Flow (vph)	Ő	661	0	0	319	9	0	34	3	0	32	50
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	2	•••	-	•		2			-			
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)	-		1			1						
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	1%	4%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%
Turn Type	Perm			Perm		Perm	Perm		Perm	Perm		Perm
Protected Phases		5			1			3			3	
Permitted Phases	5			1		1	3		3	3		3
Actuated Green, G (s)		35.0			35.0	35.0		15.0	15.0		15.0	15.0
Effective Green, g (s)		37.0			37.0	37.0		17.0	17.0		17.0	17.0
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.62			0.62	0.62		0.28	0.28		0.28	0.28
Clearance Time (s)		5.0			5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		1743			1051	942		435	442		439	519
v/s Ratio Perm		c0 23			0.19	0.01		0.02	0.00		0.02	c0 03
v/c Batio		0.38			0.30	0.01		0.08	0.01		0.07	0.10
Uniform Delay, d1		5.8			54	44		15.8	15.4		15.7	15.8
Progression Factor		1 00			1 00	1.00		1 00	1 00		1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2		1.00			0.7	0.0		0.4	0.0		0.3	0.4
Delay (s)		6.4			6.2	4.5		16.1	15.5		16.1	16.2
Level of Service		Δ			Δ	Δ		B	B		В	B
Approach Delay (s)		64			61			16.0	5		16.2	-
Approach LOS		Δ			Δ			B			B	
Internetion Common		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~			~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~			D			5	
HCM Average Control Delay	-		0.0	11	CMLove	of Convice			٨			
HCIM Average Control Delay			0.3	п	CIVI Leve	I OF Service			A			
num volume to Capacity ratio			0.29	0	um of los	time (a)			60			
Actuated Cycle Length (S)			0U.U	5		t unie (S) of Convioc			0.U A			
Analysis Period (min)			51.2% 15	iC	U Level (DI Service			А			

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis Existing 2012 - PM Peak Hour

1: Boices Lane & Driveway Existing 2012 - Optimized_PM Peak

	≯	-	\rightarrow	1	-▶	•	1	1	1	· •	+	1
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	٦	1	ľ	٦	1	7		ę	7	٦	4Î	
Volume (vph)	0	402	311	169	298	0	294	2	207	6	4	0
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	11	11	9	11	11	11	10	10	11	11	11	11
Total Lost time (s)		4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0			4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
Lane Util. Factor		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes		1.00	0.99	1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Frt		1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00			1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	
Flt Protected		1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00			0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		1818	1420	1744	1818			1673	1561	1491	1837	
Flt Permitted		1.00	1.00	0.25	1.00			0.73	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		1818	1420	454	1818			1274	1561	1570	1837	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Adi, Flow (vph)	0	447	346	188	331	0	327	2	230	7	4	0
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	126	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	447	220	188	331	0	0	329	230	7	4	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	5		4	4		5						
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	17%	0%	0%
Turn Type	Perm		pm+ov	pm+pt		Perm	pm+pt		pm+ov	Perm		
Protected Phases		2	. 4	1	6		4	74	1		3	
Permitted Phases	2		2	6		6	74		74	3		
Actuated Green, G (s)		24.1	42.5	35.6	35.6			24.4	30.9	1.0	1.0	
Effective Green, g (s)		25.1	44.5	36.6	36.6			25.4	32.9	2.0	2.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.36	0.64	0.52	0.52			0.36	0.47	0.03	0.03	
Clearance Time (s)		5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0				5.0	5.0	5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0				2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lane Gro Cap (vph)		652	903	376	951			573	823	45	52	
v/s Ratio Prot		c0.25	0.07	c0.05	0.18			c0.16	0.03		0.00	
v/s Batio Perm			0.09	0.21				c0.05	0.12	0.00		
v/c Batio		0.69	0.24	0.50	0.35			0.57	0.28	0.16	0.08	
Uniform Delay, d1		19.1	5.5	10.9	9.7			17.9	11.3	33.2	33.1	
Progression Factor		1.00	1.00	0.76	0.52			1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		5.8	0.1	0.4	1.0			0.9	0.1	0.6	0.2	
Delay (s)		24.9	5.5	8.7	6.1			18.8	11.4	33.8	33.3	
Level of Service		С	А	А	А			В	В	С	С	
Approach Delay (s)		16.4			7.0			15.8			33.6	
Approach LOS		В			А			В			С	
Intersection Cummeru												
Intersection Summary			10.7			Lof Sonvio	0	_	B			
HOM Volume to Consolity ratio			13.7	п			0		U			
Actuated Quale Longth (a)			70.0	0	um of loo	t time (e)			12.0			
Actuated Cycle Length (S)			10.0	о 10		of Spruipp			R			
Analysis Period (min)	I		03.0% 15	IC.					U			

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis Existing 2012 - PM Peak Hour

	۶	-	\mathbf{r}	1	-		1	1	1	1	Ļ	1
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL.	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4î»			र्स	۲		ŧ	۴		ŧ	1
Volume (vph)	142	439	34	13	281	13	25	6	10	23	6	161
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	12	12	12	10	10	11	11	11	11	12	12	16
Total Lost time (s)		3.0			3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0
Lane Util. Factor		0.95			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Frpb, ped/bikes		1.00			1.00	0.98		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Flpb, ped/bikes		1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Frt		0.99			1.00	0.85		1.00	0.85		1.00	0.85
Flt Protected		0.99			1.00	1.00		0.96	1.00		0.96	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)		3502			1753	1526		1767	1561		1773	1830
Flt Permitted		0.80			0.97	1.00		0.81	1.00		0.82	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)		2824			1702	1526		1491	1561		1504	1830
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	154	477	37	14	305	14	27	7	11	25	7	175
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	4	0	0	0	6	0	0	9	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	664	0	0	319	8	0	34	2	0	32	175
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	2					2						
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			1			1						
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	1%	4%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%
Turn Type	pm+pt			Perm		Perm	Perm		Perm	Perm		Perm
Protected Phases	2	5			1			3			3	
Permitted Phases	5			1		1	3		3	3		3
Actuated Green, G (s)		48.8			39.3	39.3		11.2	11.2		11.2	11.2
Effective Green, g (s)		50.8			41.3	41.3		13.2	13.2		13.2	13.2
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.73			0.59	0.59		0.19	0.19		0.19	0.19
Clearance Time (s)		5.0			5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0
Vehicle Extension (s)		2.0			2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		2112			1004	900		281	294		284	345
v/s Ratio Prot		c0.03										
v/s Ratio Perm		c0.20			0.19	0.01		0.02	0.00		0.02	c0.10
v/c Ratio		0.31			0.32	0.01		0.12	0.01		0.11	0.51
Uniform Delay, d1		3.4			7.2	5.9		23.6	23.1		23.5	25.5
Progression Factor		0.33			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2		0.0			0.8	0.0		0.1	0.0		0.1	0.4
Delay (s)		1.1			8.1	5.9		23.7	23.1		23.6	25.9
Level of Service		А			А	А		С	С		С	С
Approach Delay (s)		1.1			8.0			23.5			25.6	
Approach LOS		А			А			С			С	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Delay			7.8	Н	CM Leve	of Service)		Α			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio)		0.35									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			70.0	S	um of lost	t time (s)			6.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilizatio	n		51.2%	IC	CU Level	of Service			А			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

1: Boices Lane & Driveway Existing 2012 - Capacity_PM Peak

	٦	->	\mathbf{r}	1	+	•	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	1
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		ተኩ	Ĩ.	٦	eî			र्स	r.	٦	4	
Volume (vph)	0	402	311	169	298	0	294	2	207	6	4	0
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	11	11	9	11	11	11	10	10	11	11	11	11
Total Lost time (s)		4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0			4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
Lane Util. Factor		0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes		1.00	0.99	1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Frt		1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00			1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	
Flt Protected		1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00			0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	
Satd, Flow (prot)		3455	1422	1744	1818			1673	156 1	1491	1837	
Fit Permitted		1.00	1.00	0.36	1.00			0.73	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		3455	1422	657	1818			1274	1561	1570	1837	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0,90
Adi, Flow (vph)	0	447	346	188	331	0	327	2	230	7	4	0
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	134	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	447	212	188	331	0	0	329	230	7	4	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	5		4	4		5						
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	17%	0%	0%
Turn Type	Perm		pm+ov	pm+pt			pm+pt		pm+ov	Perm		
Protected Phases		2	. 4	1	6		4	74	1		3	
Permitted Phases	2		2	6			74		74	3		
Actuated Green, G (s)		21.2	40.9	34.1	34.1			25.9	33.8	1.2	1.2	
Effective Green, g (s)		22.2	42.9	35.1	35.1			26.9	35.8	2.2	2.2	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.32	0.61	0.50	0.50			0.38	0.51	0.03	0.03	
Clearance Time (s)		5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0				5.0	5.0	5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0				2.0	2.0	2.0	-
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		1096	871	468	912			608	888	49	58	
v/s Ratio Prot		0.13	0.07	c0.05	0.18			c0.16	0.03		0.00	
v/s Ratio Perm			0.08	c0.15				c0.05	0.11	0.00		
v/c Batio		0.41	0.24	0.40	0.36			0.54	0.26	0.14	0.07	
Uniform Delay, d1		18.7	6.2	10.2	10.6			16.8	9.6	33.0	32.9	
Progression Factor		1.00	1.00	0.60	0.54			1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		1.1	0.1	0.2	1.1			0.5	0.1	0.5	0.2	
Delay (s)		19.9	6.2	6.3	6.9			17.3	9.7	33.5	33.1	
Level of Service		В	А	А	Α			В	А	С	С	
Approach Delay (s)		13.9			6.7			14.2			33.3	
Approach LOS		В			А			В			С	
Intersection Summary	_		40.4		CMLaura		-		D		_	
HCM Average Control Delay			12.1	п		I OF Service	e		D			
Actuated Quele Leasth (a)			0.40	0	um of los	t time (a)			9.0			
Actuated Cycle Length (S)			70.0	5		t unie (S) of Soruico			0.0			
Analysis Period (min)	I		62.1% 15	IC.	-O Level	UI SELVICE			D			

	۶	-	\mathbf{r}	1	-	•	-	1	1	1		1
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4 þ			ፋጉ			र्भ	۴		ধ	7
Volume (vph)	142	439	34	13	281	13	25	6	10	23	6	161
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	12	12	12	10	10	11	11	11	11	12	12	16
Total Lost time (s)		3.0			3.0			3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0
Lane Util. Factor		0.95			0.95			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Frpb, ped/bikes		1.00			1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Flpb, ped/bikes		1.00			1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Frt		0.99			0.99			1.00	0.85		1.00	0.85
Flt Protected		0.99			1.00			0.96	1.00		0.96	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)		3502			3308			1767	1561		1773	1830
Flt Permitted		0.79			0.93			0.81	1.00		0.82	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)		2795			3071		-	1491	1561		1504	1830
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0,92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	154	477	37	14	305	14	27	7	11	25	7	175
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	4	0	0	3	0	0	0	9	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	664	0	0	330	0	0	34	2	0	32	175
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	2					2						
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			1			1						
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	1%	4%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%
Turn Type	pm+pt			Perm			Perm		Perm	Perm		Perm
Protected Phases	2	5			1			3			3	
Permitted Phases	5			1			3		3	3		3
Actuated Green, G (s)		48.8			39.3			11.2	11.2		11.2	11.2
Effective Green, g (s)		50.8			41.3			13.2	13.2		13.2	13.2
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.73			0.59			0.19	0.19		0.19	0.19
Clearance Time (s)		5.0			5.0			5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0
Vehicle Extension (s)		2.0			2.0			2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		2094			1812			281	294		284	345
v/s Ratio Prot		c0.03										
v/s Ratio Perm		c0.20			0.11			0.02	0.00		0.02	c0.10
v/c Ratio		0.32			0.18			0.12	0.01		0.11	0.51
Uniform Delay, d1		3.4			6.6			23.6	23.1		23.5	25.5
Progression Factor		0.49			1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2		0.0			0.2			0.1	0.0		0.1	0.4
Delay (s)		1.7			6.8			23.7	23.1		23.6	25.9
Level of Service		А			А			С	С		С	С
Approach Delay (s)		1.7			6.8			23.5			25.6	
Approach LOS		А			А			С			С	
Intersection Summary		-	-									
HCM Average Control Delay			7.8	H	CM Level	of Service	9		А			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio	i		0.35									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			70.0	Si	um of lost	t time (s)			6.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilizatio	n		49.0%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			А			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis Existing 2012 - PM Peak Hour

1: Boices Lane & Driveway Existing 2012 - Split Phased_PM Peak

	≯	-	\rightarrow	-	-	×.	1	1	1	1	Ļ	1
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	٦	1	1	٦	1	۲		ų	1	٦	4	
Volume (vph)	0	402	311	169	298	0	294	2	207	6	4	0
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	11	11	9	11	11	11	10	10	11	11	11	11
Total Lost time (s)		4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0			4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
Lane Util. Factor		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes		1.00	0.97	1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Frt		1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00			1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	
Fit Protected		1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00			0.95	1.00	0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		1818	1399	1745	1818			1673	1561	14 9 1	1837	
Flt Permitted		1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00			0.73	1.00	0.33	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		1818	1399	1745	1818			1274	1561	515	1837	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Adj. Flow (vph)	0	447	346	188	331	0	327	2	230	7	4	0
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	217	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	447	129	188	331	0	0	329	230	7	4	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	5		4	4		5						
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	17%	0%	0%
Turn Type	Split		Perm	Split		Perm	Perm		Perm	Perm		
Protected Phases	1	1		24	24			3			3	
Permitted Phases			1			24	3		3	3		
Actuated Green, G (s)		22.0	22.0	28.8	28.8			24.2	24.2	24.2	24.2	
Effective Green, g (s)		23.0	23.0	29.8	29.8			25.2	25.2	25.2	25.2	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.26	0.26	0.33	0.33			0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	
Clearance Time (s)		5.0	5.0					5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)		2.0	2.0					2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		465	358	578	602			357	437	144	514	
v/s Ratio Prot		c0.25		0.11	c0.18						0.00	
v/s Ratio Perm			0.09					c0.26	0.15	0.01		
v/c Ratio		0.96	0.36	0.33	0.55			0.92	0.53	0.05	0.01	
Uniform Delay, d1		33.1	27.5	22.6	24.6			31.4	27.4	23.6	23.4	
Progression Factor		1.00	1.00	0.52	0.47			1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		33.1	2.8	0.1	0.3			28.2	0.5	0.1	0.0	
Delay (s)		66.2	30.3	11.9	11.9			59.6	27.9	23.7	23.4	
Level of Service		E	С	В	В			E	С	С	С	
Approach Delay (s)		50.5			11.9			46.6			23.6	
Approach LOS		D			В			D			С	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Delay			38.5	Н	CM Level	of Service			D			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio			0.79									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			90.0	S	um of lost	time (s)			12.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization Analysis Period (min)			63.6% 15	IC	CU Level o	of Service			В			

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis Existing 2012 - PM Peak Hour

	≯	-+		4	-	•	1	1	1	1	↓	1
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4th			۰	1 ⁴		4	۲		र्स	7
Volume (vph)	142	439	34	13	281	13	25	6	10	23	6	161
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	12	12	12	10	10	11	11	11	11	12	12	16
Total Lost time (s)		3.0			3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0
Lane Util. Factor		0.95			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Frpb, ped/bikes		1.00			1.00	0.97		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Flpb, ped/bikes		1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Frt		0.99			1.00	0.85		1.00	0.85		1.00	0.85
Flt Protected		0.99			1.00	1.00		0.96	1.00		0.96	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)		3502			1753	1519		1767	1561		1773	1830
Flt Permitted		0.99			1.00	1.00		0.78	1.00		0.79	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)		3502			1753	1519		1435	1561		1449	1830
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adi Flow (voh)	154	477	37	14	305	14	27	7	11	25	7	175
BTOB Reduction (voh)	0	5	0	0	0	11	0	0	10	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	663	Ő	0	319	3	0	34	1	0	32	175
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	2		-	-		2						
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)	-		1			1						
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	1%	4%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%
	Split			Split		Perm	Perm		Perm	Perm		Perm
Protected Phases	1.3	13		2	2	i onn	1 0111	4			4	
Permitted Phases				-	_	2	4		4	4	-	4
Actuated Green G (s)		51.2			15.2	15.2		8.6	8.6		8.6	8.6
Effective Green g (s)		53.2			17.2	17.2		10.6	10.6		10.6	10.6
Actuated g/C Batio		0.59			0.19	0.19		0.12	0.12		0.12	0.12
Clearance Time (s)		0.00			5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0
Vehicle Extension (s)					2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0
Lane Gro Cap (vpb)		2070			335	290		169	184		171	216
v/s Batio Prot		c0 19			c0 18	200		100	101			210
v/s Ratio Perm		00.10			00.10	0.00		0.02	0.00		0.02	c0.10
v/c Batio		0.32			0.95	0.00		0.02	0.01		0.19	0.81
Uniform Delay, d1		9.3			36.0	29.5		35.9	35.1		35.8	38.7
Progression Eactor		0.0			1 00	1 00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2		0.00			36.3	0.0		0.2	0.0		0.2	19.1
Delay (s)		0.5			72.3	29.5		36.1	35.1		36.0	57.8
Level of Service		Δ			72.0 F	C		D	D		D	E
Approach Delay (s)		0.5			70 5	Ū		35.8	2		54.5	_
Approach LOS		A			E			D			D	
Intersection Summary								_			_	
HCM Average Control Delay			29.3	Н	CM Level	of Service)		С			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio			0.52									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			90.0	S	um of lost	t time (s)			9.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization			51.2%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			А			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

	۶	-	\rightarrow	1	+		1	†	1	1	÷.	1
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		1	1	٢	1	1		र्भ	1	ሻ	12	
Volume (vph)	0	646	410	206	431	72	366	34	254	199	92	26
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	11	11	9	11	11	11	10	10	11	11	11	11
Total Lost time (s)		4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
Lane Util. Factor		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes		1.00	0.98	1.00	1.00	0.97		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Frt		1.00	0.85	1.00	1.00	0.85		1.00	0.85	1.00	0.97	
Flt Protected		1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00		0.96	1.00	0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		1818	1407	1745	1818	1522		1680	1561	1711	1776	
Flt Permitted		1.00	1.00	0.10	1.00	1.00		0.64	1.00	0.30	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		1818	1407	179	1818	1522		1118	1561	546	1776	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Adj. Flow (vph)	0	718	456	229	479	80	407	38	282	221	102	29
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	160	0	0	42	0	0	0	0	10	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	718	296	229	479	38	0	445	282	221	121	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	5		4	4		5						
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%
Turn Type			pm+ov	pm+pt		Perm	pm+pt		pm+ov	Perm		
Protected Phases		2	. 4	1	6		4	74	. 1		3	
Permitted Phases			2	6		6	74		74	3		
Actuated Green, G (s)		36.0	39.0	47.0	47.0	47.0		43,0	49.0	35.0	35.0	
Effective Green, g (s)		37.0	41.0	48.0	48.0	48.0		44.0	51.0	36.0	36.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.37	0.41	0.48	0.48	0.48		0.44	0.51	0.36	0.36	
Clearance Time (s)		5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0			5.0	5.0	5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0			2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		673	577	196	873	731		514	859	197	639	
v/s Ratio Prot		0.39	0.02	c0.08	0.26			c0.03	0.02		0.07	
v/s Ratio Perm			0.19	c0.48		0.03		0.35	0.16	c0.40		
v/c Ratio		1.07	0.51	1.17	0.55	0.05		0.87	0.33	1.12	0.19	
Uniform Delay, d1		31.5	22.0	25.0	18.4	13.9		25.3	14.4	32.0	22.0	
Progression Factor		1.00	1.00	1.65	0.96	1.67		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		53.9	0.3	114.4	2.3	0.1		13.8	0.1	100.7	0.1	
Delay (s)		85.4	22.4	155.6	19.8	23.3		39.1	14.5	132.7	22.0	
Level of Service		F	С	F	В	С		D	В	F	С	
Approach Delay (s)		60. 9			59.6			29.6			91.5	
Approach LOS		Е			Е			С			F	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Delay			56.6	H	CM Level	of Service	Э		E			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio			1.09									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			100.0	Si	um of lost	time (s)			8.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization			84.1%	IC	U Level o	of Service			Е			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
No-Build - PM Peak Hour

	≯	-	¥	4	-	*	1	1	1	1	Į.	1
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4 î b			د ا	1		र्स	۴		با	T.
Volume (vph)	202	855	42	13	469	18	29	7	12	28	7	211
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	12	12	12	10	10	11	11	11	11	12	12	16
Total Lost time (s)		3.0			3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0
Lane Util. Factor		0.95			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Frpb, ped/bikes		1.00			1.00	0.98		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Flpb, ped/bikes		1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Frt		0.99			1.00	0.85		1.00	0.85		1.00	0.85
Flt Protected		0.99			1.00	1.00		0.96	1.00		0.96	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)		3521			1754	1527		1766	1561		1772	1830
Flt Permitted		0.68			0.96	1.00		0.81	1.00		0.81	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)		2401			1685	1527		1481	1561		1493	1830
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adi, Flow (vph)	220	929	46	14	510	20	32	8	13	30	8	229
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	2	0	0	0	7	0	0	11	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	1193	0	0	524	13	0	40	2	0	38	229
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	2					2						
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			1			1						
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	1%	4%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%
	pm+pt			Perm		Perm	Perm		Perm	Perm		Perm
Protected Phases	2	5			1			3			3	
Permitted Phases	5			1		1	3		3	3		3
Actuated Green, G (s)		72.9			61.4	61.4		17.1	17.1		17.1	17.1
Effective Green, g (s)		74.9			63.4	63.4		19.1	19.1		19.1	19.1
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.75			0.63	0.63		0.19	0.19		0.19	0.19
Clearance Time (s)		5.0			5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0
Vehicle Extension (s)		2.0			2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	-	2.0	2.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		1894			1068	968		283	298		285	350
v/s Ratio Prot		c0.05										
v/s Ratio Perm		c0.42			0.31	0.01		0.03	0.00		0.03	c0.13
v/c Ratio		0.63			0.49	0.01		0.14	0.01		0.13	0.65
Uniform Delay, d1		6.0			9.7	6.8		33.6	32.8		33.6	37.4
Progression Factor		1.32			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2		0.2			1.6	0.0		0.1	0.0		0.1	3.3
Delay (s)		8.1			11.3	6.8		33.7	32.8		33.7	40.7
Level of Service		А			В	А		С	С		С	D
Approach Delay (s)		8.1			11.2			33.5			39.7	
Approach LOS		Α			В			С			D	
Intersection Summary										_		_
HCM Average Control Delay			13.6	Н	ICM Leve	l of Service)		В			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio)		0.63									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			100.0	S	um of los	t time (s)			6.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilizatio	n		74.9%	IC	CU Level	of Service			D			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

	≯	-	7	4	-	A.	1	Ť	1	1	Ļ	1
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		††	7	٦	†	۴	٦	Î ≁		٦	4Î	
Volume (vph)	0	646	410	206	431	72	366	34	254	199	92	26
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	11	11	9	11	11	11	10	10	11	11	11	11
Total Lost time (s)		4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	
Lane Util. Factor		0.95	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Erph ped/bikes		1.00	0.99	1.00	1.00	0.97	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Find ned/bikes		1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt		1.00	0.85	1 00	1 00	0.85	1.00	0.87		1.00	0.97	
Flt Protected		1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00	1.00	0.95	1 00		0.95	1.00	
Satd Flow (prot)		3/55	1422	1745	1818	1521	1668	1539		1711	1776	
Elt Pormitted		1 00	1 00	0.17	1 00	1 00	0.42	1 00		0.57	1 00	
Sate Flow (parm)		3455	1/00	313	1818	1521	730	1539		1020	1776	
Back hour factor DUE	0.00	0400	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Peak-nour factor, PHF	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	102	0.90
Adj. Flow (vpn)	0	/18	450	229	479	00	407	30	202	221	102	29
RIOR Reduction (vpn)	0	0	152	0	0	44	107	000	0	001	110	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	/18	304	229	479	36	407	320	0	221	011	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	5		4	4		5	4.04	00/	00/	00/	00/	00/
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%
Turn Type			pm+ov	pm+pt		Perm	pm+pt			pm+pt		
Protected Phases		2	7	1	6		7	4		3	8	
Permitted Phases			2	6		6	4			8		
Actuated Green, G (s)		18.5	35.8	30.1	30.1	30.1	29.9	17.5		15.0	7.6	
Effective Green, g (s)		19.5	37.8	31.1	31.1	31.1	30.9	18.5		17.0	8.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.28	0.54	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.26		0.24	0.12	
Clearance Time (s)		5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		962	849	295	808	676	567	407		331	218	
v/s Ratio Prot		0.21	0.09	c0.08	0.26		c0.19	c0.21		0.08	0.07	
v/s Ratio Perm			0.12	c0.26		0.02	0.13			0.08		
v/c Ratio		0.75	0.36	0.78	0.59	0.05	0.72	0.79		0.67	0.53	
Uniform Delay, d1		23.0	9.2	14.3	14.7	11.1	14.7	23.9		23.0	28.8	
Progression Factor		1.00	1.00	1.38	0.86	0.90	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		5.3	0.1	10.6	3.0	0.1	3.6	8.9		3.9	1.3	
Delay (s)		28.3	9.3	30.3	15.7	10.1	18.3	32.8		27.0	30.1	
Level of Service		C.02	Δ	C	B	B	B	C		C	С	
Approach Delay (s)		20.9		Ŭ	19.3	5	5	247		•	28.1	
Approach LOS		20.0 C			R			C			C	
		Ŭ			D			Ŭ			Ũ	
Intersection Summary			20.0		CMLovo	of Servi	20		C			
HCM Volume to Consolity ratio			22.2 0 72	П					0			
Actuated Cycle Length (a)			70.0	0	um of loo	t time (a)			٩Q			
Actuated Cycle Length (S)			70.0	3		f Corvio	`		0.0			
Analysis Period (min)	I		15	IL.	O Level		7		U			

	۶	-	\mathbf{r}	1	-	×.	1	1	1	1		1
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		ፋፑ			ፋጉ			ŧ	1		ب ا ا	۴
Volume (vph)	202	855	42	13	469	18	29	7	12	28	7	211
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	12	12	12	10	10	11	11	11	11	12	12	16
Total Lost time (s)		3.0			3.0			3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0
Lane Util. Factor		0.95			0.95			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Frpb, ped/bikes		1.00			1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Flpb, ped/bikes		1.00			1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Frt		0.99			0.99			1.00	0.85		1.00	0.85
Fit Protected		0.99			1.00			0.96	1.00		0.96	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)		3521			3313			1766	1561		1772	1830
Fit Permitted		0.70			0.92			0.81	1.00		0.81	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)		2490			3047			1481	1561		1492	1830
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	220	929	46	14	510	20	32	8	13	30	8	229
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	10	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	1192	0	0	541	0	0	40	3	0	38	229
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	2					2						
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			1			1						
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	1%	4%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%
Turn Type	pm+pt			Perm			Perm		Perm	Perm		Perm
Protected Phases	2	5			1			3			3	
Permitted Phases	5			1			3		3	3		3
Actuated Green, G (s)		47.6			38.1			12.4	12.4		12.4	12.4
Effective Green, g (s)		49.6			40.1			14.4	14,4		14.4	14.4
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.71			0.57			0.21	0.21		0.21	0.21
Clearance Time (s)		5.0			5.0			5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0
Vehicle Extension (s)	_	2.0			2.0			2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		1860			1745			305	321		307	376
v/s Ratio Prot		c0.06										
v/s Ratio Perm		c0.39			0.18			0.03	0.00		0.03	c0.13
v/c Ratio		0.64			0.31			0.13	0.01		0.12	0.61
Uniform Delay, d1		5.4			7.8			22.7	22.1		22.7	25.2
Progression Factor		0.94			1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2		0.4			0.5			0.1	0.0		0.1	1.9
Delay (s)		5.5			8.2			22.8	22.1		22.7	27.2
Level of Service		А			Α			С	С		С	С
Approach Delay (s)		5.5			8.2			22.6			26.5	
Approach LOS		А			А			С			С	
Intersection Summary			_									
HCM Average Control Delay			9.4	H	CM Level	of Service	Э		Α			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio	1		0.63									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			70.0	S	um of lost	time (s)			6.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilizatio	n		63.4%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Creighton Manning Engineering, LLP F:\Projects\2012\112-023 Boices Lane\comps\traffic\SYNCHRO\NB LT,R\NETWORKbupm-ALT1.syn

	۶	-	7	*	+	•	1	1	1	1		1
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		^	1		1		٦	¢Î		٦	Ą	
Volume (vph)	0	646	410	0	431	0	366	34	254	199	298	26
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	11	11	9	11	11	11	10	10	11	11	11	11
Total Lost time (s)	• •	4.0	4.0		4.0		4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	
Lane Util Factor		0.95	1.00		1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Froh ped/bikes		1 00	0.99		1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Finh ned/bikes		1 00	1 00		1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt		1 00	0.85		1.00		1.00	0.87		1.00	0.99	
Fit Protected		1.00	1.00		1.00		0.95	1 00		0.95	1.00	
Satd Flow (prot)		3/55	1/10		1818		1668	1539		1711	1814	
Elt Permitted		1 00	1 00		1 00		0.20	1 00		0.57	1.00	
Satd Flow (parm)		3/55	1/10		1919		353	1530		1020	1814	
Back hour factor DUE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Adi Elaur (unit)	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Adj. Flow (Vpn)	0	/18	450	0	4/9	0	407	30	202	221	551	29
RIOH Reduction (vpn)	0	0	65	0	470	0	107	000	0	001	055	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	/18	391	0	479	0	407	320	U	221	355	U
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	5	10/	4	4	40/	5	10/	00/	00/	00/	00/	00/
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%
Turn Type			pm+ov				pm+pt			pm+pt		
Protected Phases		2	4		6		4	7		8	3	
Permitted Phases			2				7			3		
Actuated Green, G (s)		24.8	40.1		24.8		35.2	21.5		23.6	14.9	
Effective Green, g (s)		25.8	42.1		25.8		36.2	22.5		25.6	15.9	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.37	0.60		0.37		0.52	0.32		0.37	0.23	
Clearance Time (s)		5.0	5.0		5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)		2.0	2.0		2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	_
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		1273	935		670		489	495		469	412	
v/s Ratio Prot		0.21	0.10		c0.26		c0.19	0.21		0.07	0.20	
v/s Ratio Perm			0.18				c0.24			0.11		
v/c Ratio		0.56	0.42		0.71		0.83	0.65		0.47	0.86	
Uniform Delay, d1		17.6	7.4		18.9		14.5	20.3		16.2	26.0	
Progression Factor		1.00	1.00		0.91		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		1.8	0.1		6.1		11.1	2.2		0.3	16.2	
Delay (s)		19.4	7.5		23.4		25.6	22.5		16.4	42.3	
Level of Service		В	A		С		C	С		В	D	
Approach Delay (s)		14.8			23.4		-	24.2			32.4	
Approach LOS		B			C			C			C	
Intersection Summary		D			Ŭ			Ũ			•	
			00.0									
HCM Average Control Delay			22.0	Н	CM Level	or Servic	e		C			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio			0.77	-								
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			/0.0	S	um of losi	t time (s)			8.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization Analysis Period (min)	I		70.2% 15	IC	U Level (of Service)		C			

Movement EBL EBT EBR WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT NBR SBL SBT SBR Lane Configurations 41> 41> 41> 4 7 4 7 12 28 7 211 Ideal Flow (vph) 202 855 42 13 469 18 29 7 12 28 7 211 Ideal Flow (vphpl) 1900 <t< th=""></t<>
Lane Configurations IP
Volume (vph) 202 855 42 13 469 18 29 7 12 28 7 211 Ideal Flow (vphpl) 1900 100 100 100<
Ideal Flow (vphpl)19001
Lane Width121212101011 <t< td=""></t<>
Total Lost time (s)3.03.03.03.03.03.0Lane Util. Factor0.950.951.001.001.001.00Frpb, ped/bikes1.001.001.001.001.001.00Flpb, ped/bikes1.001.001.001.001.001.00Frt0.990.991.000.851.000.85Flt Protected0.991.000.961.000.961.00Satd. Flow (prot)352133131766156117721830Flt Permitted0.700.920.811.000.811.00Satd. Flow (perm)249030471481156114921830
Lane Util. Factor0.950.951.001.001.001.00Frpb, ped/bikes1.001.001.001.001.001.00Flpb, ped/bikes1.001.001.001.001.001.00Frt0.990.991.000.851.000.85Fit Protected0.991.000.961.000.961.00Satd. Flow (prot)352133131766156117721830Fit Permitted0.700.920.811.000.811.00Satd. Flow (perm)249030471481156114921830
Frpb, ped/bikes1.001.001.001.001.001.00Flpb, ped/bikes1.001.001.001.001.001.00Frt0.990.991.000.851.000.85Flt Protected0.991.000.961.000.961.00Satd. Flow (prot)352133131766156117721830Flt Permitted0.700.920.811.000.811.00Satd. Flow (perm)249030471481156114921830
Flpb, ped/bikes1.001.001.001.001.001.00Frt0.990.991.000.851.000.85Flt Protected0.991.000.961.000.961.00Satd. Flow (prot)352133131766156117721830Flt Permitted0.700.920.811.000.811.00Satd. Flow (perm)249030471481156114921830
Frt0.990.991.000.851.000.85Fit Protected0.991.000.961.000.961.00Satd. Flow (prot)352133131766156117721830Fit Permitted0.700.920.811.000.811.00Satd. Flow (perm)249030471481156114921830
Fit Protected0.991.000.961.000.961.00Satd. Flow (prot)352133131766156117721830Fit Permitted0.700.920.811.000.811.00Satd. Flow (perm)249030471481156114921830
Satd. Flow (prot) 3521 3313 1766 1561 1772 1830 Flt Permitted 0.70 0.92 0.81 1.00 0.81 1.00 Satd. Flow (perm) 2490 3047 1481 1561 1492 1830
Fit Permitted 0.70 0.92 0.81 1.00 0.81 1.00 Satd. Flow (perm) 2490 3047 1481 1561 1492 1830
Satd. Flow (perm) 2490 3047 1481 1561 1492 1830
Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92
Adj. Flow (vph) 220 929 46 14 510 20 32 8 13 30 8 229
RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 3 0 3 0 0 10 0
Lane Group Flow (vph) 0 1192 0 0 541 0 0 40 3 0 38 229
Confl. Peds. (#/hr) 2 2
Confl. Bikes (#/hr) 1 1
Heavy Vehicles (%) 0% 1% 4% 0% 1% 0% 0% 0% 0% 4% 0% 0%
Turn Type pm+pt Perm Perm Perm Perm Perm Perm
Protected Phases 2 5 1 3 3
Permitted Phases 5 1 3 3 3 3
Actuated Green, G (s) 47.6 38.1 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4
Effective Green, g (s) 49.6 40.1 14.4 14.4 14.4 14.4
Actuated g/C Ratio 0.71 0.57 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21
Clearance Time (s) 5.0
Vehicle Extension (s) 2.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph) 1860 1745 305 321 307 376
v/s Ratio Prot c0.06
v/s Ratio Perm c0.39 0.18 0.03 0.00 0.03 c0.13
v/c Ratio 0.64 0.31 0.13 0.01 0.12 0.61
Uniform Delay, d1 5.4 7.8 22.7 22.1 22.7 25.2
Progression Factor 0.74 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00
Incremental Delay, d2 0.5 0.1 0.0 0.1 1.9
Delay (s) 4.5 6.2 22.8 22.1 22.7 21.2
Level of Service A A C C C C C
Approach Delay (s) 4.5 6.2 22.6 20.5
Approach LOS A A C C
Intersection Summary
HCM Average Control Delay 8.8 HCM Level of Service A
HUM Volume to Capacity ratio U.b3
Actuated Uycle Length (s) /U.U Sum of lost time (s) b.U
Intersection Lapacity Utilization 63.4% ILU Level of Service D
Analysis Feriou (min) 15

	۶	-	\mathbf{r}	4	+-		-	1	1	4	t -	1
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		††	۴		1	۲	٦	ĥ		٦	¢î	
Volume (vph)	0	586	410	0	431	72	366	34	234	179	92	26
ldeal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	11	11	9	11	11	11	10	10	11	11	11	11
Total Lost time (s)		4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	
Lane Util. Factor		0.95	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes		1.00	0.97		1.00	0.97	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt		1.00	0.85		1.00	0.85	1.00	0.87		1.00	0.97	
Fit Protected		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		3455	1400		1818	1522	1668	1541		1711	1776	
Flt Permitted		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	0.67	1.00		0.50	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		3455	1400		1818	1522	1182	1541		895	1776	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Adj. Flow (vph)	0	651	456	0	479	80	407	38	260	199	102	29
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	329	0	0	42	0	0	0	0	15	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	651	127	0	479	38	407	298	0	199	116	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	5		4	4		5						
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%
Turn Type			Perm			Perm	Perm			Perm		
Protected Phases		1			12			3			3	
Permitted Phases			1			12	3			3		
Actuated Green, G (s)		17.8	17.8		30.8	30.8	26.8	26.8		26.8	26.8	
Effective Green, g (s)		18.8	18.8		31.8	31.8	27.8	27.8		27.8	27.8	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.28	0.28		0.47	0.47	0.41	0.41		0.41	0.41	
Clearance Time (s)		5.0	5.0				5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)		2.0	2.0				2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		961	389		855	716	486	634		368	730	
v/s Ratio Prot		c0.19			c0.26			0.19			0.07	
v/s Ratio Perm			0.09			0.02	c0.34			0.22		
v/c Ratio		0.68	0.33		0.56	0.05	0.84	0.47		0.54	0.16	
Uniform Delay, d1		21.7	19.4		12.9	9.7	17.9	14.5		15.1	12.5	
Progression Factor		1.00	1.00		0.29	0.01	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		1.5	0.2		0.5	0.0	11.5	0.2		0.9	0.0	
Delay (s)		23.2	19.5		4.2	0.1	29.3	14.7		15.9	12.6	
Level of Service		С	В		А	Α	С	В		В	В	
Approach Delay (s)		21.7			3.6			23.2			14.6	
Approach LOS		С			А			С			В	
Intersection Summary					_							
HCM Average Control Delay			17.5	Н	CM Level	of Servic	e		В			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio			0.75									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			67.6	S	um of lost	t time (s)			12.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization Analysis Period (min)			59.4% 15	IC	CU Level o	of Service	1		В			

2: Boices Lane & John Clark Drive Build - Alternative 3_PM Peak

	. ≯	-	\rightarrow	- 🖌	+		1	†	1	1	↓ ·	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		<u></u> ተኈ			đ îr			ų	1		र्स	1
Volume (vph)	0	957	42	13	335	18	19	7	22	59	7	149
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	12	12	12	10	10	11	11	11	11	12	12	16
Total Lost time (s)		3.0			3.0			3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0
Lane Util. Factor		0.95			0.95			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Frpb, ped/bikes		1.00			1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Flpb, ped/bikes		1.00			1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Frt		0.99			0.99			1.00	0.85		1.00	0.85
Flt Protected		1.00			1.00			0. 9 7	1.00		0.96	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)		3544			3304			1772	1561		1757	1830
Flt Permitted		1.00			0.90			0.79	1.00		0.73	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)		3544			2989			1453	1561		1340	1830
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	0	1040	46	14	364	20	21	8	24	64	8	162
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	5	0	0	6	0	0	0	20	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	1081	0	0	392	0	0	29	4	0	72	162
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	2					2						
Confl. Bikes (#/hr)			1			1						
Heavy Vehicles (%)	0%	1%	4%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%
Turn Type				Perm			Perm		Perm	Perm		Perm
Protected Phases		13			1			2			2	
Permitted Phases				1			2		2	2		2
Actuated Green, G (s)		49.6			17.8			8.0	8.0		8.0	8.0
Effective Green, g (s)		51.6			19.8			10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.76			0.29			0.15	0.15		0.15	0.15
Clearance Time (s)					5.0			5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0
Vehicle Extension (s)					2.0			2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		2705			875			215	231		198	271
v/s Ratio Prot		c0.31										
v/s Ratio Perm					c0.13			0.02	0.00		0.05	c0.09
v/c Ratio		0.40			0.45			0.13	0.02		0.36	0.60
Uniform Delay, d1		2.7			19.5			25.0	24.6		25.9	26.9
Progression Factor		0.15			1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2		0.0			0.1			0.1	0.0		0.4	2.4
Delay (s)		0.4			19.6			25.1	24.6		26.3	29.3
Level of Service		Α			В			С	С		С	С
Approach Delay (s)		0.4			19.6			24.9			28.4	
Approach LOS		Α			В			С			С	
Intersection Summary									_			
HCM Average Control Delay			9.2	H	CM Level	of Service			А			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio			0.44									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			67.6	S	um of lost	t time (s)			6.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization			46.1%	IC	U Level o	of Service			А			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

	-+	\rightarrow	1	-	1	- †	1				
Lane Group	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	ø7	
Lane Configurations	1	1	٦	†		र्भ	1	٦	fa		
Volume (vph)	402	311	169	298	294	2	207	6	4		
Turn Type		pm+ov	pm+pt		pm+pt		pm+ov	Perm			
Protected Phases	2	4	1	6	4	74	1		3	7	
Permitted Phases		2	6		74		74	3			
Detector Phase	2	4	1	6	4	74	1	3	3		
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	3.0	3.0	10.0	3.0		3.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Minimum Split (s)	15.0	8.0	8.0	15.0	8.0		8.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	
Total Split (s)	40.0	21.0	21.0	61.0	21.0	67.0	21.0	25.0	25.0	46.0	
Total Split (%)	37.4%	19.6%	19.6%	57.0%	19.6%	62.6%	19.6%	23.4%	23.4%	43%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0		
Total Lost Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		
Lead/Lag	Lag	Lag	Lead		Lag		Lead	Lead	Lead		
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes		
Recall Mode	Min	None	None	Min	None		None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	22.8	46.5	37.3	37.3		25.8	40.3	7.9	7.9		
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.32	0.65	0.52	0.52		0.36	0.56	0.11	0.11		
v/c Ratio	0.77	0.36	0.50	0.35		0.56	0.24	0.04	0.02		
Control Delay	34.3	3.2	15.7	12.6		24.5	3.2	38.3	38.0		
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Delay	34.3	3.2	15.7	12.8		24.5	3.2	38.3	38.0		
LOS	С	А	В	В		С	A	D	D		
Approach Delay	20.7			13.8		15.7			38.2		
Approach LOS	С			В		В			D		
Intersection Summary											
Cycle Length: 107											
Actuated Cycle Length: 71.9											
Natural Cycle: 60											
Control Type: Actuated-Unco	ordinated	ł									
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.77											
Intersection Signal Delay: 17.	.4			li	ntersectio	n LOS: B					
Intersection Capacity Utilizati	on 63.6%			10	CU Level	of Servic	e B				
Analysis Period (min) 15											

Splits and Phases: 1: Boices Lane & Driveway

f ø1	→ ø2	↓ ø3	🖈 ø4
21 s	40 s	25 s	21 s
4 ø6		1 ø7	
61 s		4 6 s	

	٦	-	1			1	†	1	- \	- ↓	1	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	_
Lane Configurations		ብ ጉ		र्भ	1		र्स	1		ર્શ	1	
Volume (vph)	142	439	13	281	13	25	6	10	23	6	161	
Turn Type	Perm		Perm		Perm	Perm		Perm	Perm		Perm	
Protected Phases		5		1			3			3		
Permitted Phases	5		1		1	3		3	3		3	
Detector Phase	5	5	1	1	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	
Minimum Split (s)	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	
Total Split (s)	40.0	40.0	40.0	40.0	40.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	
Total Split (%)	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Lead/Lag												
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Recall Mode	Мах	Max	Мах	Max	Max	Max	Мах	Мах	Max	Max	Max	
Act Effct Green (s)		37.0		37.0	37.0		17.0	17,0		17.0	17.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.62		0.62	0.62		0.28	0.28		0.28	0.28	
v/c Ratio		0.38		0.30	0.01		0.08	0.02		0.07	0.27	
Control Delay		6.4		6.4	2.5		16.5	9.2		16.4	4.5	
Queue Delay		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay		6.4		6.4	2.5		16.5	9.2		16.4	4.5	
LOS		А		А	Α		В	Α		В	А	
Approach Delay		6.4		6.2			14.7			6.4		
Approach LOS		А		А			В			Α		
Interception Summany												

Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 60 Actuated Cycle Length: 60 Offset: 10 (17%), Referenced to phase 2: and 6:, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 40 Control Type: Pretimed Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.38 Intersection Signal Delay: 6.6 Intersection Capacity Utilization 51.2% Analysis Period (min) 15

Intersection LOS: A ICU Level of Service A

Splits and Phases: 2: Boices Lane & John Clark Drive

4 _б 1	↓↑ ø3	
40 s	20 s	
→ ø5		
40 s		

	->	\mathbf{r}	1	-	1	†	1	1	Ļ		
Lane Group	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	ø7	
Lane Configurations	1	1	٦	1		4	1	۲	4		
Volume (vph)	402	311	169	298	294	2	207	6	4		
Turn Type		pm+ov	pm+pt		pm+pt		pm+ov	Perm			
Protected Phases	2	4	1	6	4	74	1		3	7	
Permitted Phases		2	6		74		74	3			
Detector Phase	2	4	1	6	4	74	1	3	3		
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	3.0	3.0	10.0	3.0		3.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Minimum Split (s)	15.0	8.0	8.0	15.0	8.0		8.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	
Total Split (s)	31.0	18.0	11.0	42.0	18.0	46.0	11.0	10.0	10.0	28.0	
Total Split (%)	44.3%	25.7%	15.7%	60.0%	25.7%	65.7%	15.7%	14.3%	14.3%	40%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0		
Total Lost Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		
Lead/Lag	Lag	Lag	Lead		Lag		Lead	Lead	Lead		
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes		
Recall Mode	C-Min	None	None	C-Min	None		None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	29.2	48.5	40.6	40.6		21.4	32.8	6.1	6.1		
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.42	0.69	0.58	0.58		0.31	0.47	0.09	0.09		
v/c Ratio	0.59	0.32	0.47	0.31		0.66	0.31	0.05	0.02		
Control Delay	21.0	1.2	9.9	5.3		27.9	12.1	30.2	29.8		
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Delay	21.0	1.2	9.9	5.6		27.9	12.1	30.2	29.8		
LOS	С	А	Α	Α		С	В	С	С		
Approach Delay	12.4			7.2		21.4			30.0		
Approach LOS	В			А		С			С		

Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 70 Actuated Cycle Length: 70 Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Yellow, Master Intersection Natural Cycle: 50 Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.66 Intersection Signal Delay: 13.7 Intersection LOS: B Intersection Capacity Utilization 63.6% Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 1: Boices Lane & Driveway

f 01	→ ø2	↓ ø3	\$ 04
11 s	31 s	10 s	18 s
е ø6		1 ø7	
42 s		28 s	

Lane Group EBL EBT WBL WBT WBR NBL NBT NBR SBL SBT SBR Lane Configurations 41 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 7 4 1 7 4 1 7 4 1 7 4 1 7 4 1 7 7 4 1 7 <		٦	-	- 🗲	+	•	1	†	1	· ·	. ↓	-
Lane Configurations 41 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7	Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Volume (vpn) 142 439 13 281 13 25 6 10 23 6 161 Turn Type pm+pt Perm Perm <td>Lane Configurations</td> <td></td> <td>414</td> <td><u></u></td> <td>र्भ</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>र्भ</td> <td>۲</td> <td></td> <td>ન</td> <td>1</td>	Lane Configurations		414	<u></u>	र्भ	1		र्भ	۲		ન	1
Turn Type pm+pt Perm	Volume (vph)	142	439	13	281	13	25	6	10	23	6	161
Protected Phases 2 5 1 3 3 3 Permitted Phases 5 1 1 3 <t< td=""><td>Turn Type</td><td>pm+pt</td><td></td><td>Perm</td><td></td><td>Perm</td><td>Perm</td><td></td><td>Perm</td><td>Perm</td><td></td><td>Perm</td></t<>	Turn Type	pm+pt		Perm		Perm	Perm		Perm	Perm		Perm
Permitted Phases 5 1 1 3 3 3 3 Detector Phase 2 5 1 1 1 3 3 3 3 3 3 Switch Phase	Protected Phases	2	5		1			3			3	
Detector Phase 2 5 1 1 1 3	Permitted Phases	5		1		1	3		3	3		3
Switch Phase Minimum Initial (s) 3.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 6.0 <th< td=""><td>Detector Phase</td><td>2</td><td>5</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>3</td><td>3</td><td>3</td><td>3</td><td>3</td><td>3</td></th<>	Detector Phase	2	5	1	1	1	3	3	3	3	3	3
Minimum Initial (s) 3.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 6.0	Switch Phase											
Minimum Split (s) 8.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0 11.	Minimum Initial (s)	3.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0
Total Split (s) 8.0 47.0 39.0 39.0 39.0 23.0<	Minimum Split (s)	8.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0
Total Split (%) 11.4% 67.1% 55.7% 55.7% 55.7% 32.9%	Total Split (s)	8.0	47.0	39.0	39.0	39.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	23.0
Yellow Time (s) 4.0	Total Split (%)	11.4%	67.1%	55.7%	55.7%	55.7%	32.9%	32.9%	32.9%	32. 9 %	32.9%	32.9%
All-Red Time (s) 1.0 <td>Yellow Time (s)</td> <td>4.0</td>	Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Lost Time Adjust (s) -2.0 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 <td< td=""><td>All-Red Time (s)</td><td>1.0</td><td>1.0</td><td>1.0</td><td>1.0</td><td>1.0</td><td>1.0</td><td>1.0</td><td>1.0</td><td>1.0</td><td>1.0</td><td>1.0</td></td<>	All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total Lost Time (s) 3.0<	Lost Time Adjust (s)	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0
Lead/Lag Lag Lead Lead <thlead< th=""> Lead Lead <</thlead<>	Total Lost Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes	Lead/Lag	Lag		Lead	Lead	Lead						
Recall Mode Min C-Min C-Min C-Min C-Min None	Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes						
Act Effct Green (s) 50.8 41.3 41.3 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.73 0.59 0.59 0.19 0.19 0.19 0.19 v/c Ratio 0.32 0.32 0.02 0.12 0.04 0.11 0.51 Control Delay 1.6 9.2 4.2 22.7 11.5 22.6 30.0 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 1.6 9.2 4.2 22.7 11.5 22.6 30.0 LOS A A A C B C C Approach Delay 1.6 9.0 20.0 28.8 C	Recall Mode	Min	C-Min	C-Min	C-Min	C-Min	None	None	None	None	None	None
Actuated g/C Ratio 0.73 0.59 0.59 0.19 0.19 0.19 0.19 v/c Ratio 0.32 0.32 0.02 0.12 0.04 0.11 0.51 Control Delay 1.6 9.2 4.2 22.7 11.5 22.6 30.0 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 1.6 9.2 4.2 22.7 11.5 22.6 30.0 LOS A A A C B C C Approach Delay 1.6 9.0 20.0 28.8 C C	Act Effct Green (s)		50.8		41.3	41.3		13.2	13.2		13.2	13.2
v/c Ratio 0.32 0.32 0.02 0.12 0.04 0.11 0.51 Control Delay 1.6 9.2 4.2 22.7 11.5 22.6 30.0 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 1.6 9.2 4.2 22.7 11.5 22.6 30.0 LOS A A A C B C C Approach Delay 1.6 9.0 20.0 28.8 C C	Actuated g/C Ratio		0.73		0.59	0.59		0.19	0.19		0.19	0.19
Control Delay 1.6 9.2 4.2 22.7 11.5 22.6 30.0 Queue Delay 0.0	v/c Ratio		0.32		0.32	0.02		0.12	0.04		0.11	0.51
Queue Delay 0.0 <th< td=""><td>Control Delay</td><td></td><td>1.6</td><td></td><td>9.2</td><td>4.2</td><td></td><td>22.7</td><td>11.5</td><td></td><td>22.6</td><td>30.0</td></th<>	Control Delay		1.6		9.2	4.2		22.7	11.5		22.6	30.0
Total Delay 1.6 9.2 4.2 22.7 11.5 22.6 30.0 LOS A A A C B C C Approach Delay 1.6 9.0 20.0 28.8 C C Approach LOS A A A B C C	Queue Delay		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0
LOSAAACBCCApproach Delay1.69.020.028.8Approach LOSAABC	Total Delay		1.6		9.2	4.2		22.7	11.5		22.6	30.0
Approach Delay1.69.020.028.8Approach LOSAABC	LOS		Α		Α	Α		С	В		С	С
Approach LOS A A B C	Approach Delay		1.6		9.0			20.0			28.8	
	Approach LOS		А		А			В			С	
	Cycle Length: 70											
Cycle Length: 70	Actuated Cycle Length: 7	0										
Cycle Length: 70 Actuated Cycle Length: 70	Offset: 64 (91%), Referen	ced to phase	+ 1:WBTL	and 5:EE	BTL, Starl	of Greer						
Cycle Length: 70 Actuated Cycle Length: 70 Offset: 64 (91%), Referenced to phase 1:WBTL and 5:EBTL, Start of Green	Natural Cycle: 40	···· F •·•			,							

Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.51

Intersection Signal Delay: 8.7 Intersection Capacity Utilization 51.2% Analysis Period (min) 15

Intersection LOS: A ICU Level of Service A

Splits and Phases: 2: Boices Lane & John Clark Drive

 ø1 	J ø2	↓ ↑ ø3	
39 s	8s	23 s	
→ ø5			
47 s			

.

	-	\rightarrow	1	-	1	1	1	4	Ļ		
Lane Group	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	ø7	
Lane Configurations	41	1	ሻ	4î		କ	T.	ሻ	4		
Volume (vph)	402	311	169	298	294	2	207	6	4		
Turn Type		pm+ov	pm+pt		pm+pt		pm+ov	Perm			
Protected Phases	2	4	1	6	4	74	1		3	7	
Permitted Phases		2	6		74		74	3			
Detector Phase	2	4	1	6	4	74	1	3	3		
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	3.0	3.0	10.0	3.0		3.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Minimum Split (s)	15.0	8.0	8.0	15.0	8.0		8.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	
Total Split (s)	23.0	23.0	14.0	37.0	23.0	56.0	14.0	10.0	10.0	33.0	
Total Split (%)	32.9%	32.9%	20.0%	52.9%	32.9%	80.0%	20.0%	14.3%	14.3%	47%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0		
Total Lost Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		
Lead/Lag	Lag	Lag	Lead		Lag		Lead	Lead	Lead		
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes		
Recall Mode	C-Min	None	None	C-Min	None		None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	26.2	46,9	39.1	39.1		22.9	35.8	6.3	6.3		
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.37	0.67	0.56	0.56		0.33	0.51	0.09	0.09		
v/c Ratio	0.35	0.32	0.37	0.33		0.61	0.29	0.05	0.02		
Control Delay	18.9	1.4	7.8	6.4		24.4	9.5	29.7	29.2		
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Delay	18.9	1.4	7.8	6.7		24.4	9.5	29.7	29.2		
LOS	В	Α	А	Α		С	Α	С	С		
Approach Delay	11.2			7.1		18.2			29.5		
Approach LOS	В			А		В			С		

Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 70 Actuated Cycle Length: 70 Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Yellow, Master Intersection Natural Cycle: 45 Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.61 Intersection LOS: B Intersection Signal Delay: 12.3 ICU Level of Service B Intersection Capacity Utilization 62.1% Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 1: Boices Lane & Driveway

€€ @1	→ ø2	↓ ø3	S Ø4	
14s	23 s	10 s	23 s	
← ø6		1 ø7		_
37 s		33 s		

	٦		4	-	-	1	1	1	÷.	1	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations		ብጉ		ፋ ቅ		र्भ	1		÷.	1	
Volume (vph)	142	439	13	281	25	6	10	23	6	161	
Turn Type	pm+pt		Perm		Perm		Perm	Perm		Perm	
Protected Phases	2	5		1		3			3		
Permitted Phases	5		1		3		3	3		3	
Detector Phase	2	5	1	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	3.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	
Minimum Split (s)	8.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	
Total Split (s)	16.0	44.0	28.0	28.0	26.0	26.0	26.0	26.0	26.0	26.0	
Total Split (%)	22.9%	62.9%	40.0%	40.0%	37.1%	37.1%	37.1%	37.1%	37.1%	37.1%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Lead/Lag	Lag		Lead	Lead							
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes		Yes	Yes							
Recall Mode	Min	C-Min	C-Min	C-Min	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)		50.8		41.3		13.2	13.2		13.2	13.2	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.73		0.59		0.19	0.19		0.19	0.19	
v/c Ratio		0.32		0.18		0.12	0.04		0.11	0.51	
Control Delay		2.3		7.5		22.6	11.4		22.5	29.8	
Queue Delay		0.0		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay		2.3		7.5		22.6	11.4		22.5	29.8	
LOS		А		Α		С	В		С	С	
Approach Delay		2.3		7.5		19.9			28.7		
Approach LOS		А		Α		В			С		
Intersection Summary				_				_			
Cycle Length: 70											
Actuated Cycle Length: 70											
Offset: 2 (3%), Referenced	to phase 1	:WBTL ar	nd 5:EBTL	., Start of	Green						
Natural Cycle: 40											

Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.51 Intersection Signal Delay: 8.7 Intersection Capacity Utilization 49.0% Analysis Period (min) 15

Intersection LOS: A ICU Level of Service A

Splits and Phases: 2: Boices Lane & John Clark Drive

← ø1	▲ p2	↓↑ ø3	
28 s	16 s	26 s	
→ ø5			
44 s			

1: Boices Lane & Driveway Existing 2012 - Split Phased_PM Peak

	-	\rightarrow	1	-		†-	1	1	↓ I		
Lane Group	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	ø2	ø4
Lane Configurations	+	1	۲	1		র্ন	7	۲	4Î		
Volume (vph)	402	311	169	298	294	2	207	6	4		
Turn Type		Perm	Split		Perm		Perm	Perm			
Protected Phases	1		24	24		3			3	2	4
Permitted Phases		1			3		3	3			
Detector Phase	1	1	24	24	3	3	3	3	3		
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	3.0	3.0			5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	8.0	8.0			10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	8.0	10.0
Total Split (s)	27.0	27.0	33.0	33.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	20.0	13.0
Total Split (%)	30.0%	30.0%	36.7%	36.7%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%	22%	14%
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0			4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0			1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0		
Total Lost Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		
Lead/Lag	Lag	Lag			Lead	Lead	Lead	Lead	Lead	Lead	Lag
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Recall Mode	C-Min	C-Min			None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Act Effct Green (s)	23.0	23.0	29.8	29.8		25.2	25.2	25.2	25.2		
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.26	0.26	0.33	0.33		0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28		
v/c Ratio	0.96	0.60	0.33	0.55		0.92	0.53	0.05	0.01		
Control Delay	68.1	11.0	13.0	14.0		64.4	32.1	24.3	23.0		
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Delay	68.1	11.0	13.0	14.6		64.4	32.1	24.3	23.0		
LOS	E	В	В	В		E	С	С	С		
Approach Delay	43.2			14.0		51.1			23.8		
Approach LOS	D			В		D			С		
Intersection Summary								_			
Cycle Length: 90											

Actuated Cycle Length: 90 Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 1:EBTL, Start of Yellow, Master Intersection Natural Cycle: 75 Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.96 Intersection Signal Delay: 37.4 Intersection LOS: D Intersection Capacity Utilization 63.6% Analysis Period (min) 15



	-	-		1	†	1	×	↓ I	-		
Lane Group	EBT	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	ø1	ø3
Lane Configurations	ፋፑ	ર્શ	1		र्स	1		ની	7		
Volume (vph)	439	281	13	25	6	10	23	6	161		
Turn Type			Perm	Perm		Perm	Perm		Perm		
Protected Phases	13	2			4			4		1	3
Permitted Phases			2	4		4	4		4		
Detector Phase	13	2	2	4	4	4	4	4	4		
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)		3.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	5.0
Minimum Split (s)		8.0	8.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	8.0	10.0
Total Split (s)	57.0	20.0	20.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	27.0	30.0
Total Split (%)	63.3%	22.2%	22.2%	14.4%	14.4%	14.4%	14.4%	14.4%	14.4%	30%	33%
Yellow Time (s)		4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
All-Red Time (s)		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0		
Total Lost Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		
Lead/Lag		Lead	Lead	Lag	Lag	Lag	Lag	Lag	Lag	Lag	Lead
Lead-Lag Optimize?		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Recall Mode		None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	C-Min	None
Act Effct Green (s)	53.2	17.2	17.2		10.6	10.6		10.6	10.6		
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.59	0.19	0.19		0.12	0.12		0.12	0.12		
v/c Ratio	0.32	0.95	0.05		0.20	0.06		0.19	0.81		
Control Delay	0.7	77.0	15.0		39.7	19.9		39.4	69.0		
Queue Delay	0.3	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		
Total Delay	1.1	77.0	15.0		39.7	19.9		39.4	69.0		
LOS	А	E	В		D	В		D	E		
Approach Delay	1.1	74.4			34.9			64.4			
Approach LOS	А	E			С			E			

Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 90 Actuated Cycle Length: 90 Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 1:EBTL, Start of Yellow, Master Intersection Natural Cycle: 75 Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.96 Intersection LOS: C Intersection Signal Delay: 32.2 Intersection Capacity Utilization 51.2% Analysis Period (min) 15

ICU Level of Service A

Splits and Phases: 2: Boices Lane & John Clark Drive


	-	\mathbf{r}	4	-	*	1	1	1	1	. ↓	
Lane Group	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	ø7
Lane Configurations	†	1	٦	1	۴		र्स	7	۲	Þ	
Volume (vph)	646	410	206	431	72	366	34	254	199	92	
Turn Type		pm+ov	pm+pt		Perm	pm+pt		pm+ov	Perm		
Protected Phases	2	4	1	6		4	74	1		3	7
Permitted Phases		2	6		6	74		74	3		
Detector Phase	2	4	1	6	6	4	74	1	3	3	
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	3.0	3.0	10.0	10.0	3.0		3.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	15.0	8.0	8.0	15.0	15.0	8.0		8.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Total Split (s)	41.0	8.0	11.0	52.0	52.0	8.0	56.0	11.0	40.0	40.0	48.0
Total Split (%)	41.0%	8.0%	11.0%	52.0%	52.0%	8.0%	56.0%	11.0%	40.0%	40.0%	48%
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
Lead/Lag	Lag	Lag	Lead			Lag		Lead	Lead	Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	
Recall Mode	C-Min	None	None	C-Min	C-Min	None		None	None	None	None
Act Effct Green (s)	37.0	41.0	48.0	48.0	48.0		44.0	55.0	36.0	36.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.37	0.41	0.48	0.48	0.48		0.44	0.55	0.36	0.36	
v/c Ratio	1.07	0.62	1.17	0.55	0.10		0.87	0.33	1.12	0.20	
Control Delay	85.9	11.7	144.5	20.3	5.9		45.2	13.7	133.6	20.3	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.0		0.0	0.0	40.2	0.0	
Total Delay	85.9	11.7	144.5	23.5	5.9		45.2	13.7	173.8	20.3	
LOS	F	В	F	С	А		D	В	F	С	
Approach Delay	57.1			56.9			33.0			116.7	
Approach LOS	E			E			С			F	

Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 100 Actuated Cycle Length: 100 Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:EBT and 6:WBTL, Start of Yellow, Master Intersection Natural Cycle: 100 Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.17 Intersection Signal Delay: 58.2 Intersection LOS: E Intersection Capacity Utilization 84.1% Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 1: Boices Lane & Driveway

1 Ø1	→ ø2	↓ ø3	\$ ø4
11 s	41 s	40 s	8 s
← ø6		1 ø7	
52 s		48 s	

	٨	-	- 1	-	•	1	1	1	1	∔	-	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations		ፋቡ		र्स	1		ર્સ	7		र्स	1	
Volume (vph)	202	855	13	469	18	29	7	12	28	7	211	
Turn Type	pm+pt		Perm		Perm	Perm		Perm	Perm		Perm	
Protected Phases	2	5		1			3			3		
Permitted Phases	5		1		1	3		3	3		3	
Detector Phase	2	5	1	1	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	3.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	
Minimum Split (s)	8.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	
Total Split (s)	8.0	67.0	59.0	59.0	59.0	33.0	33.0	33.0	33.0	33.0	33.0	
Total Split (%)	8.0%	67.0%	59.0%	59.0%	59.0%	33.0%	33.0%	33.0%	33.0%	33.0%	33.0%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Lead/Lag	Lag		Lead	Lead	Lead							
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes							
Recall Mode	Min	C-Min	C-Min	C-Min	C-Min	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)		74.9		63.4	63.4		19.1	19.1		19.1	19.1	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.75		0.63	0.63		0.19	0.19		0.19	0.19	
v/c Ratio		0.63		0.49	0.02		0.14	0.04		0.13	0.65	
Control Delay		10.5		13.3	4.5		32.5	14.2		32.3	45.8	
Queue Delay		2.9		0.1	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay		13.4		13.4	4.5		32.5	14.2		32.3	45.8	
LOS		В		В	Α		С	В		С	D	
Approach Delay		13.4		13.1			28.0			43.9		
Approach LOS		В		В			С			D		
Intersection Summary						_	_	_				_
Cycle Length: 100												

Actuated Cycle Length: 100 Offset: 18 (18%), Referenced to phase 1:WBTL and 5:EBTL, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 45 Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.65 Intersection Signal Delay: 17.6 Intersection LOS: B Intersection Capacity Utilization 74.9% Analysis Period (min) 15

ICU Level of Service D

🗲 gʻl	ø2	↓1 ø3
59 s	8 s -	33 s
→ ø5		
67 s		

	-	\rightarrow	- 1	-			†	-	↓ I	
Lane Group	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	**	7	۲	Ť	1	٣	4	٦	4	
Volume (vph)	646	410	206	431	72	366	34	199	92	
Turn Type		pm+ov	pm+pt		Perm	pm+pt		pm+pt		
Protected Phases	2	7	1	6		7	4	3	8	
Permitted Phases		2	6		6	4		8		
Detector Phase	2	7	1	6	6	7	4	3	8	
Switch Phase										
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	3.0	3.0	10.0	10.0	3.0	3.0	5.0	3.0	
Minimum Split (s)	15.0	8.0	8.0	15.0	15.0	8.0	8.0	10.0	8.0	
Total Split (s)	25.0	20.0	11.0	36.0	36.0	20.0	23.0	11.0	14.0	
Total Split (%)	35.7%	28.6%	15.7%	51.4%	51.4%	28.6%	32.9%	15.7%	20.0%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
Lead/Lag	Lag	Lead	Lead			Lead	Lag	Lead	Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Recall Mode	C-Min	None	None	C-Min	C-Min	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	20.5	38.8	32.1	32.1	32.1	29.9	17.5	16.7	9.5	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.29	0.55	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.43	0.25	0.24	0.14	
v/c Ratio	0.71	0.49	0.77	0.57	0.11	0.73	0.83	0.68	0.51	
Control Delay	26.7	4.3	36.5	15.4	3.1	25.1	44.5	29.0	31.6	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	26.7	4.3	36.5	15.9	3.1	25.1	44.5	29.0	31.6	
LOS	С	А	D	В	А	С	D	С	С	
Approach Delay	18.0			20.6			33.6		30.0	
Approach LOS	В			С			С		С	

Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 70

Actuated Cycle Length: 70

Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:EBT and 6:WBTL, Start of Yellow, Master Intersection

Natural Cycle: 60

Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.83

Intersection Signal Delay: 23.8 Intersection Capacity Utilization 71.1% Analysis Period (min) 15 Intersection LOS: C ICU Level of Service C

Splits and Phases: 1: Boices Lane & Driveway

√ ø1	-> ø2	► ø3	1 ø4
11 s	25 s	11 s	23 s
← ø6		\$ Ø7	↓ ø8
36 s		20 s	14 s

	۰	-	1	-	-	†	1	1	Ļ	-	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations		4 î b		۔}		ର୍ଶ	1		र्स	۲	
Volume (vph)	202	855	13	469	29	7	12	28	7	211	
Turn Type	pm+pt		Perm		Perm		Perm	Perm		Perm	
Protected Phases	2	5		1		3			3		
Permitted Phases	5		1		3		3	3		3	
Detector Phase	2	5	1	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	3.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	
Minimum Split (s)	8.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	
Total Split (s)	25.0	50.0	25.0	25.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	
Total Split (%)	35.7%	71.4%	35.7%	35.7%	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Lead/Lag	Lead		Lag	Lag							
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes		Yes	Yes							
Recall Mode	Min	C-Min	C-Min	C-Min	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)		49.6		40.1		14.4	14.4		14.4	14.4	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.71		0.57		0.21	0.21		0.21	0.21	
v/c Ratio		0.64		0.31		0.13	0.04		0.12	0.61	
Control Delay		6.3		8.7		22.5	11.3		22.4	32.1	
Queue Delay		0.5		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay		6.8		8.7		22.5	11.3		22.4	32.1	
LOS		Α		А		С	В		С	С	
Approach Delay		6.8		8.7		19.8			30.7		
Approach LOS		А		Α		В			С		
Intersection Summary											
Cycle Length: 70											

Actuated Cycle Length: 70 Offset: 62 (89%), Referenced to phase 1:WBTL and 5:EBTL, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 40 Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.64 Intersection Signal Delay: 10.7 Intersection Capacity Utilization 63.4% Analysis Period (min) 15

Intersection LOS: B ICU Level of Service B

✓ gi2	← ø1	↓↑ ø3
25 s	25 s	20 s
→ ø5		
50 s		

	-	\rightarrow	-	1	†		t i i
Lane Group	EBT	EBR	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	††	1	+	ሻ	f,	ሻ .	4
Volume (vph)	646	410	431	366	34	199	298
Turn Type		pm+ov		pm+pt		pm+pt	
Protected Phases	2	. 4	6	4	7	8	3
Permitted Phases		2		7		3	
Detector Phase	2	4	6	4	7	8	3
Switch Phase							
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	3.0	10.0	3.0	5.0	3.0	5.0
Minimum Split (s)	15.0	8.0	15.0	8.0	10.0	8.0	10.0
Total Split (s)	28.0	22.0	28.0	22.0	27.0	15.0	20.0
Total Split (%)	40.0%	31.4%	40.0%	31.4%	38.6%	21.4%	28.6%
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0
Total Lost Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Lead/Lag		Lead		Lead	Lag	Lead	Lag
Lead-Lag Optimize?		Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Recall Mode	C-Min	None	C-Min	None	None	None	None
Act Effct Green (s)	25.8	42.1	25.8	36.2	22.5	25.7	15.9
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.37	0.60	0.37	0.52	0.32	0.37	0.23
v/c Ratio	0.56	0.50	0.71	0.83	0.65	0.47	0.86
Control Delay	20.3	5.8	25.4	30.2	27.3	13.6	48.5
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	20.3	5.8	26.1	30.2	27.3	13.6	48.5
LOS	С	А	С	С	С	В	D
Approach Delay	14.7		26.1		28.9		35.2
Approach LOS	В		С		С		D

Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 70 Actuated Cycle Length: 70 Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:EBT and 6:WBT, Start of Yellow, Master Intersection Natural Cycle: 60 Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.86 Intersection Signal Delay: 24.0 Intersection Capacity Utilization 70.2% Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 1: Boices Lane & Driveway

→ ø2	\$ ø4	↓ ø3	
28 s	22 s	20 s	
4 −− ø6	▶ ø8	↑ ø7	
28 s	15 s	27 s	

	٦	-	- 🖌	-	-	1	1	- \	+	-	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations		ፋጉ		ፋቅ		Ł	1		ની	1	
Volume (vph)	202	855	13	469	29	7	12	28	7	211	
Turn Type	pm+pt		Perm		Perm		Perm	Perm		Perm	
Protected Phases	2	5		1		3			3		
Permitted Phases	5		1		3		3	3		3	
Detector Phase	2	5	1	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	3.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	
Minimum Split (s)	8.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	
Total Split (s)	25.0	50.0	25.0	25.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	
Total Split (%)	35.7%	71.4%	35.7%	35.7%	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%	28.6%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Lead/Lag	Lead		Lag	Lag							
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes		Yes	Yes							
Recall Mode	Min	C-Min	C-Min	C-Min	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)		49.6		40.1		14.4	14.4		14.4	14.4	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.71		0.57		0.21	0.21		0.21	0.21	
v/c Ratio		0.64		0.31		0.13	0.04		0.12	0.61	
Control Delay		5.4		8.7		22.5	11.3		22.4	32.1	
Queue Delay		0.2		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay		5.7		8.8		22.5	11.3		22.4	32.1	
LOS		А		А		С	В		С	С	
Approach Delay		5.7		8.8		19.8			30.7		
Approach LOS		А		А		В			С		
Intersection Summary					_						
Cycle Length: 70											

Actuated Cycle Length: 70 Offset: 62 (89%), Referenced to phase 1:WBTL and 5:EBTL, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 40 Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.64 Intersection Signal Delay: 10.1 Intersection LOS: B Intersection Capacity Utilization 63.4% Analysis Period (min) 15

ICU Level of Service B

♪ _{Ø2}	← g1	↓ ↑ ø3	
25 s	25 s	20 s	
→ ø5			
50 s			

	-	\rightarrow	-	•	-	Ť	· · ·	- +		
Lane Group	EBT	EBR	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	SBL	SBT	ø2	
Lane Configurations	**	1	1	۲	٦	4	ሻ	4		
Volume (vph)	586	410	431	72	366	34	179	92		
Turn Type		Perm		Perm	Perm		Perm			
Protected Phases	1		12			3		3	2	
Permitted Phases		1		12	3		3			
Detector Phase	1	1	12	12	3	3	3	3		
Switch Phase										
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0			5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Minimum Split (s)	15.0	15.0			10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	
Total Split (s)	23.0	23.0	36.0	36.0	34.0	34.0	34.0	34.0	13.0	
Total Split (%)	32.9%	32.9%	51.4%	51.4%	48.6%	48.6%	48.6%	48.6%	19%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.0	4.0			4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)	1.0	1.0			1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0		
Total Lost Time (s)	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0		
Lead/Lag	Lag	Lag							Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes							Yes	
Recall Mode	Min	Min			None	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	18.8	18.8	31.8	31.8	27.8	27.8	27.8	27.8		
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.28	0.28	0.47	0.47	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.41		
v/c Ratio	0.68	0.64	0.56	0.11	0.84	0.47	0.54	0.18		
Control Delay	26.6	7.0	6.5	0.3	35.5	17.4	21.5	10.7		
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Delay	26.6	7.0	6.5	0.3	35.5	17.4	21.5	10.7		
LOS	С	А	Α	А	D	В	С	В		
Approach Delay	18.5		5.6			27.8		17.2		
Approach LOS	В		А			С		В		
Intersection Summary							_			
Cycle Length: 70										
Actuated Cycle Length: 67.7										
Natural Cycle: 55										
Control Type: Actuated-Unco	ordinated	l								
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.84										
Intersection Signal Delay: 18.	1			lr	ntersectio	n LOS: B				
Intersection Capacity Utilization	on 59.4%	•		10	CU Level	of Service	eΒ			
Analysis Period (min) 15										
Splits and Phases: 1: Boice	es Lane &	Drivewa	v							
#1 #2 #1	#2		,		#1	#2				

#1 #2 	#1 #2	
13 s	23 s	34 s

	-	 ✓ 	+	•	†	1	· •	↓ I	-		
Lane Group	EBT	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR	ø3	
Lane Configurations	ተኩ		र्स कि		र्भ	1		र्स	1		
Volume (vph)	957	13	335	19	7	22	59	7	149		
Turn Type		Perm		Perm		Perm	Perm		Perm		
Protected Phases	13		1		2			2		3	
Permitted Phases		1		2		2	2		2		
Detector Phase	13	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2		
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)		10.0	10.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Minimum Split (s)		15.0	15.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	
Total Split (s)	57.0	23.0	23.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0	34.0	
Total Split (%)	81.4%	32.9%	32.9%	18.6%	18.6%	18.6%	18.6%	18.6%	18.6%	49%	
Yellow Time (s)		4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	
All-Red Time (s)		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0		
Total Lost Time (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		
Lead/Lag		Lag	Lag	Lead	Lead	Lead	Lead	Lead	Lead		
Lead-Lag Optimize?		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Recall Mode		Min	Min	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	51.6		19.8		10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.76		0.29		0.15	0.15		0.15	0.15		
v/c Ratio	0.40		0.45		0.13	0.10		0.36	0.60		
Control Delay	0.8		21.7		28.0	12.5		33.1	38.6		
Queue Delay	0.2		0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		
Total Delay	0.9		21.7		28.0	12.5		33.1	38.6		
LOS	А		С		С	В		С	D		
Approach Delay	0.9		21.7		21.0			36.9			
Approach LOS	A		С		С			D			
Intersection Summary											
Cycle Length: 70											
Actuated Cycle Length: 67.7											
Natural Cycle: 55											
Control Type: Actuated-Uncod	ordinated										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.84											
Intersection Signal Delay: 11.	0			lr	ntersectio	n LOS: B					
Intersection Capacity Utilization	on 46.1%			IC	CU Level	of Service	A				
Analysis Period (min) 15											

#1 #2	#1 #2	#1 #2	
← 1 ø2	📥 📥 ø1	↓ → ø3	
13 s	23 s	34 s	

Appendix D

CSX Train Schedule

Railroad Crossing Study Boices Lane Town of Ulster, Ulster County, New York Information provided via email from Robert Rohauer at CSX on September 24, 2012

	Total		
Day of week	/ Day	/ Day	/ Day
Monday:	23	12	11
Tuesday:	27	12	15
Wednesday:	30	14	16
Thursday:	31	15	16
Friday:	29	14	15
Saturday:	27	14	13
Sunday:	24	13	11
Week Totals	191	94	97

This is a list of the number of trains we have regularly scheduled each week through this area -

The totals are broken down into Southward (94) and Northward (97) respectively. Please keep in mind that these are just the regularly run trains. We also have numerous additional "extra' trains such as ethanol loads (south) and empties (north).

I asked our network folks to run the actual train movement numbers for the month of August -

	-	
		Avg
Date	ivioves	Length
8/1/2012	31	5684
8/2/2012	30	5671
8/3/2012	30	5142
8/4/2012	29	6070
8/5/2012	25	6273
8/6/2012	21	5565
8/7/2012	31	4888
8/8/2012	32	5418
8/9/2012	33	5271
8/10/2012	29	5187
8/11/2012	22	6785
8/12/2012	25	5715
8/13/2012	22	5239
8/14/2012	34	4550
8/15/2012	31	5456
8/16/2012	31	5266
8/17/2012	29	5609
8/18/2012	28	5996
8/19/2012	24	5965
8/20/2012	23	5513
8/21/2012	31	5116
8/22/2012	33	5328
8/23/2012	31	5173
8/24/2012	31	5408
8/25/2012	31	6085
8/26/2012	23	5684
8/27/2012	25	4883
8/28/2012	29	5311
8/29/2012	35	5079
8/30/2012	32	5459
8/31/2012	32	4644

We included the average train length in feet to give you a better feel for how long a train would take to clear the crossing. Maximum authorized train speed is 50 mph for trains travelling along the single track main.

Appendix E

Preferred Alternative Planning Level Cost Estimate

Railroad Crossing Study Boices Lane Town of Ulster, Ulster County, New York

112-023 - Boices Lane Intersection Improvements 30-Sep-13

Description of Major Improvements:

Provide additional eastbound thru lane (East Drive Intersection)

Coordinate/replace traffic signals

Maintain separate left-turn, thru, rights-turn lanes on WB approach (East Drive Intersection)

Restripe NB approach for left-turn lane and shared thru/right-turn lane (East Drive intersection)

Restripe WB approach for shared left-turn/thru lane and shared thru/right-turn lane (John Clark Drive intersection)

Approximate ROW required:	16800	SF	0.3862	Acres
ITEM DESCRIPTION	UNITS	PRICE	OLIANTITY	TOTAL
	01115	THICL	QUANTITI	101.11
EAST DRIVE INTERSECTION				
UNCLASSIFIED EXCAVATION AND DISPOSAL	CY	\$20.00	1,530	\$30,592.59
EMBANKMENT IN PLACE	CY	\$16.00	611	\$9,777.78
PAVEMENT - FULL DEPTH (BOX-OUT WIDENING)	SF	\$8.00	20,650	\$165,200.00
PAVEMENT - MILL AND FILL	SF	\$4.00	27,425	\$109,700.00
CURBING	LF	\$40.00	850	\$34,000.00
PEDESTRIAN IMPROVEMENTS (PED SIGNALS AND CROSSWALKS)	LS	\$50,000.00	1	\$50,000.00
NEW SIGNAL @ EAST DRIVEWAY	LS	\$150,000.00	1	\$150,000.00
SIGNING AND PAVEMENT MARKINGS	LS	\$20,000.00	1	\$20,000.00
MODIFY CLOSED DRAINAGE SYSTEM	LS	\$50,000.00	1	\$50,000.00
UTILITY RELOCATIONS	LS	\$12,500.00	6	\$75,000.00
RAILROAD CROSSING				
PAVEMENT - FULL DEPTH (BETWEEN ROW LINES)	SF	\$8.00	3,725	\$29,800.00
SIDEWALKS	SF	\$6.50	2,750	\$17,875.00
PEDESTRIAN TRAIN CROSSING IMPROVEMENTS	LS	\$50,000.00	1	\$50,000.00
NEW GATES, FLASHERS, SIGNS, AND COORDINATION WITH SIGNALS	LS	\$500,000.00	1	\$500,000.00
SIGNING AND PAVEMENT MARKINGS	LS	\$8,000.00	1	\$8,000.00
JOHN CLARK DRIVE INTERSECTION				
PAVEMENT - MILL AND FILL	SF	\$4.00	27,800	\$111,200.00
PEDESTRIAN IMPROVEMENTS (PED SIGNALS AND CROSSWALKS)	LS	\$50,000.00	1	\$50,000.00
NEW SIGNAL @ JOHN CLARK DRIVE	LS	\$150,000.00	1	\$150,000.00
SIGNING AND PAVEMENT MARKINGS	LS	\$10.000.00	1	\$10,000.00

SUB-TOTALS (2009 DOLLARS) \$1,621,145.37

SUB-TOTALS (2013 DOLLARS +9%) \$1,767,048.45

- CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL: \$ 1,768,000
 - CONTINGENCY (20%) \$ 353,600
- MAINT.AND PROT. OF TRAFFIC (5%) \$ 88,400
 - SURVEY AND STAKEOUT (4%) \$ 70,720 PERMITS/SWPP (1.5%) \$ 26,520
 - PERMITS/SWPP (1.5%) \$ 26,520 LEGAL/ADMIN (2%) \$ 35,360
 - 4% MOBILIZATION \$ 70,720
 - CONSTRUCTION TOTAL: \$ 2,413,400
- ENGINEERING DESIGN-DETAILED PHASE (18%) \$ 434.500
- INSPECTION AND CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION (12%) \$ 289,700
 - PROJECT SUBTOTAL: \$ 3,137,600
 - ROW \$ 10,000
 - PROJECT TOTAL: \$ 3,148,000